

1 Making and Unmaking the Cold War in Museums

*Holger Nehring, Samuel J. M. M. Alberti
and Jessica Douthwaite*

In the twentieth-century history gallery of the Deutsches Historisches Museum in Berlin, two cars are displayed at jaunty angles. They are both small, affordable, mass-produced automobiles in shades of green, both parked on a slope above a selection of consumer goods available in their country of origin. They are both instantly recognisable to those who lived through this period in history, and indelibly associated with the nation that produced them. But they are displayed for their contrasts as much as their similarities. One is a Trabant, produced in East Germany from 1957 until 1991; the other a Volkswagen Beetle, produced between 1938 and 2003 and after the Second World War in the West German town of Wolfsburg (Figures 1.1 and 1.2).

The Museum displays them to demonstrate visually the parallels between the two Germanies split during the 40 years of superpower conflict from the late 1940s known as the Cold War. The Federal Republic of Germany (and its Volkswagens) in the west was allied to the United States and the German Democratic Republic (with its Trabants) was a satellite of the Soviet Union in the east. These two blocs participated in a nuclear arms race to develop weapons so devastating that they would never be used; rather, their very existence was intended to deter an attack.

A generation since its conclusion with the dissolution of the Soviet Union and the unification of these Germanies, the Cold War is often characterised in popular culture by this nuclear standoff and the accompanying global politics.¹ At a glance, however, the cars and the goods displayed below them in the Deutsches Historisches Museum show rather the everyday experience of the Cold War.² In contrast to the fear of nuclear Armageddon, they are intended to generate nostalgia (or in the case of the Trabant, *Ostalgie*) and affection from those who remember, and perhaps curiosity from their children as to why these humble automobiles were so fetishised.

Elsewhere in museums, other vehicles, too, stand in as material metonyms for the superpower conflict. These tanks and spy planes sit alongside uniforms, banners, flags and fragments of the wall that split the German capital. These collections are deployed by curators in an attempt to address the difficult task of manifesting a war that did not happen, a four-decade phenomenon that (in the Global North at least) was an “imaginary war.”³ Their efforts are the subject of this book.



Figure 1.1 and Figure 1.2 Cold War exhibits at the Deutsches Historisches Museum. © DHM/Indra Desnica

Materialising the Cold War

Making the Cold War in museums, it transpires, is not simple.⁴ As anniversaries roll around, twenty-first-century museums habitually commemorate global conflicts from the previous century. The First World War was the subject of considerable heritage activity in the United Kingdom and elsewhere during its four-year centenary. At the time of writing, we approach the eightieth anniversary of the conclusion of the Second World War, and the response is not so coherent. Still less so was the sector response in the lead-up to the thirtieth anniversaries of the fall of the Berlin Wall and the collapse of the Soviet Union in 2019 and 2021. For the Cold War was a complicated, sprawling entity and its commemoration likewise complex.

To understand this, Cold War heritage has become a focused topic in its own right and there has been a steady growth in studies of how the Cold War has been remembered and represented.⁵ Alongside these sub-fields have emerged analyses of Cold War culture, including empirical work taking stock of Cold War remains in a heritage context and the infusion of everyday objects – like the cars above – with ideologies.⁶ Work on the memory of the Cold War has, with some notable exceptions, mostly focused on its verbal and textual representations rather than its material markers.⁷ Elsewhere there has grown a sophisticated scholarship on the material cultures and museology of war and violence more generally.⁸

As the anniversaries loomed, however, we discerned at the intersection of these fields a lacunae in the critical analyses of the material culture of the Cold War in museums. Not that we are short of primary sources: 40 years of preparation and readiness endowed a significant material legacy not only in the built environment and infrastructure but also in objects. In the exhibition halls and collection stores of museums are to be found thousands of items manufactured and crafted during the Cold War, for the Cold War and in response to the Cold War. A minority are on display in the small number of dedicated exhibits, but the majority are not.

Intrigued by the role of museum objects in the understanding of the Cold War and its commemoration, we set out to sample existing museum practice in Europe and North America in two projects. The first was a doctorate undertaken by Sarah Harper as a collaboration between the University of Stirling and National Museums Scotland, using the collections of the latter. As a proof of concept, this evidenced the considerable multi-disciplinary potential of museum objects to access not only the military experience of the Cold War but also the material manifestation of peace movements, readiness and technical developments.⁹

We also undertook a survey of existing Cold War interpretative practice in the United Kingdom, Norway and (West) Germany.¹⁰ We examined how the conflict is portrayed, how buildings, images, text and artefacts interact in museums and exhibitions and how they generated specific interpretations. As with more traditional displays relating to the First and Second World Wars, we found that displays in military museums emphasised the importance of moveable technological artefacts: weapons, machines planes, cars and tanks serve as placeholders for the war-like character of the Cold War. But the real or potential use of these weapons is

rarely discussed. Other kinds of objects featured in a more limited way in military museums and, occasionally, elsewhere. Overall, we found these emplotments to be diverse and fractured: each museum chose different paths to staging the Cold War.

Nonetheless these findings led us to posit the distinct practices involved in manifesting a superpower contest that in the region we studied existed as an “imaginary conflict,” which we dubbed “Materialising the Cold War.” Under this banner, we set out to find out more in a multi-year project in collaboration with the RAF Museums and Imperial War Museums in the United Kingdom, with the Allied Museum in Germany and with the Norwegian National Aviation Museum. We set out to assess collections, analyse existing displays and evaluate user responses in order to understand how the Cold War is produced and consumed in these European museums. We were curious to see how the characteristics of the Cold War find fixed representations with and around objects and how these have been negotiated (especially compared to the World Wars). We wanted to analyse the relationship between museum objects related to the Cold War and visitors’ experiences.

Thus, we hoped to suggest a new framework for Cold War Museology, which we put forward in outputs including an exhibition and an accompanying volume on *Cold War Scotland*, a professional toolkit and a range of digital products.¹¹ We were keen, however, to engage with scholars and practitioners beyond our partner organisations, so we invited interested parties to an international conference in 2023. From the papers there that focused on the collecting, displaying and consumption of Cold War objects in museums, the chapters in this volume emerged.

The analyses that follow are key in developing a shared understanding of Cold War museology. In particular, they provide an analysis of broader range of objects, institutions and audiences, which gives greater comparative purchase than the research of the core team and our immediate partners. The geographical scope is greater, although we remain focused on Europe rather than the superpowers of the Global South: most of our case studies come from British museums, but we have also included material pertaining to northern Europe, in particular Denmark, Sweden and Norway, because Cold War displays are especially well developed there.¹²

We are able to explore a greater variety of organisations, from national museums to local bunkers. We engage with different methodologies, including tourism studies and critical heritage studies, and different professionals, with authors based in universities and different parts of the heritage sector. The material culture we analyse spreads across the disciplinary spectrum from ephemera to high technologies. Perhaps most importantly of all, the studies here cover heritage practices that engage with a significant range of audiences.

In short, this book is about what it means to bring the Cold War into the museum: what happens when we interpret museum objects through the lens of the Cold War, how curators and audiences assign significance and value to objects as *Cold War* objects and what this process tells us about the memory of the Cold War in early twenty-first-century societies. We bring heritage and museum scholars into a conversation with Cold War historians to explore some key parameters of a Cold War museology. With this volume we seek to embed the Cold War into museum studies to the sophisticated level it has reach in studies of the built heritage.¹³

Remembering the Cold War

Our intended readership is, therefore, relatively broad: from heritage and museum professionals and theorists to historians interested in material culture and science and technology as well as to those working in and with museums as volunteers or collectors. Some of our case studies might also appeal to those generally interested in the objects we introduce, and the places we have visited.

