

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Jump, A. S., Ruiz-Benito, P., Greenwood, S., Allen, C. D., Kitzberger, T., Fensham, R., Martínez-Vilalta, J. and Lloret, F. (2017), Structural overshoot of tree growth with climate variability and the global spectrum of drought-induced forest dieback. *Glob Change Biol*, 23: 3742–3757, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13636>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance With Wiley Terms and Conditions for self-archiving.

**Structural overshoot of tree growth with climate variability and the global spectrum of drought-induced forest dieback**

Alistair S. Jump<sup>1,2</sup>, Paloma Ruiz-Benito<sup>1,3</sup>, Sarah Greenwood<sup>1</sup>, Craig D. Allen<sup>4</sup>, Thomas Kitzberger<sup>5</sup>, Rod Fensham<sup>6</sup>, Jordi Martínez-Vilalta<sup>2,7</sup> and Francisco Lloret<sup>2,7</sup>

*Accepted for publication in Global Change Biology published by Wiley-Blackwell*

<sup>1</sup>Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, Scotland, FK9 4LA, UK.

<sup>2</sup>CREAF, Campus de Bellaterra (UAB), Edifici C, Cerdanyola del Vallès 08193, Catalonia, Spain.

<sup>3</sup>Forest Ecology and Restoration Group, Department of Life Sciences, Science Building, Universidad de Alcalá, Campus Universitario, 28805 Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain.

<sup>4</sup>U.S. Geological Survey, Fort Collins Science Center, New Mexico Landscapes Field Station, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544.

<sup>5</sup>Laboratorio Ecotono, INIBIOMA, CONICET-Universidad Nacional del Comahue, Bariloche, 8400 Río Negro, Argentina.

<sup>6</sup>Queensland Herbarium, Environmental Protection Agency, Mt Coot-tha Road, Toowong, Queensland 4066, Australia; School of Biological Sciences, University of Queensland, St Lucia, Queensland 4072, Australia

<sup>7</sup>Autonomous University of Barcelona, Cerdanyola del Vallès 08193, Catalonia, Spain.

**Corresponding author:** Alistair S. Jump, Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, Scotland, FK9 4LA, UK. a.s.jump@stir.ac.uk, +441786467848

**Running title:** Tree mortality due to structural overshoot

**Keywords:** Climate change, forest dynamics, drought, mortality, extreme events

**Type of article:** Review

**Words:** 7062 – including tables and figure captions

## 27 **Abstract**

28 Ongoing climate change poses significant threats to plant function and distribution. Increased  
29 temperatures and altered precipitation regimes amplify drought frequency and intensity,  
30 elevating plant stress and mortality. Large-scale forest mortality events will have far-reaching  
31 impacts on carbon and hydrological cycling, biodiversity, and ecosystem services. However,  
32 biogeographical theory and global vegetation models poorly represent recent forest die-off  
33 patterns. Furthermore, since trees are sessile and long-lived, their responses to climate  
34 extremes are substantially dependent on historical factors. We show that periods of  
35 favourable climatic and management conditions that facilitate abundant tree growth can lead  
36 to structural overshoot of above-ground tree biomass due to a subsequent temporal mismatch  
37 between water demand and availability. When environmental favourability declines,  
38 increases in water and temperature stress that are protracted, rapid, or both, drive a gradient  
39 of tree structural responses that can modify forest self-thinning relationships. Responses  
40 ranging from premature leaf senescence and partial canopy dieback to whole-tree mortality  
41 reduce canopy leaf area during the stress period, and for a lagged recovery window  
42 thereafter. Such temporal mismatches of water requirements from availability can occur at  
43 local to regional scales throughout a species geographical range. Since climate change  
44 projections predict large future fluctuations in both wet and dry conditions, we expect forests  
45 to become increasingly structurally mismatched to water availability and thus over-built  
46 during more stressful episodes. By accounting for the historical context of biomass  
47 development, our approach can explain previously problematic aspects of large-scale forest  
48 mortality, such as why it can occur throughout the range of a species and yet still be locally  
49 highly variable, and why some events seem readily attributable to an ongoing drought while  
50 others do not. This refined understanding can facilitate better projections of structural

overshoot responses, enabling improved prediction of changes to forest distribution and function from regional to global scales.

## **Introduction**

Changing climate patterns pose significant threats to plant and ecosystem function and species distributions (Kelly & Goulden, 2008). In many areas, increased temperatures and altered precipitation regimes combine to exacerbate drought stress from hotter droughts, significantly elevating plant mortality, from water-limited Mediterranean forests to tropical moist forests (IPCC, 2014; Allen *et al.*, 2015, Greenwood *et al.*, in press). Of particular concern are broad-scale forest die-off events where rapid mortality occurs over 10s to 1000s of km<sup>2</sup> of forest, which could offset any positive tree-growth effects of CO<sub>2</sub> fertilisation and longer growing seasons from warming temperatures during the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup> Century (Norby & Zak, 2011; Nabuurs *et al.*, 2013; Ruiz-Benito *et al.*, 2014; van der Sleen *et al.*, 2015). Furthermore, widespread forest growth reductions and increases in the extent and magnitude of die-off events are anticipated as climate warms and becomes more extreme and as current climatic extremes become more frequent (Adams *et al.*, 2009; van Oijen *et al.*, 2013; Allen *et al.*, 2015; Frank *et al.*, 2015; Charney *et al.*, 2016; Greenwood *et al.*, in press). Extensive forest die-offs would have far-reaching consequences through impacts on carbon and hydrological cycling, biodiversity, and goods and environmental services to local human populations (Anderegg *et al.*, 2015; Frank *et al.*, 2015; Trumbore *et al.*, 2015).

Ongoing environmental changes are already altering the distribution of species across the globe (Walther *et al.*, 2002; Parmesan, 2006). Contemporary plant range changes have been readily identified in woody species, with range expansions and increases in population density resulting from enhanced growth and reproduction at the upper and poleward edge of

species distributions as the climate warms (Sturm *et al.*, 2001; Harsch *et al.*, 2009). Negative changes in plant water balance due to elevated temperature and/or decreased precipitation are expected to locally constrain productivity and elevate mortality (e.g. Juday *et al.*, 2015), with effects being particularly evident at the equatorial and low altitude (or hotter and drier) margins of species distributions (Bigler *et al.*, 2007; Sarris *et al.*, 2007; Allen *et al.*, 2010; Carnicer *et al.*, 2011; Linares & Camarero, 2011; Sánchez-Salguero *et al.*, 2012). Indeed, recent evidence from populations at the equatorial and low altitude range-edge of forest-forming tree species has shown elevated mortality and growth decline linked to rising temperatures and drought stress over the last half-century (Jump *et al.*, 2006; van Mantgem & Stephenson, 2007; Beckage *et al.*, 2008; Piovesan *et al.*, 2008). Drought-linked tree mortality might, therefore, be expected to concentrate along already hotter and drier margins of a species' distribution. However, this is not always the case, with recent drought-linked die-off also occurring throughout species ranges while some range edge populations can be relatively unaffected by regional drought (Jump *et al.*, 2009; Allen *et al.* 2010; Hampe & Jump, 2011; Allen *et al.*, 2015; Cavin & Jump, 2016). Consequently, simple biogeographical explanations cannot adequately explain the full range of drought-linked tree mortality patterns observed.

Despite the recognised effects of intense droughts and increased temperatures on tree mortality, the die-off patterns observed worldwide are poorly reproduced by global vegetation models (McDowell *et al.*, 2013; Steinkamp & Hickler, 2015). Forests are complex ecosystems, and the responses to climate extremes are dependent on a range of factors including species composition, species-specific plant functional traits (Anderegg *et al.*, 2016a), intraspecific variability, biotic interactions, legacy effects, such as “ecological memory” of past climate, management, or natural disturbances (Johnstone *et al.*, 2016), and stand structure (Fensham *et al.*, 2005; Allen *et al.*, 2015). Another major factor commonly

101 confounding interpretations of the relationships between the drivers and effects of forest  
102 dieback is the temporal mismatch between relatively rapid climatic fluctuations in water  
103 deficit and temperature and the slower lagged morphological responses of trees. The  
104 complexity of the interactions among multiple inciting and exacerbating factors associated  
105 with diverse forest mortality processes are highlighted by the varied and divergent patterns  
106 and causes attributed to mortality events, even within a particularly well-studied species such  
107 as piñon pine (Meddens *et al.*, 2015).

108  
109 Our knowledge of physiological causes of drought-linked tree mortality has advanced rapidly  
110 over recent years as our understanding of the importance of both hydraulic failure and  
111 carbon-related aspects, as well as their interaction, has developed (McDowell, 2011; Sevanto  
112 *et al.*, 2014; Hartmann *et al.*, 2015; Mencuccini *et al.*, 2015). Likewise, the importance of  
113 substrate and biotic interactions, particularly insect pest outbreaks, in exacerbating mortality  
114 is well-understood at a general level (Franklin *et al.*, 1987; Anderegg *et al.*, 2015; Fensham *et al.*,  
115 2015; Hartmann *et al.*, 2015; Meddens *et al.*, 2015). However, a strong disparity persists  
116 between observed die-off events and our predictive capacity (McDowell *et al.*, 2013).  
117 Consequently, there is an urgent need to develop a more integrated approach to  
118 understanding broad-scale mortality, incorporating historical and landscape context as well as  
119 more immediate environmental drivers (Hartmann *et al.*, 2015).

120  
121 Here, we consider tree mortality responses to drought, showing that an approach that  
122 combines past environmental conditions with current tree structure can improve our  
123 understanding of drought-linked mortality events. We begin by considering plant responses  
124 to reduced water availability, before looking at the role of stand structure and management in  
125 determining response to changes in water availability from a variety of forest ecosystems. We

conclude with proposals to improve monitoring and modelling approaches with the aim of improving our predictive capacity of forest dieback across the globe.

### **Plant-level adjustments to increased water scarcity**

Alterations to the availability of resources limiting plant function and growth can be both direct (e.g. water, light and nutrients) or indirect (e.g. through disturbance, pests, pathogens). Plants typically respond to changes in resource availability via the gain or loss of biomass, suggesting that plant biomass should track the recent availability of the limiting resource (Chollet *et al.*, 2014). Commonly, significant time lags in response can occur due to the comparative slowness of plant morphological adjustments (e.g. carbon allocation, Arneth *et al.*, 1998) relative to potentially more rapid changes in resource availability, which could be partially compensated by water storage in plants and soil (Sevanto *et al.*, 2006). However, fluctuations in water availability are of critical importance since the water-storage capacity of most plants is low relative to total daily water demand, even in large trees. This relatively low water-storage capacity renders plants at particularly high risk of structural and functional injury through water deficit on much shorter time scales than through reduction of other resources that can be stored within plant tissues and reallocated (Vaadia *et al.*, 1961; Chapin *et al.*, 1990). Trees generally take advantage of wetter conditions by growing more above-ground biomass (e.g. taller stems and more leaf area), necessary to better compete for light and space when water is abundant. However, when the water limitations of drier climatic conditions inevitably return, this newly developed biomass may become unsustainable and vulnerable to structural dieback. We term this process of increased above-ground biomass development due to more favourable water availability in the past and the consequent temporal mismatch between water availability and demand, *structural overshoot* (SO).


