

5 **TECHNICAL NOTE**

6 **Published in Conservation Genetics Resources, DOI 10.1007/s12686-016-0532-0**

11 **Development of SNP and microsatellite markers for goldsinny wrasse (*Ctenolabrus***
12 ***rupestris*) from ddRAD sequencing data**

16 Authors: Jansson E.^{*}, Taggart J.B.[#], Wehner, S. [#], Dahle G.^{*}, Quintela M.^{*}, Mortensen S.^{*},
17 Kvamme B.O.^{*} & Glover, K. A.^{*&}

19 ^{*}*Institute of Marine Research, 5817 Bergen, Norway*

21 & *Sea Lice Research Centre, Department of Biology, University of Bergen, Norway*

24 [#]*Institute of Aquaculture, School of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA,*
25 *United Kingdom*

29 Corresponding author: Eeva Jansson, eeva.jansson@imr.no

Abstract

Wrasse (*Labridae*) species have been used as parasite cleaners in Atlantic salmon farming since the 1980s. However, their use has recently escalated, with millions now being introduced into salmon cages each year. Most fish are of wild origin, their exploitation potentially impacting native populations. Genetic information is urgently required to inform management decisions. We identified 174 microsatellite and 149 SNP markers from ddRAD sequence data. From these, 17 and 48 microsatellite and SNP markers respectively were validated by genotyping 150 goldsinny wrasse collected from five locations along the Norwegian and Swedish coasts. Two to 30 alleles were identified at the microsatellite loci, while gene diversity (H_e) ranged 0.101–0.907. All SNP loci were biallelic, with averaged H_e per locus ranging between 0.063 and 0.495.

Keywords: SNP, microsatellite, goldsinny wrasse, *Ctenolabrus rupestris*, cleaner fish

The goldsinny wrasse, *Ctenolabrus rupestris* (Linnaeus 1758) is one of six *Labridae* species inhabiting Scandinavian waters, and one of four used in commercial salmonid farms as cleaner fish (Skiftesvik et al. 2015). Large-scale mixed fisheries for cleaner fish currently operate in several countries. In Norway alone, over 21 million wrasses were caught in 2014 (~11.7 million being goldsinnies; Norwegian Directorate of Fisheries 2015, www.fiskeridir.no/). Heavy fishing pressure combined with long-distance translocations raises concern about the effect that these practices may have on wild populations that are both potentially overfished, and receive human-mediated gene-flow. Therefore, genetic markers are urgently needed to conduct genetic studies.

Goldsinny genomic DNA was extracted from fin tissues using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit. For SNP discovery a ‘standard’ ddRAD library was constructed using five DNA samples from each of four Norwegian populations; methodology described elsewhere (Manousaki et al. 2016). For microsatellite discovery a second ddRAD library was prepared using one fish from each of four populations, using more frequent cutting restriction enzymes. A microsatellite enrichment step (Techa et al. 2010) was incorporated using the following oligonucleotide baits (GACA)₆, (GATA)₆, (GGAT)₆, (AGC)₈, (GGA)₈, (GAA)₈, (AAT)₈. Both libraries were sequenced as part of an Illumina MiSeq run (v2 chemistry, 160 base paired end reads). Stacks software (v1.27; Catchen 2013) was used to identify SNPs

from paired-end reads (de novo assembly; key parameters $m=6$, $M=2$, $n=1$). Targeted microsatellites with ≥ 8 repeats were identified with spreadsheet searches.

Stacks analysis identified 1371 RAD loci containing one or two SNPs in at least 17 of the 20 samples. Allele frequencies per population were computed and a subset of potentially informative SNPs (149) selected (Supplementary material 1); i.e. having a minimum minor allele frequency difference among the four populations of 0.3 and possessing suitable flanking sequence for PCR assay. Similarly a list of 174 microsatellite sequences was produced (Supplementary material 2), ranked by repeat number and PCR potential. From these lists 52 SNP and 25 microsatellite sequences were randomly selected for marker testing and validation. Those markers showing promise from an initial analysis were thereafter screened in an additional 150 samples collected from five sites ($n = 28-33$) along the Swedish and Norwegian coast.

PCR primers for microsatellites were designed using Primer3 software (v. 2.3.4; Untergrasser et al. 2012) implemented in Geneious v 9.0.4 (Biomatters). Microsatellites were amplified in four multiplexes (Table 1), each multiplex (10 μ l) comprising 50 ng DNA template, 1 X Buffer, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.1 mM dNTPs, 0.05-2 μ M each primer (Table 1) and 0.05 U GoTaq polymerase. PCR profiles for the different multiplexes varied only by number of cycles. An initial 4 min denaturation at 94 °C, was followed by 24/25/22 cycles (groups 1-2/3/4, respectively) of 50 s at 94 °C, 50 s at 58 °C, and 80 s at 72 °C, and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. Forward primers were fluorescently labelled, amplicons being screened on an ABI Prism 377 Genetic Analyzer, and genotypes scored using GeneMapper v5 (Applied Biosystems). SNP locus primer design, amplification and genotype calling was based on the Sequenom MassARRAY iPLEX Platform, as described by Gabriel et al. (2009). Selected SNP loci were analyzed in two assay groups (Supplementary material 3). Seventeen of 25 microsatellite loci, and 48 of 52 SNP loci gave reliable polymorphic genotypes, and were analyzed further.