Our approach in this volume builds on the (no longer so) new museology of the 1990s, which moves beyond the technicalities of collection management and categorisation of objects. Instead, like the new museologists we explore how these key tasks for museum professionals are embedded in wider political, social and cultural practices and also reflect cultures of memory and memorialisation.¹⁴ Museums, thus framed, have been key agents for reflecting and forging collective memory.¹⁵ But as most recent scholarship on memory has emphasised, memory is not simply out there, like an abstract idea; memory is a process in which different people and organisations take an active part.¹⁶ This has been especially the case for the memory of the Cold War.¹⁷ While scholars have long interpreted the conflict as a binary, homogeneous and stable tension centred around the nuclear confrontation that structured international and domestic politics from the end of the Second World War to the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991, research now emphasises its complexity and differentiation.¹⁸ The binaries of the Cold War are seen as less fixed, and some of the most innovative research now emphasises the connections between the blocs within the broader framework of political and military confrontation.¹⁹

Researchers have also started to emphasise more systematically the agency of non-Western actors beyond what had previously been seen as the transatlantic American-European core of the Cold War: rather than appearing as proxy wars of an essentially European and transatlantic conflict, the violence in Africa, Asia and Latin America during this period now appears as part of “world making after Empire” that was anchored in the superpower confrontation rather than directly caused by it.²⁰

Most recently, scholars have also paid less attention to political ideologies – the conflict between liberal capitalism and democracy, on the one hand, and state-socialist authoritarianism, on the other hand. Rather, they have moved towards analysing how the Cold War happened primarily through people’s imaginations: scenarios of a nuclear war that never happened, of friends and enemies and of utopias of a better world.²¹ These imaginary superstructures were not simply opposed to the material structures, as classic Marxist analysis would have it. Rather, they were deeply sutured to and enmeshed with the material world and often helped create it in the first place – they allowed people to make sense of the Cold War and associate emotions with it.²² From this perspective, the Cold War then appears not only as a period of twentieth-century history but also as a political, socio-economic and cultural constellation.²³

This state of the field leaves Cold War museology with a number of challenges that go beyond what heritage scholars have focused on in the built

environment and archaeologists have faced when addressing challenges of the Cold War. The fundamental challenge is how to materialise ideas and imaginaries in the museum context: how do we collect, display and interpret ideas and imaginaries?²⁴ From this fundamental question flow a number of other issues that pertain to the material-imaginary nexus that a Cold War museology needs to wrestle with.

The first of these is the question of a potentially limitless profusion of objects that can be deemed to be Cold War: a fighter jet, a nuclear submarine, a submachine gun and a rocket to a soldier's uniform, a lapel badge, a can of Coca Cola, a peace movement banner or a computer, everything is potentially "Cold War." We might therefore arrive at categories of analysis that turn every museum of twentieth-century material culture into a Cold War museum, simply because they collect and display object that pertain to the period of the Cold War. Cold War museology needs to grapple with what Paul Cornish has called "the extremes of collecting."²⁵ This is not only a practical issue of collection management, but it also has conceptual implications about how to display such diverse objects and with what stories. In particular, it is important to reflect on the extent to which museum collections and displays reflect some of the core assumption of this Cold War constellation, especially with regard to military masculinity, the gendered division of labour within societies and the racial hierarchies of international relations.²⁶

The second complex of issues a Cold War museology needs to grapple with revolves around the question of display and audience engagement. Given that some of the key features of the Cold War are highly abstract, showing the Cold War through objects is especially challenging. One way around this, especially popular in war museums, has been to rely on the aura of large technological objects to communicate the war-like character of the Cold War. But as with displays and exhibitions on other conflicts, this method raises the question of whether "war machines" turn museums into "gigantic children's toyshop[s]."²⁷ For the victims of weapons of war are rarely, if ever, shown.²⁸

Third, the abstract nature of the Cold War also raises questions around the ways in which museums display experiences – and the authenticity of the experiences they purport to show. This has often been framed as a conflict between the "reconstruction" of experiences and authenticity, on the one hand, and their "simulation," on the other hand.²⁹ But these two sides are best seen as poles on a spectrum or ideal types as opposed to actual positions. For showing experiences through museum objects is always a process of taking stuff out of one context and placing them into another, of constant decontextualisation and recontextualisation as museum objects always have "multiple context-bound affordances."³⁰ Just as there is "interplay between various forms of remembering" with artefacts,³¹ there is also an interplay between various experiences when diverse audiences consider exhibitions.

Cold War museology therefore refines our understanding of Cold War history as well: it unsettles the stable and static nature of the Cold War and has the potential to highlight a much less settled "everyday geopolitics."³² This core characteristic of the museology of war and conflict can often be uncanny and unsettling for audiences.³³

Locating the Cold War

A museology of the Cold War also requires us to think about the relationship between material objects and space. In particular, in this collection we are interested in the relationship between landscapes, physical infrastructures and other immovable features of Cold War heritage, on the one hand, and moveable objects, on the other hand. What happens when objects are taken from their original site to the museum? And what happens when objects from multiple sites are reassembled at a specific site, such as a bunker museum, to create a specific feeling of authenticity?

This general museological question has particular relevance for the Cold War. Just as the Cold War seems limitless conceptually, so it also appears as without clear boundaries geographically: the Cold War stretched from underground to outer space and everything in between. Many of the social and cultural theories that emerged during the Cold War posited the irrelevance of space (most prominently perhaps Paul Virilio); however, as some of the most innovative research on Cold War heritage has shown, spaces and places mattered significantly for the Cold War as “conflict produces and redefines space,” in the case of the Cold War also spaces of the mind.³⁴

The Cold War worked, first, in delineating military from civilian spaces within society, leading to a system of “parallel landscapes” of the Cold War where military activities were assigned to “defined sites and spaces”³⁵; second, it does this through the representation of spaces and places in maps; and, not least through the way in which spaces and places were “embedded in material practices.”³⁶ Like other forms of heritage scholarship, a Cold War museology should therefore overcome the binary of materialism versus constructivism when thinking about the authenticity of objects displayed in spaces.³⁷ This will also allow for more systematic considerations of different layers of time that frame the experiences and memories of museum audiences.³⁸

The built heritage of architectural and environmental Cold War structures is often defined by decay, recovery and restoration – a heritage in decline that is deemed to require protection. In fact, the field of built Cold War heritage is more advanced partly because it was a response to the decommissioning of military sites at the end of the Cold War that demanded criteria that could be used to determine which sites were significant as Cold War sites and for what reasons. Given that mainly airforce sites, bunkers and some radar stations were affected by the decommissioning it is perhaps no coincidence that it is these fields that most research on Cold War heritage has focused so far.³⁹

Such official initiatives often responded to – or were accompanied by – local explorations and projects by enthusiast groups, such as bunkerologists or aircraft enthusiasts. These individuals and groups highlighted the value of preservation and often founded local museums, many of which have now become extremely popular visitor attractions and profitable businesses.⁴⁰ As Steven Leech has noted, it was often such initiatives for local museums at former Cold War sites that endowed such sites with significance as Cold War heritage.⁴¹

In tackling the issue of the relationship between spaces and objects, inspiration can be drawn from how heritage scholars have forged new ways of understanding the presence of conflict and trauma in the built environment and what this means for conceptualising the impact of war on everyday life in diverse, global locations (past and present).⁴² For example, Sharon Macdonald defines “difficult heritage” as that which does not fit the “selective and predominantly identity-affirmative nature of heritage-making”; events and material that are thus silenced, ignored or destroyed.⁴³

Museums exist at and between places and spaces, meaning not only that their material contents can bridge markedly distinct Cold War locations, events and actors but also that the stories that museums might tell through objects lack the kind of coherence that audiences might appreciate. In particular, for the standard Cold War interpretation of a frozen conflict, it is difficult to identify heroes and villains in the ways that war museums have done for other conflicts.⁴⁴

Networks, Narratives and Values

To return from places to things, as Odd Arne Westad reminded us in his keynote lecture at the conference that gave rise to this book: the Cold War was essentially about material, about stuff, big and small.⁴⁵ It was about the ways in which states competed in making more material than their respective opponents or enemies: more nuclear missiles, more bombs, more guns, more tanks, more uniforms; this is the aspect that conflict archaeologists have summarised under the term “matériel culture,” the culture of objects with a direct relationship to military mobilisation.⁴⁶ The Cold War was about the competition of states, about access to the material required to make this stuff as well – and about the competition about who produced the best stuff, from guns, to planes to kitchen to other everyday consumer goods.⁴⁷ But it was also about the many everyday items that protesters might use or repurpose to give a voice to their concerns, such as the rattle bottle that the Scottish protester Kristin Barrett carried with her on peace marches in the 1980s, repurposing a blue mass-produced and mass-consumed fabric softener bottle.⁴⁸

Our book provides some responses to Westad’s observations and the challenges outlined above by highlighting how the Cold War is made, unmade and remade through materialisation in museums. We suggest three elements of a museology of the Cold War, each of which engage more general museological questions, while highlighting Cold War specificities.