Drought-resistance strategies are varied and range from drought escape (ephemeral species) to drought avoidance (e.g. through efficient stomatal control, drought-deciduousness, increased root:shoot ratio) and drought tolerance (e.g. high resistance to embolism, osmotic adjustment) (Ludlow, 1989; De Micco & Aronne, 2012; Brunner *et al.*, 2015). In perennial species, reducing water loss is a priority under drought (Maseda & Fernández, 2006) regardless of whether it occurs through stomatal closure and/or leaf loss. Stomatal closure has a direct cost in terms of carbon assimilation and may be unsustainable in the long-term (McDowell *et al.*, 2008; McDowell, 2011; Poyatos *et al.*, 2013), whereas structural adjustments (e.g. loss of leaves and above-ground woody tissues) are particularly costly in woody plants. Large woody organs are persistent and cannot be discarded during periods of water scarcity without partial or total mortality. Similarly, at the stand level, water availability per individual will depend on the overall water demands of the plants competing for the same water resources. Measures of stand structural development, such as stem density, basal area, or leaf area index (LAI), relative to a long-term baseline, should then be significant contributing factors to the drought susceptibility of forest stands through structural overshoot under fluctuating climate conditions (Ruiz-Benito *et al.*, 2013).

### **Stem density and leaf area influence on tree responses to extreme droughts**


According to the above rationale, drought-induced tree mortality should be more pronounced where stem density is the highest, all else being equal. We explored the validity of this hypothesis relative to drought-linked tree mortality across biomes by performing a review of the scientific literature using search terms “drought” and “mortality” and including quantitative, field-based observational research studies performed on adult trees (see Appendix S1 for full details). Of the 75 papers that identified drought-induced tree mortality (DITM), tree density was the most commonly mentioned covariate in DITM events (33% of



cases) alongside biotic agents (i.e. insects, pathogens or herbivores, 29% cases) (Fig. 1). While the overall risk of drought-induced forest mortality is consistent across biomes (Greenwood *et al.*, in press), density and biotic agents as co-drivers of DITM were more often reported in more water- or temperature-limited systems such as tropical savanna and temperate forests, respectively (Fig. 1). Tree mortality in tropical systems overall was more frequently related to the sole effects of episodic droughts or drought in combination with fire (Fig. 1). However, the lower frequency of drought when compared to fire may be influenced by the focus and methods of studies in tropical biomes (i.e. generally focussed less on density effects and biotic agents). Overall, we found that 71% of the 28 cases testing density effects reported a positive association between density and mortality (i.e. higher mortality in denser stands), 14% did not report a significant effect, and 14% reported higher mortality in less dense stands. A single study reported mixed positive and negative density dependent effects. Furthermore, the sign of the density effect was relatively independent of the forest type (Fig. 2).




**Figure 1.** Quantitative, field-based observational studies of drought-induced tree mortality that identify as drivers of drought alone (i.e. no cofactor) and co-drivers that interacted with drought in forest types classified following Olson *et al.* (2001) biomes.



**Figure 2.** Frequency of positive, negative or neutral effect of stand density on drought-induced tree mortality among quantitative, field-based observational studies in forest types classified using Olson *et al.* (2001) biomes.

While stand density is generally a co-driver in drought-induced tree mortality, total leaf area is a major determinant of plant water requirements and, therefore, has the potential to mediate drought impacts from individual organs up through the whole-forest scale (Fig. 3). Stand-level leaf area is normally expressed as the leaf area index (LAI), corresponding to projected canopy leaf area relative to ground area ( $\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-2}$ ), which can be calculated as the product of the projected leaf area of each tree (hereafter, crown leaf area,  $\text{m}^2 \text{tree}^{-1}$ ) and stand density ( $\text{tree m}^{-2}$ ). LAI can be used as a proxy of functional responses to resource availability, as for example with water availability (Margolis *et al.*, 1995; Pook *et al.*, 1997; Smettem *et al.*, 2013; Duursma *et al.*, 2016), and combines a number of ecosystem properties that are

dependent on climate, forest management, and legacy effects (Johnstone *et al.*, 2016). Furthermore, LAI is dynamic and changes with stand development and self-thinning processes (Holdaway *et al.*, 2008) and is critical in driving forest productivity (Reich, 2012). LAI also depends on forest type and climate, where temperature limitations on LAI have been identified in cool climates whereas water availability is the main climatic driver in other climates (Iio *et al.*, 2014), with LAI decreasing as water stress increases (Grier & Running, 1977; Luo *et al.*, 2004). Since LAI is coupled to the temporal availability of water, including pulsed deficits as drought (Iio *et al.*, 2014), drought is expected to lead to LAI and biomass reductions along a gradient of response running from premature leaf senescence and partial canopy dieback, to whole plant mortality (Fig. 3) such that drought-induced tree dieback and mortality events result from the temporal mismatch between LAI and water availability in a given environment.



**Figure 3.** Spectrum of tree or forest structural loss responses to decreases in water availability, or increases in drought stress. Photographs: Extensive premature leaf senescence (LS) of one-seed juniper (*Juniperus monosperma*), northern New Mexico, USA (2013, C.D. Allen), in response to protracted and extreme hotter drought (Allen *et al.*, 2015). Partial dieback (PD) of canopies of evergreen coihue (*Nothofagus dombeyi*), Patagonia, Argentina (2015, T. Kitzberger), from both extreme and chronic drought (Suarez & Kitzberger, 2010). Complete topkill tree mortality (TM) of jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata*), southern Western Australia (2012, C.D. Allen), triggered by extreme hotter drought in early 2011 and a chronically drying climate (Matusick *et al.*, 2013).

233


## 234 **Leaf area index under changing resource availability**

235 Resource limitations are at the base of our understanding of tree growth and forest dynamics.  
236 To the extent that forest resource use is determined by the product of tree density and  
237 individual tree size, both variables cannot increase at the same time (unless resources are not  
238 limiting). This is at the core of self-thinning theory, which predicts a negative relationship  
239 between tree density and tree size during forest development over time, at least within a  
240 range of tree densities and for even-aged stands (Yoda *et al.*, 1963). This negative  
241 relationship is normally described using a power law (linear in log-log scale) independently  
242 of the tree size measure used (Westoby *et al.*, 1984; Weller, 1987; Zeide, 1987). Several  
243 variables have been used to describe tree size (e.g. biomass, diameter, height, crown size),  
244 resulting in different self-thinning slopes. Here, we propose the use of crown leaf area as a  
245 measure of tree size when studying resource limitations in the context of drought-induced  
246 responses (see Fig. 4), since variables related to crown leaf area are arguably good proxies for  
247 individual resource use and physiological responses to specific perturbations, particularly  
248 drought. Furthermore, its relationship with crown allometry and growing-space-filling,  
249 instead of diameter or biomass, make the corresponding relationship between stem density  
250 and crown leaf area highly interpretable for individual and species-specific responses  
251 (Morris, 2003; Pretzsch & Schütze, 2005; Charru *et al.*, 2012). For simplicity, we assume that  
252 the slope of the log relationship between crown leaf area and tree density is -1, implying  
253 constant LAI over time at the stand level (unless resource availability changes), as is  
254 traditionally assumed during self-thinning (Long & Smith, 1984; Osawa & Allen, 1993; but  
255 see Holdaway *et al.*, 2008; Coomes *et al.*, 2012). However, our application of the proposed  
256 framework to forest SO responses to drought does not depend on this particular assumption

and would apply regardless of the slope of the relationship as long as it is negative (Coomes *et al.*, 2012).

The generality of the ideas underlying the self-thinning line (STL) concept makes it a powerful model to understand the impact of changes in resource availability on forest structure since its intercept is frequently dependent on resource availability (sometimes treated as site quality, Appendix S2). Modifications of the STL have been widely studied across different sites and species, whereas studies covering variations over time are scarcer (Appendix S2). The STL intercept increases with higher resource availability or productivity for a given species or, more generally, with release from any previously limiting factor (Bi, 2001; Weiskittel *et al.*, 2009; Zhang *et al.*, 2013; and Appendix S2). The slope of the STL relationship can be modified by differences in shade-tolerance (Pretzsch & Biber, 2005; Weiskittel *et al.*, 2009), perturbations (Oliver, 1995; Coomes *et al.*, 2012) and changes in soil fertility (Morris, 2003) and due to inter-site variability (see a complete description in Appendix S2). However, generally, the slope of the STL varies little through time (Pretzsch *et al.*, 2014) and space (Bi *et al.*, 2000; Bégin *et al.*, 2001; Bi, 2001), at least when there is no recruitment limitation and mature forests are experiencing competitive thinning (Duncanson *et al.*, 2015). Furthermore, although lower slopes could occur under increased aridity, intercept variations are much stronger than slope variations (Deng *et al.*, 2006; Dai *et al.*, 2009; Bai *et al.*, 2010). Consequently, we base our conceptual framework of drought responses on the expectation that changes in environmental conditions over time should result in a range of approximately parallel relationship between crown leaf area and tree density within a site (Fig. 4a; and references in Appendix S2), as it is generally supported by changes through time (Garcia, 2012; Pretzsch *et al.*, 2014). We note, however, that the

general principles presented here would still apply if alternative ‘self-thinning lines’ were not strictly parallel.



**Figure 4.** Structural overshoot (SO) framework highlighting temporal mismatches between resource demand and supply. Resource demand is assumed to be proportional to leaf area index (LAI) in a concept analogous to self-thinning but using crown leaf area as a measure of individual tree size. Panel (a) shows the theoretical effect of an extreme drought (red arrow) on the ‘self-thinning’ intercept (i.e. when stem density=1 tree ha<sup>-1</sup>), equivalent to the leaf area index (LAI) of the stand. The situation depicted in the figure illustrates a forest stand located initially in a position (state 1) from which there is an increase in LAI and stand density over time (to state 2) due to release from a limiting factor. Under an extreme drought event, there is a reduction in stand-level LAI, that can occur through: leaf senescence (LS) only, state 3A;

diverse combinations of partial dieback (PD) affecting canopy branches and whole stems (in multi-stemmed species), state 3<sub>B</sub> (shown as a grey zone); or individual tree mortality (TM), state 3<sub>C</sub>. Panel (b) shows the temporal dynamics of resource availability/climate suitability (upper graph, dotted black line represents average climatic conditions) and the associated changes in the intercept of the self-thinning line (LAI) (lower graph, including the dotted blue and red lines, which show the intercept for the continuous blue and red lines in panel (a), respectively). We highlighted the impact of three severe droughts using red arrows: the first drought event occurs when forest LAI is still relatively low, and hence the impact on the stand is minor; the second drought occurs when LAI is higher and, therefore, the corresponding response in terms of LAI reduction is also larger (a detailed response is depicted in panel (c)); and, the third arrow depicts an hypothetical situation in which forest resilience has been lost due to continuously worsening conditions and thus an additional drought may result in extreme LAI reductions (not depicted in panels (a) or (c)). The location of the states (1) (i.e. initial state), state (2) (i.e. when self-thinning is occurring under high resource availability and/or climatic suitability), and state (3) (i.e. potential state under persistent severe droughts exceeding the inter-annual variability and potentially leading to new self-thinning lines) are also shown. Panel (c) shows a more detailed temporal response of the self-thinning intercept to a drought event, illustrating different dynamics depending on whether the response is primarily through leaf senescence (LS), partial dieback of canopy branches and stems (PD, grey zone), or extensive tree mortality (TM).