Micro-Checker v.2.2.3; (van Oosterhout et al. 2004) was used to check microsatellite loci for possible null alleles, large allele drop outs and scoring errors due to stuttering. 95% confidence intervals for scoring errors and null alleles were calculated with 1000 randomizations. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) and genotypic linkage disequilibrium (LD) between loci tests for all loci were performed with exact tests (Markov chain; 10000 dememorisations, 20 batches, 5000 iterations per batch) in Genepop (v. 4.3; Raymond & Rousset 1995, Rousset 2008). Number of alleles (A), expected and observed heterozygosities

(H_e , H_o), and inbreeding coefficients (F_{IS}), were calculated using GenAlEx (v. 6.5; Peakall and Smouse 2006, 2012).

In the pooled dataset including all individuals, HWE tests showed significant deviation from expectations ($P<0.05$) for four microsatellite (Table 1) and four SNP loci (Table 2). However, consistent and statistically significant deviation from HWE was observed only for the microsatellite locus Cru092 across all five study populations, and in three out of five populations for the locus Cru081 (Supplementary material 4). These two loci were also repeatedly suggested to harbour possible null alleles by the Micro-Checker analysis (Cru092 in all populations, and Cru081 in four out of five populations; data not shown). Nine SNP locus pairs were known to be tightly linked (both SNPs in the same fragment being assayed; Table 2). In addition to these, significant linkage ($P<0.0001$) was found between two microsatellite marker pairs (Cru142/Cru012 and Cru016/Cru082). Microsatellite loci over all samples had on average 9.494(± 0.606) alleles (Table 1), and the mean H_e was 0.661(± 0.028). Averaged expected heterozygosity over all SNP markers and samples was 0.334(± 0.009).

Table 1 Summary of 17 polymorphic microsatellite markers for goldsinny wrasse

Locus	Primers (5'-3')	Multiplex group	Primer conc. (μ M)	Repeat motif	Product size (bp)	N/A	He	Ho	F _{IS}	HWEP
Cru007	F: TTGGTGTGAGGAGAAAGTGC-VIC R: ACTCCTGCCTGTCTGTGTAT	1	0.1	(GACA) _n	115-211	150/23	0.888	0.913	-0.029	NS
Cru077	F: GAATCCTACCGGTCATCAGC-PET R: CTTAAAGCCCCGACGTAGAG	1	0.07	(GAA) _n	131-137	150/2	0.427	0.454	-0.063	NS
Cru081	F: ATCCTCACCCCTGAAGGAGAC-FAM R: CGTTTCCAGTTCCTACCCAG	1	0.1	(CTT) _n	172-292	149/30	0.865	0.693	0.199	***
Cru142	F: TTAAAAAGGGCACAGGGCT-PET R: AATCATCTCCATCAGCAGGC	1	0.2	(CCT) _n	174-203	149/10	0.712	0.637	0.106	NS
Cru016	F: AAAAAGGAGCTGGACAGGAC-NED R: GATCAGGTGCTCTTGACCTG	2	0.15	(CTGT) _n	177-277	149/24	0.907	0.832	0.083	**
Cru026	F: CTACAACCTGTCGCACCTAC-FAM R: TAGGTGAGGTGTGAGACAGG	2	0.1	(CTGT) _n	153-165	150/4	0.205	0.23	-0.122	NS
Cru092	F: TGTGTTGTCAGTGTGTGGTT-PET R: GGCTCAAACAGAAGCTCCTT	2	0.1	(CTT) _n	143-177	120/14	0.748	0.374	0.500	***
Cru099	F: TTGTGTTAGCTTGTGTGCCT-VIC R: TCCAACACCTCCCTCTCTTT	2	0.05	(GGA) _n	83-115	150/12	0.799	0.815	-0.020	NS
Cru112	F: GAGTGTTTGGGATGGAGGTG-VIC R: ACCAGAACCCAAACACATCC	2	0.05	(GGA) _n	189-201	150/5	0.101	0.106	-0.057	NS
Cru012	F: GATAAAGCCGAAGAGCCCTC-FAM R: CGCATATGGTCATCCGTTCT	3	0.1	(GACA) _n	126-198	150/18	0.895	0.868	0.030	NS
Cru018	F: GGGGCAGTTTAAGCTAGCAA-NED R: GCGTCAGTGACACCTAACA	3	0.1	(CTGT) _n	146-250	149/25	0.896	0.865	0.035	NS
Cru065	F: CTGGACTCATCGCAAAGACA-PET	3	0.2	(GAA) _n	102-138	149/12	0.824	0.782	0.052	NS