The first theme, and section of the volume, we dub “networks of materiality.” Contributions discuss how artefacts were or became part of broader networks, either of objects or systems, or of humans and things.⁴⁹ The theme is especially apparent in Sarah Harper’s chapter on Cold War objects in the collections relating to the Royal Observer Corps at National Museums Scotland. By problematising the relationships and networks between objects and the places in which they are collected and displayed she engages with a fundamental question of all museum and heritage scholarship: the location of the authenticity of objects and the issue of who has a say over that authenticity.⁵⁰ Meanwhile, Johannes-Geert Hagmann’s, Holger

Nehring's and Samuel Alberti's chapters highlight through object biographies how artefacts from the period of the Cold War defy straightforward historiographical and museological definitions and how their Cold War meanings are created by and through interactions between people, places and other things.

Nehring's chapter raises an important point about Cold War classification in museums: it is slippery and while inherently material, it also resides in the people who deal with the material not the objects themselves. Similarly, while an object (like a computer) might appear inherently Cold War, that era was also inconsistent in its reach and we cannot assume automatic qualification for Cold War categorisation. Hagmann weaves together the biographies of one object – the Bell Systems travelling-wave maser – to argue that Cold War displays must evolve with developing perspectives on existing collections. The travelling-wave maser becomes a case study of the multi-dimensional opportunities presented by objects once collected for one reason (in this case history of science) and reinterpreted in light of new angles (society and social value, for example). Finally in this section, Alberti demonstrates how a quintessential Cold War artefact – a British Vulcan bomber, capable of carrying nuclear weapons – was never deployed in an explicitly Cold War context and how the Cold War meanings that attach to it emerge from the stories that museum curators as well as former crew tell about it.

Our second theme builds on these approaches by asking what it means for these material networks if and when they are displayed and interpreted in museums. Here we explore the relationship between spaces, places and things, a relationship that Alberti's and Harper's chapters already touch upon. This section engages the key museological question we began to unpack above, of the relationship between moveable objects and the location at which they are displayed and what it means if objects are removed from the spaces at which they were originally used.⁵¹ These chapters are not only about how the material fits within the museum collection but also the relationships with the people who have touched and been touched by them – the range of expectations, meanings and intentions bound up by each object. For example, Jim Gledhill highlights the ways in which three museums in Berlin engage use objects to reveal previously secret matters of espionage, highlighting the importance of multi-perspectival approaches to the Cold War.

Authors in this section also demonstrate how the landscape of material afterlives – whether that be the museum display case, airfield or a refurbished bunker – differentiates meanings and alters how the Cold War features in an object's curatorial narrative. Rosanna Farbøl's chapter, considering Denmark, offers an analytical survey of what happens when bunkers pass from use as parts of the defence infrastructure into part of national heritage – and then become museums. Also for Denmark, Bodil Frandsen and Ulla Varnke Egeskov provide a fascinating report on how, as curators, they created a Cold War Museum from scratch at the former government bunker Regan Vest.

Two of the chapters in this section consider the ways in which private experiences are reflected in museums and collecting more generally. Peter Johnston's study of the British Army on the Rhine and its limited material presence in museums emphasises the human dimension of material that deals with the absence of

conflict and a perpetual state of preparation. He advocates for the importance of capturing personal stories associated with events that never happened. Similarly, Grace Huxford takes us away from the military aspects of a Cold War museology by exploring the private and personal museums that British Army personnel posted in West Germany created to preserve their memories of the conflict. Such an exercise of de-centring spaces and private place making also brings groups into focus that might otherwise be neglected in a Cold War museology based around military and technological objects: women and children and their engagement with the military components of the Cold War. Johnston's and Huxford's chapters also highlight that the imaginary of the Cold War was not necessarily utopian and infused with meanings of hope and fear. Neither author finds expectations of a better world or an impending apocalypse, but objects pertaining to a continuous present that often manifested as boredom.⁵² Closing the section, Adam Seipp considers the ways in which popular local history, heritage, museum objects and landscape interact at a popular German Cold War museum site, Point Alpha at the former West–East German border.

Our third theme addresses the values and representations that such discussions about the relationships between objects and things give rise to. Cecilia Åse and her colleagues problematise the relationship between military displays in Swedish museums and political culture and highlight some of the problematic aspects related to it, especially regarding the status of a particular form of military masculinity in contemporary Swedish political culture.⁵³ In particular, they analyse how different conceptualisations of time have been used in museums to generate various normalising and standardising narratives of Sweden's Cold War. Those temporal interpretations rely heavily on masculine and masculinised notions of Cold War experience, a gendered framework that the authors argue skews audience views of this history. Karl Kleve's chapter offers a case study about the relationship between local and national memories in the Norwegian Aviation Museum in Bodø in Norway and the ways in which they create social values. He considers the local memory of the U2 incident in 1960, when an American spy plane was shot down by the Soviet Union on its route from Peshawar to Bodø and its pilot captured and how this became embedded in the town's identity.

Peter Robinson and Milka Ivanova highlight the ways in which tourism to museums at Cold War sites in Britain and Bulgaria turn such museums into “arenas of articulation” of values and broader socio-economic questions around the question of “dark heritage” and “dark tourism.”⁵⁴ Finally, Jessica Douthwaite's chapter tackles the central museological question of how values are assigned to objects in alerting us to the ways in which images of colour help us understand museum display of the Cold War and how certain colours and colour combination have an impact on the experiences and emotions of the Cold War in museums.⁵⁵ Douthwaite uses a feminist approach inspired by critical heritage studies to interpret the range of colours that museum practitioners associate with this era. Being attuned to the colour of collections, displays and design, she argues, punctures stereotypical interpretation, while questioning predominant colourways also adds complexity to seemingly obvious narratives.

Cold War Absences

We offer these studies as one step towards a Cold War Museology. Other steps are called for: it is important to reflect on what is missing, the silences and absences of collections and how one might address them. Rhiannon Mason closed the conference that gave rise to this volume by reflecting on the museal silences that she and Joanne Sayner identified. This was as an apt lens to reflect this concern, where “silences in the historical record as collected by museums” have combined with the ways in which museums’ “structures of knowledge... produce silence.”⁵⁶ Only the simplest, most memorable, much popularised signifiers – the military hardware, visions of nuclear apocalypse, elite-level politicking and the fact and fancy of espionage – are visible in most museums that deal with the Cold War. While military, political and technological topics represent Cold War time, geography, affect and memory, their over-emphasis belies a plethora of silenced interpretations as-yet under-examined by museum practitioners and researchers alike. As Alberti and Nehring have argued elsewhere, through a collaborative, reflective Cold War museology, “there is *potential* energy to harness, not only across different kinds of collections, but also across different media.”⁵⁷

The countless Cold War feelings and perceptions of individuals, communities and nations may never be materialised in the simple sense, but in this volume we demonstrate how the intangible might be grasped through techniques specific to museums and the museological approach. In this sense, we argue that contemporary museums have an opportunity to lead the way in debunking and demystifying mainstream interpretations about the Cold War. We also argue that we need to think about time when materialising the Cold War in museums. As the Cold War stretched across several decades and it was not homogeneous, there needs to be attention to the importance of chronological contexts, so as to give audiences an idea as to how these contexts have framed emotions, perceptions and ideas. This will also sharpen awareness of how legacies of the Cold War have continued into our own world, and how they have influenced memories. In this respect, there is an omission in this collection that seems glaring at the time of writing: the relationship between Cold War heritage and interpretations of Russia’s war against Ukraine as the start of a new Cold War. We hope that our studies will aid in the formulation of these analyses in due course.

For while museology can push the boundaries of social and cultural memory, it can never be entirely independent of it – museums cannot remove themselves completely from their own societies, cultures and assumptions. In particular, they cannot simply generate collections that fit their museological preferences: while we would like to see collections that are more inclusive of non-Western experiences, of experiences of people of colour and women and while we strongly advocate a de-centring of a Cold War museology away from military and technological objects, we are challenged by the partial history of collecting during and after the Cold War. And that history of collecting mostly focused on such objects because it – and they – reflected assumptions about nationhood and technological development that the later new museology came to critique. This is why the preponderance

of chapters in our volume still consider technological or military objects or analyse objects in their specific (military) locations. It is therefore perhaps no coincidence that science and technology museums in the broadest sense: collecting, displaying and telling stories about “curious devices and mighty machines” have given them a heightened sense for the challenges of abstraction and complexity that come with science and technology.⁵⁸ It will take a generation of retrospective collection development to reflect a greater diversity of voices in the stories of the Cold War.