### **Temporal mismatch between water demand and availability drives the spectrum of tree dieback responses to drought.**

Following a period with increasing resource availability (or release from previous limiting factors, such as conditions following disturbances), the self-thinning line would move away



from the origin (higher intercept), which implies higher LAI (and water use) at the stand level (Fig. 4a,b). There is increasing evidence that leaf area at both the tree and stand levels responds to changes in water availability, but frequently with lagged responses (Bigler *et al.*, 2007). These lags arise from the fact that the water status of trees can be buffered from seasonal or even longer-term variations in climatic water availability (due to, e.g. deep rooting) and also from the fact that individual trees have a substantial capacity to accommodate short-term changes in water stress even without leaf loss (Martínez-Vilalta *et al.*, 2014). As a result, temporal changes in LAI are frequently smaller than those observed when comparing the mean conditions of different sites along analogous gradients in water availability (Smettem *et al.*, 2013). A frequent consequence of LAI dynamics lagging somewhat behind environmental changes is the temporal mismatch of resource availability and LAI; in particular, when severe stress occurs after a strongly favourable period, the large difference between resource demand (determined by lagged LAI) and resource availability results in a forest structurally maladapted to the current stressful conditions. We hypothesise that the potential for SO dieback dynamics to occur depends upon the particular magnitude, timing, and sequence of climatic fluctuations, which drive the size and duration of the temporal mismatch between legacy LAI levels and resource availability.


Given that temporal variability in water (or other limiting resources) drives the development of high tree LAI relative to subsequent resource availability, the resulting SO eventually leads to dieback reductions in leaf area. Individual tree responses can be put in a wider context of diverse structural plant adjustments (Fig. 3), ranging from premature leaf senescence (LS) to partial dieback (PD) of canopies and stems to complete tree mortality (TM). We expect that LAI adjustments will occur more rapidly if they occur through leaf senescence, resulting in shorter temporal lags between water availability and demand. At the

other extreme, a response through tree mortality, with a much larger cost in terms of biomass, would tend to occur more slowly and result in longer lags (Fig. 4c), although outbreak dynamics of mortality-causing biotic agents such as bark beetles can drive relatively rapid tree mortality (Anderegg et al. 2015). The implications in terms of recovery at tree and stand levels after disturbance are substantial. Recovery after LS occurs primarily through the growth of new foliage once environmental conditions return to a relatively favourable state, which requires the consumption of stored carbohydrates (Galiano *et al.*, 2011). Recovery from PD, if developmentally possible for the species, additionally requires some level of woody tissue resprouting from the crown, stem, or roots, with an associated greater cost to stored carbohydrate resources, implying slower response times (Galiano *et al.*, 2012). Finally, recovery after complete TM depends on new recruitment, implying even longer response times (Fig. 4c). The response spectrum between LS and TM can be seen as a continuum – they may occur simultaneously in co-occurring species or in different trees of a given population, in which more severe levels of resource stress (or disturbance) increase the likelihood of a TM response (Fig. 3). These three types of responses often occur sequentially in time, starting with LS, followed by PD and, if the stress is intense or persistent enough, resulting in TM (e.g. Galiano *et al.*, 2011). However, they also seem to be site- and species-dependent to varying degrees, as we illustrate below.

## **Structural overshoot and the spectrum of drought-induced forest dieback around the world**

Different tree species show different strategies in their response to chronic and acute drought stress linked to differences in traits, population history, and the temporal patterns of drought occurrence to which they are adapted. Consequently, changes in water availability can result in a variety of responses at the population level such that seemingly disparate responses in

371 different systems are linked through the SO concept along a continuum, from leaf drop to  
372 whole plant mortality (Fig. 3). The origin of structural overshoot is usually due to the  
373 existence of favourable conditions for growth (e.g. wet or drought-free periods) together with  
374 certain management actions or omissions that favour tree encroachment (Table 1). On the one  
375 hand, historical climatic variability promotes favourable conditions for growth and biomass  
376 increments generally at centennial or decadal periods: centennial such as in *Eucalyptus*-  
377 dominated savannah from NE Australia (Fensham *et al.*, 2005; Fensham *et al.*, 2012), multi-  
378 decadal for conifer forests of SW North America (Williams *et al.*, 2013; Allen *et al.*, 2015;  
379 Williams *et al.*, 2015), or decadal such as in austral *Nothofagus* forests in South America  
380 (Suarez *et al.*, 2004; Suarez & Kitzberger, 2008; Suarez & Kitzberger, 2010). On the other  
381 hand, human legacies have coupled with climatic variation through successional vegetation  
382 growth since the last disturbance or exploitation, ranging from settlement fires in Andean  
383 Patagonia, and agricultural and timber exploitation cessation in Europe, to logging in tropical  
384 forests or ranching in SW North America and Australia (Table 1, Fig. 5). The accumulation  
385 of biomass may be further promoted with forest fire protection (as in South American  
386 *Nothofagus* forests), increasing stand densities as well as fuel accumulation and the risk of  
387 future fires (as in North American *Pinus* forests, Table 1).



**Figure 5.** Map location and illustrations of structural overshoot (SO) responses of the case studies summarised in Table 1 and Appendix S3, overlaid on major terrestrial biomes modified from Olson *et al.* (2001). (1): tree mortality of *Nothofagus dombeyi* near Bariloche (Argentina) (photo T. Kitzberger and F. Lloret); (2): tree mortality of *Pinus sylvestris* in Prades (Tarragona, Spain) (photo R. Martin Vidal) and in Teruel (Spain) (F. Lloret); (3): partial dieback of *Juniperus monosperma* in New Mexico (USA) and tree mortality of *Pinus* sp. in Sequoia Natural Park (USA) (photo C. D. Allen); (4): tree mortality of *Eucalyptus*

*melaniploia* sp. and *Acacia aneura* in Queensland (Australia) (photo R. Fenshman); (5): tree mortality in species rich forests in western Amazonian (Brazil) (photo NASA/JPL-Caltech from Saatchi *et al.* (2013)).

After periods of biomass accumulation due to both climatic variability and legacy effects, extreme drought events might easily result in SO in a wide variety of forest and tree species (Table 1). Extreme drought may also occur in the context of multiyear climatic oscillations, such as ENSO leading to peaks of drought in the wet season of South American *Nothofagus* forests or extremely low rainfall in the dry season in Amazonian tropical rainforests (see references Table 1). The effects of multiyear droughts can accumulate during several years and eventually result in temporal peaks of extensive mortality (e.g. NE Spain, SW North America and Queensland). Drought effects are commonly reinforced by abiotic and biotic co-drivers, as high temperatures (e.g. SW Argentina, NE Spain, SW North America), soils with low water holding capacity (e.g. S and NE Spain and Queensland), antagonistic biotic interactions (fungal diseases, plant parasites such as mistletoe, insect outbreaks (e.g. NE Spain, SW North America), wildfires (e.g. SW North America and Amazonia), logging and/or habitat disruption (e.g. Amazonia) (Table 1). Some of these co-drivers, in turn may be reinforced by the loss of vigour that usually accompanies SO and tree mortality, such as in the case of biotic antagonists (Franklin *et al.*, 1987), or by the resulting transformation of the environment (Allen, 2007).

The variety of tree-level responses, from LS to PD and individual TM, seems to obey on the one hand the intensity and frequency of droughts (Figure 3) and on the other hand the anatomical and structural differences between species. For example, PD seems to be particularly common in *Fagaceae* and *Nothofagaceae* (Suarez *et al.*, 2004; Galiano *et al.*,

2012), while *Pinaceae* show a more continuous pattern of LS until eventual TM (Galiano *et al.*, 2010; Galiano *et al.*, 2011; Poyatos *et al.*, 2013). Leaf area reductions predicted by the SO framework can eventually translate to changes in the dominant species, particularly when TM is the more conspicuous response (e.g. Allen and Breshears, 1998). Considering the dominant structural responses of the forests (Table 1) while species self-replacement may occur in some cases (Hosking & Hutcheson, 1988), shifts, when they occur, tend to favour more drought-tolerant species, for example, *Austrocedrus chilensis* in *Nothofagus dombeyi* forests (Suarez & Kitzberger, 2008), and *Quercus ilex* or *Q. pubescens* in *Pinus sylvestris* forests (Galiano *et al.*, 2010; Rigling *et al.*, 2013). When the phenomenon extends over large areas, such in SW North America, vegetation shifts can be strongly evident at ecotones (Allen & Breshears, 1998). However, we do not have enough information to identify clear, general trends of species replacement and vegetation shifts, substantially because of large uncertainties in the mid-term fate of the regeneration of the different species (Martínez-Vilalta & Lloret, 2016). At the ecosystem level, tree mortality events have led to an important loss of forest area and stored carbon (Table 1). The reduction of live standing biomass by mortality can in turn increase dead fuel loads, thereby increasing fire risk rapidly (e.g. *Nothofagus* in SW Argentina and tropical rainforest).

438 **Table 1. Summary of five diverse case studies illustrating the SO framework** Summary of structural overshoot examples from five  
 439 continents, including SO legacy causes (both climatic and management legacies), SO response drivers (i.e. climatic drivers and other co-drivers),  
 440 affected stand conditions and landscape settings, and predominant structural responses. The main affected tree species of each case study are (1):  
 441 *Nothofagus dombeyi*; (2): *Pinus sylvestris*, *Quercus ilex*; (3): *Abies* spp., *Pseudotsuga menziesii*, *Populus* spp., *Pinus ponderosa*, *Quercus* spp.,  
 442 *Pinus edulis*, and *Juniperus monosperma*; (4): *Eucalyptus* spp. and *Acacia* spp.; and (5): species-rich forest. See Appendix S3 for additional text  
 443 description of each case study.