Another important issue our book does not address is the role of museums and exhibitions during the Cold War itself – a rewarding question that historians have begun to address.⁵⁹ There is great potential to build on these and our studies to explore the ways in which material objects in museums are related to the Cold War confrontation. Possible examples include the ways in which museum collections were influenced significantly by donations from a ministry defence or key industries for the purposes of Cold War propaganda. This has been especially the case for nuclear devices.⁶⁰ Questions arise here as to the political role of museums, or the ways in which their collections and displays can become part of political controversy.⁶¹

Furthermore, a potential and especially controversial avenue for exploration is the relationship between museum collections and conflicts. This concerns the ways in which museum objects reached the museums, and in particular whether they had been looted or stolen as part of military operations.⁶² Inter-disciplinary scholars such as Christine Sylvester and Lisa Yoneyama have provided us with stimulating studies of how the Cold War proxy wars in Asia and elsewhere have been dealt with in western and non-western memorialisation practices. Sylvester and Yoneyama encourage us to examine “the larger question of war authority” when it comes to the memories and material curated for museum display.⁶³ Similarly, Eastern Europe is emerging as a distinct and important field within museological scholarship and, influenced by anthropological and ethnographic approaches, has raised important points of reflection about how museums in “the West” have reified notions of Western superiority in the Cold War, while at the same time harnessing images of an authentic life under socialist dictatorships.⁶⁴

Such museological challenges are not specific to the Cold War – Cold War objects are but one of the many types of objects for which such questions of provenance, cultural responsibility and power arise. If there is a specific Cold War challenge to interpreting what Frederik Rosén calls the “heritage-security nexus,” it is that the concept of “Cold War” tends to make relationships of power and violence invisible.⁶⁵ Through our approach of “materialising the Cold War,” we sharpen our awareness for these questions of provenance and power.

Cold War museology – like heritage more generally – is as much about the present and the future as it is about the past.⁶⁶ It makes sense of a key period of twentieth-century history in our time – and in the negotiation about assumptions about what Cold War museums might look like in the future, what objects are likely to be deemed significant and which ones are not. This is not simply a negotiation about a set of criteria that we might devise on what does and does not constitute “Cold War significance.”⁶⁷ Apart from practical questions of which objects can be

kept and displayed, it also involves a reflection of what kind of museum and what kind of stories and experiences are needed and wanted to engage diverse audiences.

Throughout this volume, our contributors explore how memory – whether individual or collective – influences construction of historical narratives in museums. The cumulative effect of these chapters is to highlight where and how comfortable memories of the European Cold War affect museum interpretation, while revealing how museums work to destabilise such comfort through challenge, dispute and disturbance.⁶⁸ These endeavours are especially significant where the silences are invisible or unknown; comparative memory-work addressing a loosely related geographical terrain provides the context in which to unearth diverse museological absences. This finding chimes with the work of the Unsettling Remembering and Social Cohesion in Transnational Europe (UNREST) project which assessed “dominant” approaches to war and conflict across a selection of European museums.⁶⁹

The case studies in this volume address the politics of Cold War memory in Europe explicitly and robustly. Yet authors are also cognisant of the realities of the museum setting, in which as UNREST researchers came to find, the “complex and multi-layered roles” undertaken by museums are “major constraints” on institutions’ abilities to apply agonistic memory as an interpretative framework.⁷⁰ Our chapters highlight that curatorial difficulties are often rooted in contemporary Cold War events and experiences that were and remain secret, unknown or obscured today, or which have become increasingly contentious due to emerging twenty-first-century geopolitical concerns. Again, national identity – and its Cold War roots – frames how institutions assess both those tricky contemporary narratives and translate for present-day audiences, in these cases Scandinavian, German and British.

The cumulative intent of the chapters in this volume is to call for a reflective museology, in which the difficulties associated with forming judgements about Cold War history are foregrounded to encourage active management of museum practice and museology of this period. As part of that process of materialising the Cold War in museums, we encounter the ways in which the Cold War was both made and unmade, the spaces and places where this happens and what this means for museum collections, interpretation and audience engagement. This is what a Cold War museology is about.

Notes

- 1 See for example Brian Knappenberger, dir., *Turning Point: The Bomb and the Cold War*, Netflix: 2024.
- 2 On the iconic status of the Trabant and its role in the GDR, see Daphne Berdahl, “‘Go, Trabi, Go!’: Reflections on a Car and Its Symbolization over Time,” *Anthropology and Humanism* 25, no. 2 (2000): 131–41; Eli Rubin, “The Trabant: Consumption, Eigen-Sinn, and Movement,” *History Workshop Journal* 68, no. 1 (2009): 27–44.
- 3 Matthew Grant and Benjamin Ziemann, eds., *Understanding the Imaginary War: Culture, Thought and Nuclear Conflict, 1945–90* (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2016).
- 4 We draw museological inspiration from the historical approach in Thomas G. Paterson, *On Every Front: The Making and Unmaking of the Cold War* (New York: Norton, 1992).

- 5 Wayne Cocroft and Roger J. C. Thomas, eds., *Cold War: Building for Nuclear Confrontation 1946–1989* (Swindon: Historic England, 2003); Jon Wiener, *How We Forgot the Cold War: A Historical Journey Across America* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2012); David Lowe and Tony Joel, *Remembering the Cold War: Global Contest and National Stories* (London: Routledge, 2012); Paul Betts, “The Twilight of the Idols: East German Memory and Material Culture,” *Journal of Modern History* 72, no. 3 (2000): 731–65; Kryštof Kozák, György Tóth, Paul Bauer and Allison Wanger, eds., *Memory in Transatlantic Relations: From the Cold War to the Global War on Terror* (Abingdon: Routledge, 2019).
- 6 On culture see for example Jonathan Hogg, *British Nuclear Culture: Official and Unofficial Narratives in the Long 20th Century* (London: Bloomsbury, 2016); Gordon Johnston, “Revisiting the Cultural Cold War,” *Social History* 35, no. 3 (2010): 290–307; Patrick Major and Rana Mitter, eds., *Across the Blocs: Exploring Comparative Cold War Cultural and Social History* (London: Cass, 2004); Annette Vowinckel, Marcus M. Payk and Thomas Lindenberger, eds., *Cold War Cultures: Perspectives on Eastern and Western European Societies* (New York: Berghahn, 2012). On remains, see the pioneering work by Cocroft and Thomas, *Cold War*; and Ian Strange and Ed Walley, “Cold War Heritage and the Conservation of Military Remains in Yorkshire,” *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 13, no. 2 (2007): 154–69. On objects and ideologies see Eva Horn, *The Secret War: Treason, Espionage, and Modern Fiction* (Evanston, IL: Illinois University Press, 2013); Susan E. Reid, “Cold War Cultural Transactions: Designing the USSR for the West at Brussels Expo ’58,” *Design and Culture* 9, no. 2 (2017): 123–45; Susan E. Reid and David Crowley, eds., *Style and Socialism: Modernity and Material Culture in Post-War Eastern Europe* (Oxford: Berg, 1999); Eli Rubin, *Synthetic Socialism. Plastics and Dictatorship in the German Democratic Republic* (Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press, 2014); Jan Hansen, Jochen Hung, Andrew Tompkins and Phillip Wagner, “Introduction: The Material Culture of Politics,” *International Journal for History, Culture and Modernity* 6 (2018): 1–12.
- 7 Robert Clarke, “Landscape, Memory and Secrecy: The Cold War Archaeology of the Royal Observer Corps” (PhD thesis, University of Exeter, 2016); Inge Hermann, “Cold War Heritage (and) Tourism: Exploring Heritage Processes Within Cold War Sites in Britain” (PhD thesis, University of Bedfordshire, 2012); Tony Axelsson, Anders Gustafsson, Håkan Karlsson and Maria Persson, “Command Centre Björn: The Conflict Heritage of a Swedish Cold War Military Installation,” *Journal of Conflict Archaeology* 13, no. 1 (2018): 59–76; Per Strömberg, “Swedish Military Bases of the Cold War: The Making of a New Cultural Heritage,” *Culture Unbound* 2 (2010): 635–63.
- 8 Thomas Thiemeyer, *Fortsetzung des Krieges mit anderen Mitteln: Die beiden Weltkriege im Museum* (Paderborn: Schöningh, 2010); Anna Cento Bull, Hans Lauge Hansen, Wulf Kansteiner and Nina Parish, “War Museums as Agonistic Spaces: Possibilities, Opportunities and Constraints,” *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 25, no. 6 (2019): 611–25; Jörg Echternkamp and Stephan Jaeger, eds., *Views of Violence: Representing the Second World in German and European Museums and Memorials* (New York: Berghahn, 2019).
- 9 Sarah A. Harper, “Bombers, Bunkers and Badges: The Cold War Materialised in National Museums Scotland” (PhD thesis, University of Stirling, 2022) as well as her chapter in this volume.
- 10 Samuel J. M. M. Alberti and Holger Nehring, “The Cold War in European Museums – Filling the ‘Empty Battlefield,’” *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 28, no. 2 (2022): 180–99.
- 11 Samuel J. M. M. Alberti and Holger Nehring with Jessica Douthwaite and Sarah Harper, *Cold War Scotland* (Edinburgh: National Museums Scotland, 2024); “The Cold War in National Museums Scotland’s Collections,” National Museums Scotland, accessed 19 May 2024, <https://www.nms.ac.uk/coldwar>.

- 12 For an overview see John Schofield, Wayne Cocroft and Marina Dobronovskaya, "Cold War: A Transnational Approach to a Global Heritage," *Post-Medieval Archaeology* 55, no. 1 (2021): 39–58; Per Strömberg, "Swedish Military Bases of the Cold War: The Making of a New Cultural Heritage," *Culture Unbound* 2 (2010): 635–63.
- 13 On Cold War heritage generally see John Schofield and Wayne Cocroft, eds., *A Fear-some Heritage. Diverse Legacies of the Cold War* (Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press, 2007). For the American context, see John S. Salmon, *Protecting America: Cold War Defensive Sites* (Washington, DC: Department of the Interior, 2022); Todd A. Hanson, *The Archaeology of the Cold War* (Gainesville, FL: The University of Florida Press, 2016).
- 14 Peter Vergo, ed., *The New Museology* (London: Reaktion, 1989).
- 15 Andrew Whitmarsh, "'We Will Remember Them': Memory and Commemoration in War Museums," *Journal of Conservation and Museum Studies* 7 (2001): 11–15; Stephan Jaeger, *The Second World War in the Twenty-First-Century Museum: From Narrative, Memory, and Experience to Experientiality* (Berlin: De Gruyter, 2020).
- 16 See, for example, Alon Confino and Peter Fritzsche, eds., *The Work of Memory: New Directions in the Study of German Society and Culture* (Urbana, IL: University of Illinois Press, 2022).
- 17 Penny M. von Eschen, *Paradoxes of Nostalgia: Cold War Triumphalism and Global Disorder since 1989* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2022); Sarah Marshall, "The Cultural Memory of Britain's Cold War" (PhD thesis, University of Essex, 2021).
- 18 Holger Nehring, "What Was the Cold War?" *English Historical Review* 127, no. 527 (2012): 920–49; Lorenz Lüthi, *Cold Wars: Asia, the Middle East, Europe* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2020).
- 19 As an overview see Penny von Eschen, "Locating the Transnational in the Cold War," in *The Oxford Handbook of the Cold War*, eds. Richard H. Immermann and Petra Goedde (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013), 451–68; and the case studies in Simo Mikonen and Pia Koivunen, eds., *Beyond the Divide. Entangled Histories of Cold War Europe* (New York: Berghahn, 2015).
- 20 The phrase is from Adom Getachew, *Worldmaking after Empire – The Rise and Fall of Self-Determination* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2019). See Odd Arne Westad, *The Global Cold War: Third World Interventions and the Making of Our Times* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007); Paul Thomas Chamberlin, *The Cold War's Killing Fields: Rethinking the Long Peace* (New York: Harper, 2018); Bruce Cumings on the Korean war was a pioneer here: *The Origins of the Korean War*, 2 vols (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1981 and 1990); Piero Gleijeses, *Visions of Freedom: Havana, Washington, Pretoria, and the Struggle for Southern Africa, 1976–1991* (Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press, 2013).
- 21 Matthew Grant and Benjamin Ziemann, eds., *Understanding the Imaginary War: Culture, Thought and Nuclear Conflict, 1945–90* (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2016). On the connections between utopias and dystopias cf. Susan Buck-Morss, *Dreamworld and Catastrophe: The Passing of Mass Utopia in East and West* (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2000). Case studies: Sibylle Marti, *Strahlen im Kalten Krieg. Nuklearer Alltag und atomarer Notfall in der Schweiz* (Paderborn: Schöningh, 2020); Silvia Berger-Ziauddin, "Überlebenszelle, Territorium, Bordell. Bunker/Schweiz im nuklearen Zeitalter" (Habilitation, University of Zurich, 2019); David Eugster and Sibylle Marti, eds., *Das Imaginäre des Kalten Krieges. Beiträge zu einer Kulturgeschichte des Ost-West-Konfliktes in Europa* (Essen: Klartext, 2015).
- 22 Bernd Greiner, Christian Th. Müller and Dierk Walter, eds., *Angst im Kalten Krieg* (Hamburg: Hamburger Edition, 2009); Hélène Miard-Delacroix and Andreas Wirsching, eds., *Emotionen und internationale Beziehungen im Kalten Krieg* (Munich: Oldenbourg, 2020).

- 23 John Beck and Ryan Bishop, eds., *Cold War Legacies: Systems, Theory, Aesthetics* (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2016).
- 24 Samuel J. M. M. Alberti and Holger Nehring, "The Cold War in European Museums – Filling the 'Empty Battlefield,'" *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 28, no. 2 (2022): 180–99.
- 25 Paul Cornish, "Extremes of Collecting at the Imperial War Museum 1917–2009: Struggles with the Large and Ephemeral," in *Extreme Collecting: Challenging Practices for 21st Century Museums*, eds. Graeme Were and J. C. H. King (New York: Berghahn, 2012), 157–67; Kasia Tomasiewicz, "'We Are a Social History, Not a Military History Museum': Large Objects and the 'Peopling' of Galleries in the Imperial War Museum, London," in *Museums, Modernity and Conflict: Museums and Collections in and of War Since the Nineteenth Century*, ed. Kate Hill (London: Routledge, 2020), 213–34. On the issue of profusion more generally see Jennie Morgan and Sharon Macdonald, "De-Growing Museum Collections for New Heritage Futures," *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 26, no. 1 (2020): 56–70.
- 26 Cecilia Åse and Maria Wendt, "Gender, Memories, and National Security: The Making of a Cold War Military Heritage," *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 24, no. 2 (2022): 221–42; Laura McEnaney, *Civil Defence Begins at Home: Militarisation Meets Everyday Life in the Fifties* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2000); Elaine Tyler May, *Homeward Bound: American Families in the Cold War Era* (New York: Basic Books, 1988); Kate Weigand, "The Red Menace: The Feminine Mystique, and Ohio Un-American Activities Commission: Gender and Anti-Communism in Ohio, 1951–54," *Journal of Women's History*, 3 (1992): 70–94; Brenda Gayle Plummer, "Race and the Cold War," in *The Oxford Handbook of the Cold War*, eds. Richard H. Immerman and Petra Goedde (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013), 503–22.
- 27 Sue Malvern, "War, Memory and Museums: Art and Artefact in the Imperial War Museum," *History Workshop Journal* 49, no. 1 (2000): 197.
- 28 See Wolfgang Muchitsch, ed., *Does War Belong in Museums? The Representation of Violence in Exhibitions* (Bielefeld: Transcript, 2014).
- 29 Stephan Jaeger, *The Second World War in the Twenty-First-Century Museum: From Narrative, Memory, and Experience to Experientiality* (Berlin: De Gruyter, 2020), 5.
- 30 Rom Harré, "Material Objects in Social Worlds," *Theory, Culture & Society* 19, no. 5/6 (2002): 27.
- 31 Daphne Berdahl, "(N)Ostalgie for the Present: Memory, Longing and East German Things," *Ethnos* 64, no. 2 (1999): 205.
- 32 See Sudha Rajagopalan, *Journeys of Soviet Things: Cold War as Lived Experience in Cuba and India* (London: Routledge, 2023); Radina Vučetić and Paul Betts, eds., *Tito in Africa: Picturing Solidarity* (Belgrade: Museum of Yugoslavia, 2017); James Mark, Artemy M. Kalinovsky and Steffi Marung, eds., *Alternative Globalizations: Eastern Europe and the Postcolonial World* (Bloomington, IN: Indiana University Press, 2020).
- 33 See, for example, Mads Daugbjerg, "Playing with Fire: Struggling with 'Experience' and 'Play' in War Tourism," *Museum and Society* 9, no. 1 (2011): 17–33; Rūta Kazlauskaitė, "Embodying Ressentimentful Victimhood: Virtual Reality Re-Enactment of the Warsaw Uprising in the Second World War Museum in Gdańsk," *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 28, no. 6 (2022): 699–713; James Mark, "What Remains? Anti-Communism, Forensic Archaeology, and the Retelling of the National Past in Lithuania and Romania," *Past and Present* 206, suppl. 5 (2010): 276–300.
- 34 Matthew Flintham, "Torås Kommandoplass: Observations from a Dark Summit," *Critical Military Studies* 2, no. 3 (2016): 274.
- 35 Matthew Flintham, "Parallel Landscapes: A Spatial and Critical Study of Militarised Sites in the United Kingdom" (PhD thesis, Royal College of Art, 2010), 7.
- 36 Matthew Flintham, "Parallel Landscapes: A Spatial and Critical Study of Militarised Sites in the United Kingdom" (PhD thesis, Royal College of Art, 2010), 11.