Case study (region)	SO legacy cause		SO response drivers		Affected conditions (stand level, functional group, biogeographical location)	Predominant structural responses
	Climatic legacy	Management legacy	Climatic driver	Other co- drivers		
<b>1. Temperate broadleaved forest</b> (N Patagonia, SW Argentina)	Wet or drought- free growth periods	Fire suppression after settlement	Extreme droughts in the wet season; failure of deep soil water recharge	Summer temperatures	High density stands. Trees with declining growth. Dry edge of species range	Massive partial dieback, tree mortality
<b>2. Temperate evergreen forest</b> (Spain)	Wet or drought- free growth periods	Agricultural and timber exploitation abandonment	Extreme droughts over the baseline trend	Shallow and stony soils, mistletoe, fungal pathogens	High density stands. Dry edge of species range	Premature leaf senescence, delayed tree mortality

<b>3. Temperate forests (SW USA)</b>	Wet or drought-free growth periods	Fire exclusion due to ranching and suppression	Episodic extreme droughts, increasing background drought stress	Temperature, insect outbreaks, fungal diseases, amplified fire	High-density stands and some low density. Trees with declining growth. Large and tall trees. Dry edge of species range	From premature leaf senescence and partial dieback to extensive tree mortality
<b>4. Sub-humid savanna (Queensland, Australia)</b>	Biomass load growth during wet periods of the 1950s and 1970s	Difficult to discern	Intense multiyear droughts	Geology, soils	High density stands. Fast growing dominant species, tall trees, high shoot to root. Core of species range	Massive tree mortality
<b>5. Neotropical rainforests (Amazonia &amp; Central America)</b>	Increased biomass growth during drought-free periods	Increased recruitment when canopies are opened by logging	ENSO-related droughts and low dry season rainfall	Fire, logging, fragmentation	Fast growing dominant trees, tall emergent trees, species with low wood density, non-sprouters	Premature leaf senescence, branch dieback, pulses of tree mortality.

444 Key references used in the case studies **(1)**: Suarez *et al.* (2004), Suarez & Kitzberger (2008, 2010); **(2)**: Galiano *et al.* (2010), Hereş *et al.*  
445 (2012), Vilá-Cabrera *et al.* (2013), Aguadé *et al.* (2015); **(3)**: Gitlin *et al.* (2006), Williams *et al.* (2013), Griffin & Anchukaitis (2014), Anderegg  
446 *et al.* (2015), Meddens *et al.* (2015), Allen (2016); **(4)**: Fensham & Holman (1999), Fensham *et al.* (2005, 2010), Dwyer *et al.* (2010); and **(5)**:  
447 Condit *et al.* (1995), Chazdon *et al.* (2005), Rolim *et al.* (2005), Nepstad *et al.* (2007), Costa *et al.* (2010), Saatchi *et al.* (2013).



## **Implications for forest prediction, monitoring and management under environmental change**

The structural overshoot framework is based upon a straightforward premise: that in plant water economy, resource demand cannot outstrip resource supply for an extended period. When such temporal mismatch occurs, the result is a spectrum of tree dieback and mortality (Fig. 3). The generality of the framework is emphasised by its applicability from tree to forest scales. At the whole-tree scale, tree mortality represents the most extreme response that reduces water demand below the available water supply, resulting in landscape-scale declines in demand through widespread reduction in tree density –analogous to self-thinning at the forest scale (Fig. 4).

Given that current rising mean temperatures are projected to be accompanied by increases in the frequency, magnitude and duration of extreme climatic events, forests across the globe will be exposed episodically to greater drought stress (Adams *et al.*, 2009; Allen *et al.*, 2010; Williams *et al.* 2015; Allen *et al.*, 2015; Frank *et al.*, 2015). An important implication of projected increases in climatic variability in many regions of the world (IPCC, 2014) is that increased fluctuations in water availability may amplify the degree of structural overshoot. As a consequence, large areas of forest may become at risk of dieback effects, even in cases in which LAI remains approximately constant over time. SO may also be exacerbated by transient increases in productivity due to fertilization effects (CO<sub>2</sub>, nitrogen), which likely will contribute to divergences between current and sustainable LAI. Indeed, already-witnessed mortality events are not limited to the hotter and drier margins of species distributions (e.g. Fensham *et al.*, 2015) because tree biomass and/or leaf area is expected to adjust to the maximum supportable by the available resources in any given area (Bonan

2002). More variable, hotter drought may then result in water availability becoming either a chronic or acute limiting factor for growth, even in regions of a species' distribution where this was not previously the case (Chapin *et al.*, 1987). Consequently, any reduction in the availability of this critical resource can induce a parallel reduction in live biomass, and specifically in LAI. The SO framework, therefore, provides a clear rationale for why forest mortality episodes are spatially variable and can be sudden – since the mechanism for SO is derived from thresholds of water resource demand and availability (Fig. 4). While the framework allows for a clear qualitative understanding of expected forest-drought responses, additional data are required to move to quantitative predictions of spatiotemporal vulnerability, as we outline below.

Our SO framework is based on several assumptions. First, we assume that competition for resources is an important determinant of forest demography, ultimately determined by the balance between resource supply and demand. There is overwhelming evidence showing that stand structure, including land-use and management legacies, is a key driver of forest demography (Vilá-Cabrera *et al.*, 2011; Canham, 2014). Second, leaf area index (LAI) and crown leaf area are good proxies for water demand and, therefore, respond to soil water availability and atmospheric water demand (Grier & Running, 1977; Eagleson, 1982; Margolis *et al.*, 1995), with LAI dynamics frequently lagging behind fluctuations in water availability over time (Gholz, 1982; Nemani & Running, 1989; Hoff & Rambal, 2003). Finally, a corollary of the previous points is that temporal mismatches between LAI and water availability (periods in which current LAI is higher than the long-term sustainable value) are associated with increased dieback risk. Although some temporal variation in LAI due to water availability is well-supported by evidence (as we discuss above), to validate our framework the increased dieback risk needs to be empirically tested. This hypothesis can be

addressed experimentally by locally modifying the water balance and monitoring the stand-level responses in terms of LAI and tree mortality for a long-enough period of time (e.g. Martin-StPaul *et al.*, 2013). In addition, long-term time series of LAI dynamics from remote sensing may relate increases in LAI to mortality or dieback risk (Van Gunst *et al.*, 2016).

To realize the predictive potential of our framework, we need to better understand the dynamics and determine locally relevant thresholds of LAI (e.g. Osem & O'Hara, 2016). Most vegetation models use spatially-explicit estimates of LAI as a key input that determines canopy processes and, indirectly, the water balance (e.g. Running & Coughlan, 1988; Cáceres *et al.*, 2015) and some account for feedbacks on LAI dynamics (e.g. Landsberg & Waring, 1997). However, inadequate knowledge of LAI drivers and dynamics (including the specific process drivers of premature leaf drop) currently constrains the ability of vegetation models to realistically simulate temporal mismatches between LAI and water availability with sufficient (at least annual) temporal resolution. New developments in remote sensing of LAI (cf. Zheng & Moskal, 2009) will provide opportunities to better link observed spatial and temporal changes in landscape-scale LAI with time-series data of climate drivers (e.g., precipitation and temperature), thereby supporting development and parameterization of improved empirical and mechanistic models relating changes in LAI with temporal variation in the local water balance, particularly including temporal mismatches and lags (cf. Young *et al.* 2017). A potential mismatch between LAI values predicted from these models with equilibrium estimates of maximum LAI predicted under different climate scenarios would then enable us to assess the potential for dieback at the stand scale.

While the potential for our approach to improve spatial predictions of decline risk appears reasonably straightforward, it currently seems difficult to predict the timing of SO responses,

as this depends on our ability to precisely quantify LAI thresholds. In any case, the SO framework provides an operative means of complementing studies assessing forest vulnerability from species-level traits (e.g. Anderegg *et al.*, 2016a) by allowing spatially explicit risk assessments within species ranges (cf. Bradford and Bell 2017). Although physiological safety margins should, in principle, provide the best vulnerability estimates (e.g. hydraulic safety margins, Choat *et al.*, 2012; Anderegg *et al.*, 2015), currently we are very far from being able to determine this information at relevant spatial scales and resolutions.

Nonetheless, it is increasingly being recognised that to adequately determine the status, trends, and magnitude of changes in forests worldwide, there is an urgent need to develop adequate techniques to detect and assess drivers of forest stress and mortality at broad spatial scales (e.g. global forest monitoring, Allen *et al.*, 2010; McDowell *et al.*, 2015; Trumbore *et al.*, 2015). Effective monitoring requires continental and global acquisition of data on tree condition and biomass allocation. Furthermore, such data should be available at an appropriate spatial resolution and intervals short enough to allow detection of the full range of forest dieback responses from premature leaf senescence to whole-tree mortality. Given this combined challenge of scale, resolution and frequency of observation, remote sensing must play the major role in such assessments (Jump *et al.*, 2010). Increased capabilities for high-resolution mapping and monitoring through time of forest dieback and tree mortality events at landscape and regional scales are emerging rapidly (Hansen *et al.*, 2013; Mascaró *et al.*, 2014; Asner *et al.*, 2016; Cohen *et al.*, 2016; Franklin *et al.*, 2016; Mildrexler *et al.*, 2016; Schwantes *et al.*, 2016). Similarly, recent progress in the capabilities for monitoring forest structural characteristics (e.g. Crowther *et al.*, 2015; Zhang *et al.*, 2015; Asner *et al.*, 2016) now provide potential opportunities to better identify current forest vulnerabilities to the

spectrum of SO responses to climate variability and change. These new methods offer opportunities to better assess and attribute the processes and drivers of particular forest dieback episodes, which would then provide valuable inputs for empirical models of tree mortality vulnerability at varying spatial resolutions (e.g. De Keersmaecker *et al.*, 2015; Mitchell *et al.*, 2016).

However, despite the rapid development of remote-sensing products to provide greater spatial resolution, data availability remains problematic owing to the high cost of many products when applied over large areas. Furthermore, we must be able to better estimate LAI and determine LAI dynamics, and to differentiate between different patterns of individual biomass allocation (Zheng & Moskal, 2009). Remotely-sensed data collected over broad spatial scales are generally of too coarse a resolution to allow an understanding of how leaf area of the system is partitioned at the individual, population and community level or what post-drought changes in LAI mean - for example, leaf flushing, epicormic sprouting, or the re-establishment of the same or different species. In principle, fine resolution synthetic aperture radar (SAR) and LIDAR can allow effective monitoring of forest structure, however, the challenge of collecting and analysing such data at an appropriate assessment interval and spatial scale remains.

Remote sensing must be paired with effective ground-based monitoring through integration of existing national forest inventory data with global monitoring efforts, such as ICP forests. Moreover, plot-level monitoring must be developed to consistently allow assessment of management impacts and tree allometric relationships, together with reporting of premature leaf senescence and partial dieback. Such data would allow us to better forecast changes in forest structure and function related to human intervention, as well as more effectively

calibrate remote sensing methods and better interpret the data that result (Jump *et al.*, 2010). Practically, however, ground-based plot-level monitoring will be limited by observation frequency given the intensive effort required for their collection. Nonetheless, the challenges associated with quantity of data needed at appropriate scale, resolution and monitoring interval can be overcome. First, we must develop a better understanding of the spatiotemporal dynamics of LAI and the relative importance of rainfall deficit, increased temperatures and their combination in driving mortality. Less frequent and less intensive monitoring could then be paired with targeted and responsive frequent and high-resolution monitoring of ‘at risk’ areas determined based on this improved understanding of SO drivers.

A corollary of the scope for improved forest monitoring is that without such efforts, the occurrence of SO also can complicate determination of the proximate causes of any particular observed pattern of tree structural dieback responses, since the same pattern of risk can be arrived at via differing routes. Lack of adequate monitoring data can, therefore, make it difficult to differentiate the contribution of historical factors (i.e. development of high total canopy leaf area during a preceding wet period) from the main proximate driver of resource stress (e.g. dry moisture conditions). This issue is in addition to the general challenges of interpreting the diverse array of patterns and processes associated with drought-related forest dieback episodes, which emerge from the interactions among a variety of additional tree stressors, in concert with numerous compensatory factors that reduce vulnerability (e.g. Lloret *et al.*, 2012; Allen *et al.*, 2015; Martínez-Vilalta & Lloret, 2016).

Where monitoring and/or model projections identify forests as vulnerable to the SO spectrum of dieback responses to anticipated climate variability or climate change, management actions can be considered in order to lessen the risk and magnitude of dieback and mortality

(Millar *et al.*, 2007; Keenan & Nitschke, 2016). Potential forest management actions to moderate SO include treatments to directly reduce canopy leaf area, tree density, basal area, or even mean tree height by means of mechanical treatments like pre-commercial thinning or commercial timber harvest (D'Amato *et al.*, 2013; Elkin *et al.*, 2015; Giuggiola *et al.*, 2015; Sohn *et al.*, 2016; Bottero *et al.* 2017; Bradford and Bell 2017). Clearly, SO management should account for the specific benefits (i.e. wood production, catchment water supply, etc.) obtained for a particular forest by paying special attention to LAI changes coupled with climate fluctuations. The addition of prescribed fire to mechanical thinning treatments can sometimes be used to reduce SO stresses (Tarancón *et al.*, 2014). It is interesting to note that frequent-fire-adapted forests can become over-built in ways analogous to SO through human fire suppression, which can increase the risk of high-severity fire in these forests (Enright *et al.*, 2015; Allen 2016). In these cases, combinations of mechanical thinning and burning treatments can also increase the persistence and long-term carbon storage of such forests by lowering risks of stand-replacing fires (Allen *et al.*, 2002; Hurteau *et al.*, 2016).

It is essential to recognise, however, that thinning by mechanical or fire means is not desirable or appropriate in many forest settings for diverse reasons, including ecological, ethical, aesthetic, economic, scientific, conservation, or logistical considerations (McDowell & Allen, 2015). Other management options to lessen forest vulnerabilities to SO-induced dieback range from actions to maintain more water on-site (Grant *et al.*, 2013; Sun & Vose, 2016) to possibly modifying the genetic and/or tree species composition of forest stands toward more drought-resistant genotypes or species (e.g. Aitken & Whitlock, 2014; Fares *et al.*, 2015). However, any such management options will inevitably be costly and thus even where practical can only be implemented in high-priority stands or locations that should be adequately evaluated at regional and landscape levels (Jump *et al.*, 2010).

623

## 624 **Conclusions**

625 While we have made substantial progress in understanding the proximate causes of tree  
626 mortality in recent decades, our ability to predict drought-induced mortality in space and time  
627 remains restricted. The present-day water resource requirements of woody species in any  
628 given area are strongly determined by historical factors including past climatic, disturbance  
629 and management legacies acting over decades to centuries. Current rapid environmental  
630 changes can, therefore, result in structural overshoot through the temporal mismatch of  
631 resource requirements from resource availability at local to regional scales. Improved  
632 understanding of structural overshoot drivers and processes ultimately will allow more  
633 refined model projections of potential dieback responses of Earth's forest ecosystems when  
634 combined with climate change and land use projections. Current climate projections of  
635 substantially warming temperatures and increased occurrence of extreme drought events and  
636 heatwaves (Cai *et al.*, 2015; Duffy *et al.*, 2015; Tebaldi & Wehner, 2016) suggest strong  
637 possibilities that current forests, adapted to historic climate regimes, could soon become  
638 structurally "overbuilt" for more stressful future climate episodes (Allen *et al.*, 2015;  
639 McDowell & Allen, 2015). Given the resulting potential occurrence of substantial overshoot-  
640 induced structural dieback responses, ranging from reductions in canopy leaf area and  
641 reduced tree heights to turnover of large trees (and even tree species) through mortality of  
642 dominant species, better projections of forest structural overshoot responses are essential for  
643 predicting changes to ecosystem functions from regional to global scales (Wei *et al.*, 2014;  
644 Frank *et al.*, 2015; Anderegg *et al.*, 2016b; Brouwers & Coops, 2016; Mascorro *et al.*, 2016).

645

## 646 **Acknowledgements**



647 This research was supported by The Leverhulme Trust via International Network grant IN-  
648 2013-004, together with the European Union Seventh Framework Programme under  
649 PCOFUND-GA-2010-267243 (Plant Fellows) co-funded by the University of Stirling. We  
650 thank Peter Morley and three anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments on previous  
651 versions of the manuscript.

652

## 653 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

654 **Appendix S1.** Methods used for the literature review of co-drivers of drought-induced tree  
655 mortality.

656 **Appendix S2.** Studies reporting a temporal or spatial modification in the intercept and/or  
657 slope of self-thinning lines.

658 **Appendix S3.** Detailed description of case studies documenting drought-induced tree dieback  
659 responses relevant to the structural overshoot framework.

## References

- Adams HD, Guardiola-Claramonte M, Barron-Gafford GA *et al.* (2009) Temperature sensitivity of drought-induced tree mortality portends increased regional die-off under global-change-type drought. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **106**, 7063-7066.
- Aguadé D, Poyatos R, Gómez M, Oliva J, Martínez-Vilalta J (2015) The role of defoliation and root rot pathogen infection in driving the mode of drought-related physiological decline in Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.). *Tree Physiology*, **35**, 229-242.
- Aitken SN, Whitlock MC (2014) Assisted gene flow to facilitate local adaptation to climate change. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, **44**, 367-388.
- Allen CD (2007) Interactions across spatial scales among forest dieback, fire, and erosion in northern New Mexico landscapes. *Ecosystems*, **10**, 797-808.
- Allen, CD (2016) Chapter 4 - Forest ecosystem reorganization underway in the Southwestern US: A preview of widespread forest changes in the Anthropocene? Pages 57-79 in: VA Sample, RP Bixler, and C Miller (eds.). *Forest Conservation and Management in the Anthropocene: Adaptation of Science, Policy and Practice*. University Press of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado. 336 pp.
- Allen CD, Breshears DD (1998) Drought-induced shift of a forest-woodland ecotone: Rapid landscape response to climate variation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **95**, 14839-14842.
- Allen CD, Breshears DD, McDowell NG (2015) On underestimation of global vulnerability to tree mortality and forest die-off from hotter drought in the Anthropocene. *Ecosphere*, **6**, art129, doi: 10.1890/ES15-00203.1.

683 Allen CD, Macalady AK, Chenchouni H *et al.* (2010) A global overview of drought and heat-  
684 induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. *Forest Ecology and*  
685 *Management*, **259**, 660-684.

686 Allen CD, Savage M, Falk DA *et al.* (2002) Ecological restoration of southwestern ponderosa  
687 pine ecosystems: a broad perspective. *Ecological Applications*, **12**, 1418-1433.

688 Anderegg WRL, Hicke JA, Fisher RA *et al.* (2015) Tree mortality from drought, insects, and  
689 their interactions in a changing climate. *New Phytologist*, **208**, 674-683.

690 Anderegg WRL, Klein T, Bartlett M, Sack L, Pellegrini AFA, Choat B, Jansen S (2016a)  
691 Meta-analysis reveals that hydraulic traits explain cross-species patterns of drought-induced  
692 tree mortality across the globe. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **113**, 5024-  
693 5029.

694 Anderegg WRL, Martinez-Vilalta J, Cailleret M *et al.* (2016b) When a tree dies in the forest:  
695 scaling climate-driven tree mortality to ecosystem water and carbon fluxes. *Ecosystems*, doi:  
696 doi:10.1007/s10021-016-9982-1.

697 Arneeth A, Kelliher FM, McSeveny TM, Byers JN (1998) Net ecosystem productivity, net  
698 primary productivity and ecosystem carbon sequestration in a *Pinus radiata* plantation subject  
699 to soil water deficit. *Tree Physiology*, **18**, 785-793.

700 Asner GP, Brodrick PG, Anderson CB, Vaughn N, Knapp DE, Martin RE (2016) Progressive  
701 forest canopy water loss during the 2012-2015 California drought. *Proceedings of the*  
702 *National Academy of Sciences*, **113**, E249-E255.

703 Bai Y, Zhang W, Jia X, Wang N, Zhou L, Xu S, Wang G (2010) Variation in root:shoot  
704 ratios induced the differences between above and belowground mass-density relationships  
705 along an aridity gradient. *Acta Oecologica*, **36**, 393-395.

706 Beckage B, Osborne B, Gavin DG, Pucko C, Siccama T, Perkins T (2008) A rapid upward  
707 shift of a forest ecotone during 40 years of warming in the Green Mountains of Vermont.

708 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **105**, 4197-  
709 4202.

710 B  gin E, B  gin J, B  langer L, Rivest L-P, Tremblay SP (2001) Balsam fir self-thinning  
711 relationship and its constancy among different ecological regions. *Canadian Journal of*  
712 *Forest Research*, **31**, 950-959.

713 Bi H (2001) The self-thinning surface. *Forest Science*, **47**, 361-370.

714 Bi H, Wan G, Turvey ND (2000) Estimating the self-thinning boundary line as a density-  
715 dependent stochastic biomass frontier. *Ecology*, **81**, 1477-1483.

716 Bigler C, Gavin DG, Gunning C, Veblen TT (2007) Drought induces lagged tree mortality in  
717 a subalpine forest in the Rocky Mountains. *Oikos*, **116**, 1983-1994.

718 Bonan GB (2002) *Ecological Climatology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

719 Bottero A, D'Amato AW, Palik BJ, Bradford JB, Fraver S, Battaglia MA, Asherin  
720 A (2017) Density-dependent vulnerability of forest ecosystems to drought. *Journal of*  
721 *Applied Ecology*. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2664.12847

722 Bradford JB, DM Bell (2017) A window of opportunity for climate-change adaptation: easing  
723 tree mortality by reducing forest basal area. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*. DOI:  
724 10.1002/fee.1445.

725 Brouwers NC, Coops NC (2016) Decreasing net primary production in forest and shrub  
726 vegetation across southwest Australia. *Ecological Indicators*, **66**, 10-19.

727 Brunner I, Herzog C, Dawes MA, Arend M, Sperisen C. (2015). How tree roots respond to  
728 drought. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, **6**, 547. <http://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00547>

729 C  ceres MD, Mart  nez-Vilalta J, Coll L *et al.* (2015) Coupling a water balance model with  
730 forest inventory data to predict drought stress: the role of forest structural changes vs. climate  
731 changes. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **213**, 77–90.