- 37 Siân Jones, "Experiencing Authenticity at Heritage Sites: Some Implications for Heritage Management and Conservation," *Conservation and Management of Archaeological Sites* 11, no. 2 (2009): 133–47.
- 38 Matthew Flintham, "Vile Incubator: A Pathology of the Cold War Bunker," *Journal of War & Culture Studies* 13, no. 1 (2020): 11–32. Conceptually, see Renhart Koselleck, *Zeitschichten. Studien zur Historik* (Frankfurt: Suhrkamp, 2000).
- 39 Caitlin DeSilvey, *Curated Decay: Heritage Beyond Saving* (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2017); Steven T. Leech, "Echoes from the Recent Past: An Archaeological Ethnography of Historic Cold War Radar Sites in the UK" (PhD thesis, University of Manchester, 2017), 75.
- 40 Luke Bennett, "Who Goes There? Accounting for Gender in the Urge to Explore Abandoned Military Bunkers," *Gender, Place and Culture* 20, no. 5 (2013): 630–46; Luke Bennett, "Bunkerology—A Case Study in the Theory and Practice of Urban Exploration," *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 29, no. 3 (2011): 421–34.
- 41 Steven T. Leech, "Echoes from the Recent Past: An Archaeological Ethnography of Historic Cold War Radar Sites in the UK" (PhD thesis, University of Manchester, 2017), 68.
- 42 Steve Brown, "Archaeology of Brutal Encounter: Heritage and Bomb Testing on Bikini Atoll, Republic of the Marshall Islands," *Archaeology in Oceania* 48, no. 1 (2013): 26–39; John Schofield and Wayne Cocroft, eds., *A Fearsome Heritage. Diverse Legacies of the Cold War* (Walnut Creek, CA: Left Coast Press, 2007); John Schofield, William Gray Johnson and Colleen M. Beck, eds., *Matériel Culture: The Archaeology of Twentieth-Century Conflict* (London: Routledge, 2002).
- 43 Sharon Macdonald, *Difficult Heritage: Negotiating the Nazi Past in Nuremberg and Beyond* (Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge, 2009), 2.
- 44 Anna Cento Bull, Hans Lauge Hansen, Wulf Kansteiner and Nina Parish, "War Museums as Agonistic Spaces: Possibilities, Opportunities and Constraints," *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 25, no. 6 (2019): 611–25.
- 45 Odd Arne Westad, "Cold War Legacies: Memory and Materiality in the 21st Century" (keynote lecture, Edinburgh, 14 June 2023). See Liz Carlton, "Cold War Museology," conference review, accessed 16 May 2024, https://www.portal-militaergeschichte.de/carlton_cold_war.
- 46 John Schofield, William Gray Johnson and Colleen M. Beck, eds., *Matériel Culture: The Archaeology of Twentieth-Century Conflict* (London: Routledge, 2002).
- 47 See, for example, Wendy Gamber, "The Other Kitchen Debate: Gender, Microwave Safety, and Household Labor in Late Cold War America," *Enterprise & Society* 24, no. 3 (2023): 923–51; Justin Nordstrom, "The 'Kitchen Debate' Revisited: Abundance and Anti-Domesticity in Cold War America," *Global Food History* (2024), advance online: 1–21; Ruth Oldenziel and Karen Zachmann, eds., *Cold War Kitchen: Americanization, Technology, and European Users* (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2011); David Crowley and Susan E. Reid, eds., *Pleasures in Socialism: Leisure and Luxury in the Eastern Bloc* (Chicago: Northwestern University Press, 2010); Carys Wilkins, "Holidaying Behind the Iron Curtain: The Material Culture of Tourism in Cold War Eastern Europe," *Matkailututkimus* 17, no. 2 (2022): 53–71.
- 48 Sarah A. Harper, "Bombers, Bunkers and Badges: The Cold War Materialised in National Museums Scotland" (PhD thesis, University of Stirling, 2022).
- 49 See Susan Leigh Star and James R. Griesemer, "Institutional Ecology, 'Translations' and Boundary Objects: Amateurs and Professionals in Berkeley's Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, 1907–39," *Social Studies of Science* 19, no. 3 (1989): 387–420.
- 50 See Patrick Wright, *On Living in an Old Country: The National Past in Contemporary Britain* (London: Verso, 1985).
- 51 See the pointers, from an audience perspective, in Stephan Schwan and Silke Dutz, "How Do Visitors Perceive the Role of Authentic Objects in Museums?" *Curator* 63, no. 2 (2020): 217–37.

- 52 Grace Huxford, “‘Deterrence Can Be Boring’: Boredom, Gender, and Absence in Britain’s Cold War Military,” *Critical Military Studies* (2022), advance online: 1–19.
- 53 Mattias Frihammar, Fredrik Krohn Andersson, Maria Wendt and Cecilia Åse, *I Kalla Krigets Spår: Hot, Våld och Beskydd Som Kulturarv* (Göteborg: Makadam Förlag, 2023).
- 54 T. G. Ashplant, Graham Dawson and Michael Roper, “The Politics of War Memory and Commemoration: Contexts, Structures and Dynamics,” in *The Politics of War Memory and Commemoration*, eds T. G. Ashplant, Graham Dawson and Michael Roper (London and New York: Routledge, 2000), 16–17. On dark tourism see John Lennon and Malcolm Foley, *Dark Tourism: The Attraction of Death and Disaster* (London: Continuum, 2000).
- 55 As a primer see Cynthia Robinson, “Museums and Emotions,” *Journal of Museum Education* 46, no. 2 (2021): 147–49.
- 56 Rhiannon Mason and Joanne Sayner, “Bringing Museal Silence into Focus: Eight Ways of Thinking About Silence in Museums,” *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 25, no. 1 (2019): 5–20.
- 57 Samuel J. M. M. Alberti and Holger Nehring, “The Cold War in European Museums – Filling the ‘Empty Battlefield’,” *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 28, no. 2 (2022): 16.
- 58 Samuel J. M. M. Alberti, *Curious Devices and Mighty Machines* (London: Reaktion, 2022).
- 59 See for example Arthur P. Molella and Scott Gabriel Knowles, eds., *World’s Fairs in the Cold War: Science, Technology, and the Culture of Progress* (Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 2019); Alison Boyle, “‘Banishing the Atom Pile Bogey’: Exhibiting Britain’s First Nuclear Reactor,” *Centaurus* 61 (2019): 14–32.
- 60 See, for example: Christian Sichau, “Zwischen glänzendem Messing und abgenutztem Knopfdruckexperimenten. Das Atom im Museum,” in *Atombilder. Ikonografie des Atoms in Wissenschaft und Öffentlichkeit des 20. Jahrhunderts*, eds. Charlotte Bigg and Jochen Hennig (Göttingen: Wallstein, 2009), 97–109.
- 61 Edward T. Linenthal and Tom Engelhardt, eds., *History Wars: The Enola Gay and Other Battles for the American Past* (New York: Holt, 1996); Geoffrey S. Smith, “Beware, the Historian! Hiroshima, the *Enola Gay*, and the Dangers of History,” *Diplomatic History* 22, no. 1 (1998): 121–30.
- 62 Tim Barringer and Tom Flynn, eds., *Colonialism and the Object: Empire, Material Culture and the Museum* (London: Routledge, 1997); Henrietta Lidchi and Stuart Allan, eds., *Dividing the Spoils: Perspectives on Military Collections and the British Empire* (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2020); Sarah Longair and John McAleer, eds., *Curating Empire: Museums and the British Imperial Experience* (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2016); Frederik Rosén, “Cultural Heritage and Armed Conflict: Preserving Art While Protecting Life,” in *The Preservation of Art and Culture in Times of War*, eds. Claire Finkelstein, Derek Gillman and Frederik Rosén (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2022), 1–22. See also Josephine Munch Rasmussen, Sam Hardy, Ana Pilar Vico Belmonte, Siân Jones, and Diána Vonnák, “Cultural Heritage in Conflict Areas (DECOPE),” accessed 16 May 2024, <https://www.niku.no/en/forskningsprosjekt/decope>.
- 63 Christine Sylvester, *Curating and Re-Curating the American Wars in Vietnam and Iraq* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2019); Lisa Yoneyama, *Hiroshima Traces: Time, Space, and the Dialectics of Memory* (Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 1999).
- 64 Daphne Berdahl, “Re-presenting the Socialist Modern: Museums and Memory in the Former GDR,” in *Socialist Modern: East German Everyday Culture and Politics*, eds. Katherine Pence and Paul Betts (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2008), 345–66; Milka Ivanova and Dorina-Maria Buda, “Thinking Rhizomatically About Communist Heritage Tourism,” *Annals of Tourism Research* 84 (2020): 103000.
- 65 Rosén, “Cultural Heritage and Armed Conflict,” 9.