732 Cai W, Wang G, Santoso A *et al.* (2015) Increased frequency of extreme La Nina events  
 733 under greenhouse warming. *Nature Climate Change*, **5**, 132-137.

734 Canham C (2014) Disequilibrium and transient dynamics: disentangling responses to climate  
 735 change versus broader anthropogenic impacts on temperate forests of eastern North America.  
 736 In: *Forests and Global Change*. (eds Coomes DA, Burslem DFRP, Simonson WD) pp 109-  
 737 128. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

738 Carnicer J, Coll M, Ninyerola M, Pons X, Sánchez G, Peñuelas J (2011) Widespread crown  
 739 condition decline, food web disruption, and amplified tree mortality with increased climate  
 740 change-type drought. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **108**, 1474-1478.

741 Cavin L, Jump AS (2016) Highest drought sensitivity and lowest resistance to growth  
 742 suppression are found in the range core of the tree *Fagus sylvatica* L. not the equatorial range  
 743 edge. *Global Change Biology*, doi: 10.1111/gcb.13366

744 Chapin FS, Bloom AJ, Field CB, Waring RH (1987) Plant responses to multiple  
 745 environmental factors. *BioScience*, **37**, 49-57.

746 Chapin FS, Schulze ED, Mooney HA (1990) The ecology and economics of storage in plants.  
 747 *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **21**, 423-447.

748 Charney ND, Babst F, Poulter B *et al.* (2016) Observed forest sensitivity to climate implies  
 749 large changes in 21st Century North American forest growth. *Ecology Letters*, **19**, 1119–1128

750 Charru M, Seynave I, Morneau FO, Rivoire ML, Bontemps J-D (2012) Significant  
 751 differences and curvilinearity in the self-thinning relationships of 11 temperate tree species  
 752 assessed from forest inventory data. *Annals of Forest Science*, **69**, 195-205.

753 Chazdon RL, Redondo Brenes A, Vilchez Alvarado B (2005) Effects of climate and stand  
 754 age on annual tree dynamics in tropical second-growth rainforests. *Ecology*, **86**, 1808-1815.

755 Choat B, Jansen S, Brodribb TJ *et al.* (2012) Global convergence in the vulnerability of  
 756 forests to drought. *Nature*, **491**, 752-755.

757 Chollet S, Rambal S, Fayolle A, Hubert D, Foulquié D, Garnier E (2014) Combined effects  
 758 of climate, resource availability, and plant traits on biomass produced in a Mediterranean  
 759 rangeland. *Ecology*, **95**, 737-748.

760 Cohen WB, Yang Z, Stehman SV *et al.* (2016) Forest disturbance across the conterminous  
 761 United States from 1985-2012: The emerging dominance of forest decline. *Forest Ecology*  
 762 *and Management*, **360**, 242-252.

763 Condit R, Hubbell SP, Foster RB (1995) Mortality rates of 205 neotropical tree and shrub  
 764 species and the impact of a severe drought. *Ecological Monographs*, **65**, 419-439.

765 Coomes DA, Holdaway RJ, Kobe RK, Lines ER, Allen RB (2012) A general integrative  
 766 framework for modelling woody biomass production and carbon sequestration rates in  
 767 forests. *Journal of Ecology*, **100**, 42-64.

768 Costa MH, Biajoli MC, Sanches L *et al.* (2010) Atmospheric versus vegetation controls of  
 769 Amazonian tropical rain forest evapotranspiration: Are the wet and seasonally dry rain forests  
 770 any different? *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, **115**.

771 Crowther TW, Glick HB, Covey KR *et al.* (2015) Mapping tree density at a global scale.  
 772 *Nature*, **525**, 201-205.

773 D'amato AW, Bradford JB, Fraver S, Palik BJ (2013) Effects of thinning on drought  
 774 vulnerability and climate response in north temperate forest ecosystems. *Ecological*  
 775 *Applications*, **23**, 1735-1742.

776 Dai X, Jia X, Zhang W, Bai Y, Zhang J, Wang Y, Wang G (2009) Plant height-crown radius  
 777 and canopy coverage-density relationships determine above-ground biomass-density  
 778 relationship in stressful environments. *Biology Letters*, **5**, 571-573.

779 De Keersmaecker W, Lhermitte S, Tits L, Honnay O, Somers B, Coppin P (2015) A model  
 780 quantifying global vegetation resistance and resilience to short-term climate anomalies and  
 781 their relationship with vegetation cover. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **24**, 539-548.

782 De Micco V, Aronne G (2012) Morpho-anatomical traits for plant adaptation to drought. In:  
783 *Plant Responses to Drought Stress*. (ed Aroca R) pp 37-61. Berlin, Springer-Verlag.

784 Deng J-M, Wang G-X, Morris EC *et al.* (2006) Plant mass-density relationship along a  
785 moisture gradient in north-west China. *Journal of Ecology*, **94**, 953-958.

786 Duffy PB, Brando P, Asner GP, Field CB (2015) Projections of future meteorological  
787 drought and wet periods in the Amazon. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,  
788 **112**, 13172-13177.

789 Duncanson LI, Dubayah RO, Enquist BJ (2015) Assessing the general patterns of forest  
790 structure: quantifying tree and forest allometric scaling relationships in the United States.  
791 *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **24**, 1465-1475.

792 Duursma RA, Gimeno TE, Boer MM, Crous KY, Tjoelker MG, Ellsworth DS (2016) Canopy  
793 leaf area of a mature evergreen Eucalyptus woodland does not respond to elevated  
794 atmospheric [CO<sub>2</sub>] but tracks water availability. *Global Change Biology*, **22**, 1666-1676.

795 Dwyer JM, Fensham RJ, Fairfax RJ, Buckley YM (2010) Neighbourhood effects influence  
796 drought-induced mortality of savanna trees in Australia. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **21**,  
797 573-585.

798 Eagleson PS (1982) Ecological optimality in water-limited natural soil-vegetation systems: 1.  
799 Theory and hypothesis. *Water Resources Research*, **18**, 325-340.

800 Elkin C, Giuggiola A, Rigling A, Bugmann H (2015) Short- and long-term efficacy of forest  
801 thinning to mitigate drought impacts in mountain forests in the European Alps. *Ecological*  
802 *Applications*, **25**, 1083-1098.

803 Enright NJ, Fontaine JB, Bowman DMJS, Bradstock RA, Williams RJ (2015)  
804 Interval squeeze: altered fire regimes and demographic responses interact to threaten woody  
805 species persistence as climate changes. *Frontiers in Ecology and Environment*, **13**, 265–272.

806 Fares S, Scarascia Mugnozza G, Corona P, Palahí M (2015) Sustainability: Five steps for  
807 managing Europe's forests. *Nature*, **519**, 407–409.

808 Fensham R, Holman J (1999) Temporal and spatial patterns in drought-related tree dieback in  
809 Australian savanna. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **36**, 1035-1050.

810 Fensham RJ, Fairfax RJ, Archer SR (2005) Rainfall, land use and woody vegetation cover  
811 change in semi-arid Australian savanna. *Journal of Ecology*, **93**, 596-606.

812 Fensham RJ, Fairfax RJ, Dwyer JM (2012) Potential aboveground biomass in drought-prone  
813 forest used for rangeland pastoralism. *Ecological Applications*, **22**, 894-908.

814 Fensham RJ, Fraser J, Macdermott HJ, Firn J (2015) Dominant tree species are at risk from  
815 exaggerated drought under climate change. *Global Change Biology*, **10**, 3777-3785.

816 Frank DA, Reichstein M, Bahn M *et al.* (2015) Effects of climate extremes on the terrestrial  
817 carbon cycle: concepts, processes and potential future impacts. *Global Change Biology*, **21**,  
818 2861-2880.

819 Franklin J, Serra-Diaz JM, Syphard AD, Regan HM (2016) Global change and terrestrial  
820 plant community dynamics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **113**, 3725-  
821 3734.

822 Franklin JF, Shugart HH, Harmon ME (1987) Tree death as an ecological process.  
823 *BioScience*, **37**, 550-556.

824 Galiano L, Martínez-Vilalta J, Lloret F (2010) Drought-induced multifactor decline of Scots  
825 pine in the Pyrenees and potential vegetation change by the expansion of co-occurring oak  
826 species. *Ecosystems*, **13**, 978-991.

827 Galiano L, Martínez-Vilalta J, Lloret F (2011) Carbon reserves and canopy defoliation  
828 determine the recovery of Scots pine 4 yr after a drought episode. *New Phytologist*, **190**, 750-  
829 759.



830 Galiano L, Martínez-Vilalta J, Sabaté S, Lloret F (2012) Determinants of drought effects on  
 831 crown condition and their relationship with depletion of carbon reserves in a Mediterranean  
 832 holm oak forest. *Tree Physiology*, **32**, 478-489.

833 Garcia O (2012) Self-thinning limits in two and three dimensions. *Mathematical and*  
 834 *Computational Forestry & Natural-Resource Sciences*, **4**, 66-72.

835 Gholz HL (1982) Environmental limits on aboveground net primary production, leaf area,  
 836 and biomass in vegetation zones of the Pacific Northwest. *Ecology*, **63**, 469-481.

837 Giuggiola A, Ogée J, Rigling A, Gessler A, Bugmann H, Treydte KC (2015) Improvement of  
 838 water and light availability after thinning at a xeric site: which matters more? A dual isotope  
 839 approach. *New Phytologist*, **210**, 108-121.

840 Grant GE, Tague CL, Allen CD (2013) Watering the forest for the trees: an emerging priority  
 841 for managing water in forest landscapes. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **11**, 314-  
 842 321.

843 Greenwood S, Ruiz-Benito P, Martínez-Vilalta J, Lloret F, Kitzberger T, Allen CD, Fensham  
 844 R, Laughlin DC, Kattge J, Bönisch G, Kraft NJB, Jump AS (in press) Tree mortality across  
 845 biomes is promoted by drought intensity, lower wood density and higher specific leaf  
 846 area. *Ecology Letters* (in press)

847 Grier CG, Running SW (1977) Leaf area of mature Northwestern coniferous forests: relation  
 848 to site water balance. *Ecology*, **58**, 893-899.

849 Gitlin AR, Sthultz CM, Bowker MA et al. (2006) Mortality gradients within and among  
 850 dominant plant populations as barometers of ecosystem change during extreme drought.  
 851 *Conservation Biology*, **20**, 1477-1486.

852 Griffin D, Anchukaitis KJ (2014) How unusual is the 2012–2014 California drought?  
 853 *Geophysical Research Letters*, **41**, 9017-9023.

854 Hampe A, Jump AS (2011) Climate relicts: past, present, future. *Annual Review of Ecology,*  
855 *Evolution, and Systematics*, **42**, 313-333.

856 Hansen MC, Potapov PV, Moore R *et al.* (2013) High-resolution global maps of 21<sup>st</sup>-Century  
857 forest cover change. *Science*, **342**, 850-853.

858 Harsch MA, Hulme PE, Mcglone MS, Duncan RP (2009) Are treelines advancing? A global  
859 meta-analysis of treeline response to climate warming. *Ecology Letters*, **12**, 1040-1049.

860 Hartmann H, Adams HD, Anderegg WRL, Jansen S, Zeppel MJB (2015) Research frontiers  
861 in drought-induced tree mortality: crossing scales and disciplines. *New Phytologist*, **205**, 965-  
862 969.

863 Hereş A-M, Martínez-Vilalta J, Claramunt López B (2012) Growth patterns in relation to  
864 drought-induced mortality at two Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) sites in NE Iberian  
865 Peninsula. *Trees*, **26**, 621-630.

866 Hoff C, Rambal S (2003) An examination of the interaction between climate, soil and leaf  
867 area index in a *Quercus ilex* ecosystem. *Annals of Forest Science*, **60**, 153-161.

868 Holdaway RJ, Allen RB, Clinton PW, Davis MR, Coomes DA (2008) Intraspecific changes  
869 in forest canopy allometries during self-thinning. *Functional Ecology*, **22**, 460-469.

870 Hosking GP, Hutcheson JA (1988) Mountain beech (*Nothofagus solandri* var. *cliffortioides*)  
871 decline in the Kaweka Range, North Island, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Botany*,  
872 **26**, 393-400.

873 Hurteau MD, Liang S, Martin KL, North MP, Koch GW, Hungate BA (2016) Restoring  
874 forest structure and process stabilizes forest carbon in wildfire-prone southwestern ponderosa  
875 pine forests. *Ecological Applications*, **26**, 382-391.

876 Iio A, Hikosaka K, Anten NPR, Nakagawa Y, Ito A (2014) Global dependence of field-  
877 observed leaf area index in woody species on climate: a systematic review. *Global Ecology*  
878 *and Biogeography*, **23**, 274-285.

879 IPCC (2014) Climate change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II  
 880 and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.  
 881 [Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland.  
 882 Johnstone JF, Allen CD, Franklin JF *et al.* (2016) Changing disturbance regimes, ecological  
 883 memory, and forest resilience. *Frontiers in Ecology and Environment* 14:369-378.  
 884 Juday GP, Alix C, Grant III TA (2015) Spatial coherence and change of opposite white  
 885 spruce temperature sensitivities on floodplains in Alaska confirms early-stage boreal biome  
 886 shift. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **350**, 46-61.  
 887 Jump AS, Hunt JM, Peñuelas J (2006) Rapid climate change-related growth decline at the  
 888 southern range-edge of *Fagus sylvatica*. *Global Change Biology*, **12**, 2163-2174.  
 889 Jump AS, Mátyás C, Peñuelas J (2009) The altitude-for-latitude disparity in the range  
 890 retractions of woody species. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **24**, 694-701.  
 891 Jump AS, Cavin L, Hunter P (2010) Monitoring and managing responses to climate change at  
 892 the retreating range edge of forest trees. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, **12**, 1791-  
 893 1798.  
 894 Keenan RJ, Nitschke C (2016) Forest management options for adaptation to climate change:  
 895 a case study of tall, wet eucalypt forests in Victoria's Central Highlands region. *Australian*  
 896 *Forestry*, 1-12.  
 897 Kelly AE, Goulden ML (2008) Rapid shifts in plant distribution with recent climate change.  
 898 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **105**, 11823-11826.  
 899 Landsberg JJ, Waring RH (1997) A generalised model of forest productivity using simplified  
 900 concepts of radiation-use efficiency, carbon balance and partitioning. *Forest Ecology and*  
 901 *Management*, **95**, 209-228.  
 902 Linares JC, Camarero JJ (2011) From pattern to process: linking intrinsic water-use  
 903 efficiency to drought-induced forest decline. *Global Change Biology*, **18**, 1000-1015.

904 Lloret F, Escudero A, Iriondo JM, Martínez-Vilalta J, Valladares F (2012) Extreme climatic  
 905 events and vegetation: the role of stabilizing processes. *Global Change Biology*, **18**, 797-805.  
 906 Long JN, Smith FW (1984) Relation between size and density in developing stands: A  
 907 description and possible mechanisms. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **7**, 191-206.  
 908 Ludlow MM (1989) Strategies in response to water stress. In: *Structural and functional*  
 909 *response to environmental stresses: Water shortage*, XIV International Botanical Congress,  
 910 Berlin (West), Germany, (eds Kreeb HK, Richter H, Hinkley TM) pp 269-281, SPB  
 911 Academic Publishers, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.  
 912 Luo Y, Su B, Currie WS *et al.* (2004) Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem responses  
 913 to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide. *BioScience*, **54**, 731-739.  
 914 Margolis H, Oren R, Whitehead D, Kaufmann MR (1995) Leaf area dynamics of conifer  
 915 forests. In: *Ecophysiology of coniferous forests*. (eds Smith WK, Hinckle TM) pp 181-223.  
 916 Academic Press, San Diego.  
 917 Martin-StPaul NK, Limousin J-M, Vogt-Schilb H, Rodríguez-Calcerrada J, Rambal S,  
 918 Longepierre D, Misson L (2013) The temporal response to drought in a Mediterranean  
 919 evergreen tree: comparing a regional precipitation gradient and a throughfall exclusion  
 920 experiment. *Global Change Biology*, **19**, 2413-2426.  
 921 Martínez-Vilalta J, Lloret F (2016) Drought-induced vegetation shifts in terrestrial  
 922 ecosystems : the key role of regeneration dynamics. *Global Planetary Change*. **144**, 94-108  
 923 Martínez-Vilalta J, Poyatos R, Aguadé D, Retana J, Mencuccini M (2014) A new look at  
 924 water transport regulation in plants. *New Phytologist*, **204**, 105-115.  
 925 Mascaro J, Asner GP, Davies S, Dehgan A, Saatchi S (2014) These are the days of lasers in  
 926 the jungle. *Carbon Balance and Management*, **9**, 7. Doi: doi:10.1186/s13021-014-0007-0

927 Mascorro VS, Coops NC, Kurz WA, Olguín M (2016) Attributing changes in land cover  
 928 using independent disturbance datasets: a case study of the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico.  
 929 *Regional Environmental Change*, **16**, 213-228.

930 Maseda PH, Fernández RJ (2006) Stay wet or else: three ways in which plants can adjust  
 931 hydraulically to their environment. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **57**, 3963-3977.

932 Matusick G, Ruthrof KX, Brouwers NC, Dell B, Hardy GSJ (2013) Sudden forest canopy  
 933 collapse corresponding with extreme drought and heat in a Mediterranean-type eucalypt  
 934 forest in southwestern Australia. *European Journal of Forest Research*, **132**, 497-510.

935 Mcdowell N, Pockman WT, Allen CD *et al.* (2008) Mechanisms of plant survival and  
 936 mortality during drought: why do some plants survive while others succumb to drought? *New*  
 937 *Phytologist*, **178**, 719-739.

938 Mcdowell NG (2011) Mechanisms linking drought, hydraulics, carbon metabolism, and  
 939 vegetation mortality. *Plant Physiology*, **155**, 1051-1059.

940 Mcdowell NG, Allen CD (2015) Darcy's law predicts widespread forest mortality under  
 941 climate warming. *Nature Climate Change*, **5**, 669-672.

942 Mcdowell NG, Coops NC, Beck PSA *et al.* (2015) Global satellite monitoring of climate-  
 943 induced vegetation disturbances. *Trends in Plant Science*, **20**, 114-123.

944 Mcdowell NG, Fisher RA, Xu C *et al.* (2013) Evaluating theories of drought-induced  
 945 vegetation mortality using a multimodel-experiment framework. *New Phytologist*, **200**, 304-  
 946 321.

947 Meddens AJH, Hicke JA, Macalady AK, Buotte PC, Cowles TR, Allen CD (2015) Patterns  
 948 and causes of observed piñon pine mortality in the southwestern United States. *New*  
 949 *Phytologist*, **206**, 91-97.

950 Mencuccini M, Minunno F, Salmon Y, Martínez-Vilalta J, Hölttä TC (2015) Coordination of  
 951 physiological traits involved in drought-induced mortality of woody plants. *New Phytologist*,  
 952 **208**, 396-409.

953 Mildrexler D, Yang Z, Cohen WB, Bell DM (2016) A forest vulnerability index based on  
 954 drought and high temperatures. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **173**, 314-325.

955 Millar CI, Stephenson NL, Stephens SL (2007) Climate change and forests of the future:  
 956 Managing in the face of uncertainty. *Ecological Applications*, **17**, 2145-2151.

957 Mitchell PJ, O'grady AP, Pinkard EA *et al.* (2016) An ecoclimatic framework for evaluating  
 958 the resilience of vegetation to water deficit. *Global Change Biology*, **22**, 1677-1689.

959 Morris CE (2003) How does fertility of the substrate affect intraspecific competition?  
 960 Evidence and synthesis from self-thinning. *Ecological Research*, **18**, 287-305.

961 Nabuurs G-J, Lindner M, Verkerk PJ, Gunia K, Deda P, Michalak R, Grassi G (2013) First  
 962 signs of carbon sink saturation in European forest biomass. *Nature Climate Change*, **3**, 792-  
 963 796.

964 Nemani RR, Running SW (1989) Testing a theoretical climate-soil-leaf area hydrologic  
 965 equilibrium of forests using satellite data and ecosystem simulation. *Agricultural and Forest*  
 966 *Meteorology*, **44**, 245-260.

967 Nepstad DC, Tohver IM, Ray D, Moutinho P, Cardinot G (2007) Mortality of large trees and  
 968 lianas following experimental drought in Amazon forest. *Ecology*, **88**, 2259-2269.

969 Norby RJ, Zak DR (2011) Ecological lessons from free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE)  
 970 experiments. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, **42**, 181-203.

971 Oliver WW (1995) Is self-thinning in ponderosa pine ruled by *Dendroctonus* bark beetles? In:  
 972 *Forest health through silviculture: proceedings of the 1995 National Silviculture Workshop*.  
 973 (ed Eskew LG) pp 213-218. Mescalero, New Mexico, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest  
 974 Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.

975 Olson DM, Dinerstein E, Wikramanayake ED *et al.* (2001) Terrestrial Ecoregions of the  
 976 World: A New Map of Life on Earth: A new global map of terrestrial ecoregions provides an  
 977 innovative tool for conserving biodiversity. *BioScience*, **51**, 933-938.

978 Osawa A, Allen RB (1993) Allometric theory explains self-thinning relationships of  
 979 mountain beech and red pine. *Ecology*, **74**, 1020-1032.

980 Osem Y, O'hara K (2016) An ecohydrological approach to managing dryland forests:  
 981 integration of leaf area metrics into assessment and management. *Forestry*.  
 982 doi:10.1093/forestry/cpw021

983 Parmesan C (2006) Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. *Annual*  
 984 *Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, **37**, 637-669.

985 Piovesan G, Biondi F, Di Filippo A, Alessandrini A, Maugeri M (2008) Drought-driven  
 986 growth reduction in old beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) forests of the central Apennines, Italy.  
 987 *Global Change Biology*, **14**, 1265-1281.