- 66 David Lowenthal, *The Past Is a Foreign Country – Revisited* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2015).
- 67 Wayne Cocroft and Roger J. C. Thomas, eds., *Cold War: Building for Nuclear Confrontation 1946–1989* (Swindon: Historic England, 2003); John Schofield, Wayne Cocroft and Marina Dobronovskaya, “Cold War: A Transnational Approach to a Global Heritage,” *Post-Medieval Archaeology* 55, no. 1 (2021): 39–58.
- 68 Sharon Macdonald, *Memorylands: Heritage and Identity in Europe Today* (London: Routledge, 2013), 3.
- 69 Stefan Berger, Anna Bull, Cristian Cercel, Nina Parish, Małgorzata Quinkenstein, Eleanor Rowley, Zofia Wóycicka, Jocelyn Dodd and Sarah Plumb, “War Museums and Agonistic Memory: A Report,” *Museum Worlds* 6, no. 1 (2018): 112–24.
- 70 Anna Cento Bull, Hans Lauge Hansen, Wulf Kansteiner and Nina Parish, “War Museums as Agonistic Spaces: Possibilities, Opportunities and Constraints,” *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 25, no. 6 (2019): 614.

References

- Alberti, Samuel J. M. M. *Curious Devices and Mighty Machines*. London: Reaktion, 2022.
- Alberti, Samuel J. M. M. and Holger Nehring. “The Cold War in European Museums – Filling the ‘Empty Battlefield.’” *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 28, no. 2 (2022): 180–199.
- Alberti, Samuel J. M. M., Holger Nehring with Jessica Douthwaite and Sarah Harper. *Cold War Scotland*. Edinburgh: National Museums Scotland, 2024.
- Åse, Cecilia and Maria Wendt. “Gender, Memories, and National Security: The Making of a Cold War Military Heritage.” *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 24, no. 2 (2022): 221–42.
- Ashplant, T. G., Graham Dawson and Michael Roper. “The Politics of War Memory and Commemoration: Contexts, Structures and Dynamics.” In *The Politics of War Memory and Commemoration*, edited by Timothy G. Ashplant, Graham Dawson and Michael Roper, 3–85. London and New York: Routledge, 2000.
- Axelsson, Tony, Anders Gustafsson, Håkan Karlsson and Maria Persson. “Command Centre Bjorn: The Conflict Heritage of a Swedish Cold War Military Installation.” *Journal of Conflict Archaeology* 13, no. 1 (2018): 59–76.
- Barringer, Tim and Tom Flynn, eds. *Colonialism and the Object: Empire, Material Culture and the Museum*. London: Routledge, 1997.
- Beck, John and Ryan Bishop, eds. *Cold War Legacies: Systems, Theory, Aesthetics*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2016.
- Bennett, Luke. “Bunkerology—A Case Study in the Theory and Practice of Urban Exploration.” *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 29, no. 3 (2011): 421–34.
- Bennett, Luke. “Who Goes There? Accounting for Gender in the Urge to Explore Abandoned Military Bunkers.” *Gender, Place and Culture* 20, no. 5 (2013): 630–46.
- Berdahl, Daphne. “(N)Ostalgie for the Present: Memory, Longing and East German Things.” *Ethnos* 64, no. 2 (1999): 192–211.
- Berdahl, Daphne. “‘Go, Trabi, Go!’: Reflections on a Car and Its Symbolization over Time.” *Anthropology and Humanism* 25, no. 2 (2000): 131–41.
- Berdahl, Daphne. “Re-presenting the Socialist Modern: Museums and Memory in the Former GDR.” In *Socialist Modern: East German Everyday Culture and Politics*, edited by Katherine Pence and Paul Betts, 345–66. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2008.
- Berger, Stefan, Anna Bull, Cristian Cercel, Nina Parish, Małgorzata Quinkenstein, Eleanor Rowley, Zofia Wóycicka, Jocelyn Dodd and Sarah Plumb. “War Museums and Agonistic Memory: A Report.” *Museum Worlds* 6, no. 1 (2018): 112–24.

- Berger-Ziauddin, Silvia. "Überlebenszelle, Territorium, Bordell. Bunker. Schweiz im nuklearen Zeitalter." Habilitation, University of Zurich, 2019.
- Betts, Paul. "The Twilight of the Idols: East German Memory and Material Culture." *Journal of Modern History* 72, no. 3 (2000): 731–65.
- Boyle, Alison. "'Banishing the Atom Pile Bogy': Exhibiting Britain's First Nuclear Reactor." *Centaurus* 61 (2019): 14–32.
- Brown, Steve. "Archaeology of Brutal Encounter: Heritage and Bomb Testing on Bikini Atoll, Republic of the Marshall Islands." *Archaeology in Oceania* 48, no. 1 (2013): 26–39.
- Buck-Morss, Susan. *Dreamworld and Catastrophe: The Passing of Mass Utopia in East and West*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2000.
- Bull, Anna Cento, Hans Lauge Hansen, Wulf Kansteiner and Nina Parish. "War Museums as Agonistic Spaces: Possibilities, Opportunities and Constraints." *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 25, no. 6 (2019): 611–25.
- Clarke, Robert. "Landscape, Memory and Secrecy: The Cold War Archaeology of the Royal Observer Corps." PhD Thesis, University of Exeter, 2016.
- Cocroft, Wayne and Roger J. C. Thomas. *Cold War: Building for Nuclear Confrontation 1946–1989*. Swindon: Historic England, 2003.
- Cornish, Paul. "Extremes of Collecting at the Imperial War Museum 1917–2009: Struggles with the Large and Ephemeral." In *Extreme Collecting: Challenging Practices for 21st Century Museums*, edited by Graeme Were and J. C. H. King, 157–67. New York: Berghahn, 2012.
- Crowley, David and Susan E. Reid, eds. *Pleasures in Socialism: Leisure and Luxury in the Eastern Bloc*. Chicago: Northwestern University Press, 2010.
- Cummings, Bruce. *The Origins of the Korean War*. 2 vols. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1981 and 1990.
- Daugbjerg, Mads. "Playing with Fire: Struggling with 'Experience' and 'Play' in War Tourism." *Museum and Society* 9, no. 1 (2011): 17–33.
- DeSilvey, Caitlin. *Curated Decay: Heritage Beyond Saving*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2017.
- Echternkamp, Jörg and Stephan Jaeger, eds. *Views of Violence: Representing the Second World in German and European Museums and Memorials*. New York: Berghahn, 2019.
- Eugster, David and Sibylle Marti, eds. *Das Imaginäre des Kalten Krieges. Beiträge zu einer Kulturgeschichte des Ost-West-Konfliktes in Europa*. Essen: Klartext, 2015.
- Flintham, Matthew. "Parallel Landscapes: A Spatial and Critical Study of Militarised Sites in the United Kingdom." PhD Thesis, Royal College of Art, 2010.
- Flintham, Matthew. "Torås Kommandoplass: Observations from a Dark Summit." *Critical Military Studies* 2, no. 3 (2016): 271–77.
- Flintham, Matthew. "Vile Incubator: A Pathology of the Cold War Bunker." *Journal of War & Culture Studies* 13, no. 1 (2020): 11–32.
- Frihammar, Mattias, Fredrik Krohn Andersson, Maria Wendt and Cecilia Åse. *I Kalla Krigets Spår: Hot, Våld och Beskydd Som Kulturarv*. Göteborg: Makadam Förlag, 2023.
- Gamber, Wendy. "The Other Kitchen Debate: Gender, Microwave Safety, and Household Labor in Late Cold War America." *Enterprise & Society* 24, no. 3 (2023): 923–51.
- Glejjeses, Piero. *Visions of Freedom: Havana, Washington, Pretoria, and the Struggle for Southern Africa, 1976–1991*. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press, 2013.
- Grant, Matthew and Benjamin Ziemann, eds. *Understanding the Imaginary War: Culture, Thought and Nuclear Conflict*. Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2016.
- Greiner, Bernd, Christian Th. Müller and Dierk Walter, eds. *Angst im Kalten Krieg*. Hamburg: Hamburger Edition, 2009.