988 Pook EW, Gill AM, Moore PHR (1997) Long-term variation of litter fall, canopy leaf area  
 989 and flowering in a Eucalyptus maculata forest on the South coast of New South Wales.  
 990 *Australian Journal of Botany*, **45**, 737-755.

991 Poyatos R, Aguadé D, Galiano L, Mencuccini M, Martínez-Vilalta J (2013) Drought-induced  
 992 defoliation and long periods of near-zero gas exchange play a key role in accentuating  
 993 metabolic decline of Scots pine. *New Phytologist*, **200**, 388-401.

994 Pretzsch H, Biber P (2005) A re-evaluation of Reineke's rule and stand density index. *Forest*  
 995 *Science*, **51**, 304-320.

996 Pretzsch H, Biber P, Schütze G, Uhl E, Rötzer T (2014) Forest stand growth dynamics in  
 997 Central Europe have accelerated since 1870. *Nature Communications*, **5**:  
 998 doi:10.1038/ncomms5967

999 Pretzsch H, Schütze G (2005) Crown allometry and growing space efficiency of norway  
1000 spruce (*Picea abies* [L.] Karst.) and european beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) in pure and mixed  
1001 stands. *Plant Biology*, **7**, 628-639.

1002 Reich PB (2012) Key canopy traits drive forest productivity. *Proceedings of the Royal*  
1003 *Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **279**, 2128-2134.

1004 Rigling A, Bigler C, Eilmann B *et al.* (2013) Driving factors of a vegetation shift from Scots  
1005 pine to pubescent oak in dry Alpine forests. *Global Change Biology*, **19**, 229-240.

1006 Rolim SG, Jesus RM, Nascimento HEM, Do Couto HTZ, Chambers JQ (2005) Biomass  
1007 change in an Atlantic tropical moist forest: the ENSO effect in permanent sample plots over a  
1008 22-year period. *Oecologia*, **142**, 238-246.

1009 Ruiz-Benito P, Lines ER, Gómez-Aparicio L, Zavala MA, Coomes DA (2013) Patterns and  
1010 drivers of tree mortality in Iberian forests: climatic effects are modified by competition. *PLoS*  
1011 *ONE*, **8**, e56843.

1012 Ruiz-Benito P, Madrigal-González J, Ratcliffe S *et al.* (2014) Stand structure and recent  
1013 climate change constrain stand basal area change in European forests: a comparison across  
1014 boreal, temperate and Mediterranean biomes. *Ecosystems*, **17**, 1439-1454.

1015 Running SW, Coughlan JC (1988) A general model of forest ecosystem processes for  
1016 regional applications I. Hydrologic balance, canopy gas exchange and primary production  
1017 processes. *Ecological Modelling*, **42**, 125-154.

1018 Saatchi S, Asefi-Najafabady S, Malhi Y, Aragão LEOC, Anderson LO, Myneni RB, Nemani  
1019 R (2013) Persistent effects of a severe drought on Amazonian forest canopy. *Proceedings of*  
1020 *the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **110**, 565-570.

1021 Sánchez-Salguero R, Navarro-Cerrillo RM, Swetnam TW, Zavala MA (2012) Is drought the  
1022 main decline factor at the rear edge of Europe? The case of southern Iberian pine plantations.  
1023 *Forest Ecology and Management*, **271**, 158-169.



1024 Sarris D, Christodoulakis D, Korner C (2007) Recent decline in precipitation and tree growth  
 1025 in the eastern Mediterranean. *Global Change Biology*, **13**, 1187-1200.  
 1026 Schwantes AM, Swenson JJ, Jackson RB (2016) Quantifying drought-induced tree mortality  
 1027 in the open canopy woodlands of central Texas. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **181**, 54-64.  
 1028 Sevanto S, Suni T, Pumpanen J, Gronholm T, Kolari P, Nikinmaa E, Hari P, Vesala T,  
 1029 (2006) Wintertime photosynthesis and water uptake in a boreal forest. *Tree Physiology*, **26**,  
 1030 749-756.  
 1031 Sevanto S, McDowell NG, Dickman LT, Pangle R, Pockman WT (2014) How do trees die? A  
 1032 test of the hydraulic failure and carbon starvation hypotheses. *Plant Cell and Environment*,  
 1033 **37**, 153-161.  
 1034 Smettem KRJ, Waring RH, Callow JN, Wilson M, Mu Q (2013) Satellite-derived estimates  
 1035 of forest leaf area index in southwest Western Australia are not tightly coupled to interannual  
 1036 variations in rainfall: implications for groundwater decline in a drying climate. *Global*  
 1037 *Change Biology*, **19**, 2401-2412.  
 1038 Sohn JA, Hartig F, Kohler M, Huss J, Bauhus J (2016) Heavy and frequent thinning promotes  
 1039 drought adaptation in *Pinus sylvestris* forests. *Ecological Applications*, doi: 10.1002/eap.1373  
 1040 Steinkamp J, Hickler T (2015) Is drought-induced forest dieback globally increasing?  
 1041 *Journal of Ecology*, **103**, 31-43.  
 1042 Sturm M, Racine C, Tape K (2001) Climate change: Increasing shrub abundance in the  
 1043 Arctic. *Nature*, **411**, 546-547.  
 1044 Suarez ML, Kitzberger T (2008) Recruitment patterns following a severe drought: long-term  
 1045 compositional shifts in Patagonian forests. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, **38**, 3002-  
 1046 3010.

1047 Suarez ML, Ghermandi L, Kitzberger T (2004) Factors predisposing episodic drought-  
 1048 induced tree mortality in *Nothofagus*– site, climatic sensitivity and growth trends. *Journal of*  
 1049 *Ecology*, **92**, 954-966.

1050 Suarez ML, Kitzberger T (2010) Differential effects of climate variability on forest dynamics  
 1051 along a precipitation gradient in northern Patagonia. *Journal of Ecology*, **98**, 1023-1034.

1052 Sun G, Vose JM (2016) Forest management challenges for sustaining water resources in the  
 1053 anthropocene. *Forests*, **7**, 68.

1054 Tarancón AA, Fulé PZ, Shive KL, Sieg CH, Meador AS, Strom B (2014) Simulating post-  
 1055 wildfire forest trajectories under alternative climate and management scenarios. *Ecological*  
 1056 *Applications*, **24**, 1626-1637.

1057 Tebaldi C, Wehner MF (2016) Benefits of mitigation for future heat extremes under RCP4.5  
 1058 compared to RCP8.5. *Climatic Change*, doi:10.1007/s10584-016-1605-5

1059 Trumbore S, Brando P, Hartmann H (2015) Forest health and global change. *Science*, **349**,  
 1060 814-818.

1061 Vaadia Y, Raney FC, Hagan RM (1961) Plant water deficits and physiological processes.  
 1062 *Annual Review of Plant Physiology*, **12**, 265-292.

1063 Van Der Sleen P, Groenendijk P, Vlam M *et al.* (2015) No growth stimulation of tropical  
 1064 trees by 150 years of CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization but water-use efficiency increased. *Nature Geosci*, **8**,  
 1065 24-28.

1066 Van Gunst KJ, Weisberg PJ, Yang J, Fan Y (2016) Do denser forests have greater risk of tree  
 1067 mortality: A remote sensing analysis of density-dependent forest mortality. *Forest Ecology*  
 1068 *and Management*, **359**, 19-32.

1069 Van Mantgem PJ, Stephenson NL (2007) Apparent climatically induced increase of tree  
 1070 mortality rates in a temperate forest. *Ecology Letters*, **10**, 909-916.

1071 Van Oijen M, Beer C, Cramer W, Rammig A, Reichstein M, Rolinski S, Soussana J-F (2013)  
 1072 A novel probabilistic risk analysis to determine the vulnerability of ecosystems to extreme  
 1073 climatic events. *Environmental Research Letters*, **8**. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/1/015032  
 1074 Vilá-Cabrera A, Martínez-Vilalta J, Galiano L, Retana J (2013) Patterns of forest decline and  
 1075 regeneration across Scots pine populations. *Ecosystems*, **16**, 323-335.  
 1076 Vilá-Cabrera A, Martínez-Vilalta J, Vayreda J, Retana J (2011) Structural and climatic  
 1077 determinants of demographic rates of Scots pine forests across the Iberian Peninsula.  
 1078 *Ecological Applications*, **31**, 1162-1172.  
 1079 Walther G-R, Post E, Convey P *et al.* (2002) Ecological responses to recent climate change.  
 1080 *Nature*, **416**, 389-395.  
 1081 Wei X, Shao M, Gale W, Li L (2014) Global pattern of soil carbon losses due to the  
 1082 conversion of forests to agricultural land. *Scientific Reports*, **4**, 4062.  
 1083 Weiskittel A, Gould P, Temesgen H (2009) Sources of variation in the self-thinning boundary  
 1084 line for three species with varying levels of shade tolerance. *Forest Science*, **55**, 84-93.  
 1085 Weller DE (1987) Self-thinning exponent correlated with allometric measures of plant  
 1086 geometry. *Ecology*, **68**, 813-821.  
 1087 Westoby M, Macfadyen A, Ford ED (1984) The self-thinning rule. *Advances in Ecological*  
 1088 *Research*, **14**, 167-225.  
 1089 Williams AP, Allen CD, Macalady AK *et al.* (2013) Temperature as a potent driver of  
 1090 regional forest drought stress and tree mortality. *Nature Climate Change*, **3**, 292-297.  
 1091 Williams AP, Seager R, Abatzoglou JT, Cook BI, Smerdon JE, Cook ER (2015) Contribution  
 1092 of anthropogenic warming to California drought during 2012–2014. *Geophysical Research*  
 1093 *Letters*, **42**, 6819-6828.

1094 Yoda K, Kira H, Ogawa H, Hozumi K (1963) Self-thinning in overcrowded pure stands  
 1095 under cultivated and natural conditions. *Journal of Biology Osaka City University*, **14**, 107-  
 1096 129.  
 1097 Young DJN, Stevens JT, Earles JM *et al.* (2017) Long-term climate and competition explain  
 1098 forest mortality patterns under extreme drought. *Ecology Letters*. DOI: 10.1111/ele.12711  
 1099 Zeide B (1987) Analysis of the 3/2 power law of self-thinning. *Forest Science*, **33**, 517-537.  
 1100 Zhang J, Oliver WW, Powers RF (2013) Reevaluating the self-thinning boundary line for  
 1101 ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*) forests. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, **43**, 963-  
 1102 971.  
 1103 Zhang K, De Almeida Castanho AD, Galbraith DR *et al.* (2015) The fate of Amazonian  
 1104 ecosystems over the coming century arising from changes in climate, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, and  
 1105 land use. *Global Change Biology*, **21**, 2569-2587.  
 1106 Zheng G, Moskal LM (2009) Retrieving Leaf Area Index (LAI) using remote sensing:  
 1107 Theories, methods and sensors. *Sensors*, **9**, 2719.