- Hansen, Jan, Jochen Hung, Andrew Tompkins and Phillip Wagner. "Introduction: The Material Culture of Politics." *International Journal for History, Culture and Modernity* 6 (2018): 1–12.
- Hanson, Todd A. *The Archaeology of the Cold War*. Gainesville, FL: University of Florida Press, 2016.
- Harper, Sarah A. "Bombers, Bunkers, and Badges: The Cold War Materialised in National Museums Scotland." PhD Thesis, University of Stirling, 2022.
- Harré, Rom. "Material Objects in Social Worlds." *Theory, Culture & Society* 19, no. 5/6 (2002): 23–33.
- Hermann, Inge. "Cold War Heritage (and) Tourism: Exploring Heritage Processes Within Cold War Sites in Britain." PhD Thesis, University of Bedfordshire, 2012.
- Hogg, Jonathan. *British Nuclear Culture: Official and Unofficial Narratives in the Long 20th Century*. London: Bloomsbury, 2016.
- Horn, Eva. *The Secret War: Treason, Espionage, and Modern Fiction*. Evanston, IL: Illinois University Press, 2013.
- Huxford, Grace. "'Deterrence Can Be Boring': Boredom, Gender, and Absence in Britain's Cold War Military." *Critical Military Studies* (2022): 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23337486.2022.2110697>.
- Ivanova, Milka and Dorina-Maria Buda. "Thinking Rhizomatically About Communist Heritage Tourism." *Annals of Tourism Research* 84 (2020): 103000.
- Jaeger, Stephan. *The Second World War in the Twenty-First-Century Museum: From Narrative, Memory, and Experience to Experientiality*. Berlin: De Gruyter, 2020.
- Johnston, Gordon. "Revisiting the Cultural Cold War." *Social History* 35, no. 3 (2010): 290–307.
- Jones, Siân. "Experiencing Authenticity at Heritage Sites: Some Implications for Heritage Management and Conservation." *Conservation and Management of Archaeological Sites* 11, no. 2 (2009): 133–47.
- Kazlauskaitė, Rūta. "Embodying Ressentimentful Victimhood: Virtual Reality Re-Enactment of the Warsaw Uprising in the Second World War Museum in Gdańsk." *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 28, no. 6 (2022): 699–713.
- Koselleck, Reinhart. *Zeitschichten. Studien zur Historik*. Frankfurt: Suhrkamp, 2000.
- Kozák, Kryštof, György Tóth, Paul Bauer and Allison Wanger. *Memory in Transatlantic Relations: From the Cold War to the Global War on Terror*. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge, 2019.
- Leech, Steven T. "Echoes from the Recent Past: An Archaeological Ethnography of Historic Cold War Radar Sites in the UK." PhD Thesis, University of Manchester, 2017.
- Lennon, John and Malcolm Foley. *Dark Tourism: The Attraction of Death and Disaster*. London: Continuum, 2000.
- Lidchi, Henrietta and Stuart Allan, eds. *Dividing the Spoils: Perspectives on Military Collections and the British Empire*. Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2020.
- Linenthal, Edward T. and Tom Engelhardt, eds. *History Wars: The Enola Gay and Other Battles for the American Past*. New York: Holt, 1996.
- Lowe, David and Tony Joel. *Remembering the Cold War: Global Contest and National Stories*. London: Routledge, 2012.
- Longair, Sarah and John McAleer, eds. *Curating Empire: Museums and the British Imperial Experience*. Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2016.
- Lowenthal, David. *The Past Is a Foreign Country – Revisited*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- Macdonald, Sharon. *Difficult Heritage: Negotiating the Nazi Past in Nuremberg and Beyond*. Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge, 2009.

- Schofield, John, Wayne Cocroft and Marina Dobronovskaya. "Cold War: A Transnational Approach to a Global Heritage." *Post-Medieval Archaeology* 55, no. 1 (2021): 39–58.
- Schofield, John, William Gray Johnson and Colleen M. Beck, eds. *Matériel Culture: The Archaeology of Twentieth-Century Conflict*. London: Routledge, 2002.
- Schwan, Stephan and Silke Dutz. "How Do Visitors Perceive the Role of Authentic Objects in Museums?" *Curator* 63, no. 2 (2020): 217–37.
- Sichau, Christian. "Zwischen glänzendem Messing und abgenutztem Knopfdruckexperimenten. Das Atom im Museum." In *Atombilder. Ikonografie des Atoms in Wissenschaft und Öffentlichkeit des 20. Jahrhunderts*, edited by Charlotte Bigg and Jochen Hennig, 97–109. Göttingen: Wallstein, 2009.
- Smith, Geoffrey S. "Beware, the Historian! Hiroshima, the *Enola Gay*, and the Dangers of History." *Diplomatic History* 22, no. 1 (1998): 121–30.
- Star, Susan Leigh and James R. Griesemer. "Institutional Ecology, 'Translations' and Boundary Objects: Amateurs and Professionals in Berkeley's Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, 1907–39." *Social Studies of Science* 19, no. 3 (1989): 387–420.
- Strange, Ian and Ed Walley. "Cold War Heritage and the Conservation of Military Remains in Yorkshire." *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 13, no. 2 (2007): 154–69.
- Strömberg, Per. "Swedish Military Bases of the Cold War: The Making of a New Cultural Heritage." *Culture Unbound* 2 (2010): 635–63.
- Sylvester, Christine. *Curating and Re-Curating the American Wars in Vietnam and Iraq*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2019.
- Thiemeyer, Thomas. *Fortsetzung des Krieges mit anderen Mitteln: Die beiden Weltkriege im Museum*. Paderborn: Schöningh, 2010.
- Tomasiewicz, Kasia. "'We Are a Social History, not a Military History Museum': Large Objects and the 'Peopling' of Galleries in the Imperial War Museum, London." In *Museums, Modernity and Conflict: Museums and Collections in and of War Since the Nineteenth Century*, edited by Kate Hill, 213–34. London: Routledge, 2020.
- Vergo, Peter, ed. *The New Museology*. London: Reaktion, 1989.
- Vowinckel, Annette, Marcus M. Payk and Thomas Lindenberger, eds. *Cold War Cultures: Perspectives on Eastern and Western European Societies*. New York: Berghahn, 2012.
- Vučetić, Radina and Paul Betts, eds. *Tito in Africa: Picturing Solidarity*. Belgrade: Museum of Yugoslavia, 2017.
- Whitmarsh, Andrew. "'We Will Remember Them': Memory and Commemoration in War Museums." *Journal of Conservation and Museum Studies* 7 (2001): 11–15.
- Wiener, Jon. *How We Forgot the Cold War: A Historical Journey Across America*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2012.
- Wilkins, Carys. "Holidaying Behind the Iron Curtain: The Material Culture of Tourism in Cold War Eastern Europe." *Matkailututkimus* 17, no. 2 (2022): 53–71.
- Wright, Patrick. *On Living in an Old Country: The National Past in Contemporary Britain*. London: Verso, 1985.
- Yoneyama, Lisa. *Hiroshima Traces: Time, Space, and the Dialectics of Memory*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 1999.