	R: TGACCTCGCTGATGTCAGTA									
Cru037	F: AGCCAAGGAGACAAAATGGT-VIC	4	0.07	(GATA)n	135-193	146/18	0.802	0.843	-0.050	NS
	R: AGACTGATCCAAAACAGCTACC									
Cru082	F: CCGCTTCCTTCTTCCTCTTC-VIC	4	0.2	(CTT)n	232-313	148/18	0.727	0.695	0.043	**
	R: TAGAGAGCGGGAGAGAGAGA									
Cru110	F: CAGGCCCATTAGTGTGTCAGAC-PET	4	0.1	(GGA)n	180-201	119/6	0.640	0.608	0.049	NS
	R: TGCATTCTGTTGTCAGCTGT									
Cru132	F: TGTGAGGGGTTTCATACAGGT-FAM	4	0.1	(GGA)n	163-172	150/3	0.243	0.248	-0.021	NS
	R: AACCAACTTACAGCCAGCTC									
Cru140	F: TCTCGCATAGAGGAGTCCAG-NED	4	0.1	(CCT)n	151-169	149/6	0.566	0.589	-0.038	NS
	R: CCCCTGCTGCACATTCATAT									

N/A number of samples amplified/number of alleles, *He* expected heterozygosity, *Ho* observed heterozygosity, *F_{IS}* inbreeding coefficient, HWEP result for H-W equilibrium test; NS = non-significant, * *P*<0.05, ** *P*<0.01, *** *P*<0.001

114

115

Table 2 Summary of 48 polymorphic SNP markers for goldsinny wrasse

Locus_SNP position	Primers (5'-3')	Allele	N	MAF	He	Ho	F _{IS}	HWP
Locus10068_101	F:ACGTTGGATGTTATTTCAAATGCCGCCGCC R:ACGTTGGATGAGAGGAAAACCCCAAACCG	A/G	149	0.219	0.339	0.274	0.191	NS
Locus10440_106	F:ACGTTGGATGTCATGATCTCAACATCCAC R:ACGTTGGATGGCCATATTGATACACTGATG	C/T	148	0.291	0.397	0.435	-0.096	NS
Locus10844_70	F:ACGTTGGATGTTCTTTGAGTGACTCTCCC R:ACGTTGGATGTTCTTTCTTCCACTCACAC	A/G	149	0.311	0.421	0.423	-0.005	NS
Locus10844_86	F:ACGTTGGATGTTCTTTCTTCCACTCACAC R:ACGTTGGATGTTCTTTGAGTGACTCTCCC	A/T	149	0.313	0.413	0.383	0.074	NS
Locus10854_59	F:ACGTTGGATGGCAACCCCATTCACCTAATC R:ACGTTGGATGTGATATTTACTGGCTTTGC	A/G	150	0.160	0.261	0.171	0.346	**
Locus10854_87	F:ACGTTGGATGTGATATTTACTGGCTTTGC R:ACGTTGGATGGCAACCCCATTCACCTAATC	C/G	138	0.476	0.476	0.580	-0.219	***
Locus11260_41	F:ACGTTGGATGGACCAAAAAGGGTAACTGGG R:ACGTTGGATGCATGCTGTGAATGTTCCCTC	C/G	149	0.352	0.455	0.474	-0.040	NS
Locus12300_71	F:ACGTTGGATGCGGAATATCGATAGTTTAA R:ACGTTGGATGTCGATACAGGGATACAAGTG	A/G	150	0.479	0.488	0.558	-0.142	NS
Locus12300_108	F:ACGTTGGATGTCGATACAGGGATACAAGTG R:ACGTTGGATGCGGAATATCGATAGTTTAA	A/T	149	0.248	0.367	0.374	-0.021	NS
Locus13412_49	F:ACGTTGGATGCTGTTCCAAGGCTTTTAATG R:ACGTTGGATGCCTTTTCATTTATTATCTCC	C/T	149	0.148	0.250	0.271	-0.084	NS
Locus13412_95	F:ACGTTGGATGCCTTTTCATTTATTATCTCC R:ACGTTGGATGCTGTTCCAAGGCTTTTAATG	A/G	147	0.049	0.092	0.097	-0.060	NS
Locus13542_71	F:ACGTTGGATGAAAAAACGTCCCTGGCAGAG R:ACGTTGGATGTGACAGCTAGTGATGTTACC	A/G	147	0.427	0.487	0.405	0.168	*
Locus13594_103	F:ACGTTGGATGCCTCTCCCAGCTTCTCCTT	C/G	150	0.130	0.217	0.260	-0.196	NS

Locus13663_99	R:ACGTTGGATGCGTCAGCATGAATCTGTTTG F:ACGTTGGATGGGGTTATCTACTTTGAAATG	C/T	150	0.227	0.348	0.335	0.038	NS
Locus137_47	R:ACGTTGGATGGGATCTTCTGTGTTGACTGG F:ACGTTGGATGTTACATATGCCTACCTCCCC	A/G	150	0.273	0.395	0.398	-0.006	NS
Locus13732_76	R:ACGTTGGATGTCAAACCACCGAGGAAGAAG F:ACGTTGGATGGGTACAGACTGAACACAAC	A/G	150	0.133	0.229	0.214	0.067	NS
Locus15371_74	R:ACGTTGGATGATCCCAACGATCAGAGAGTG F:ACGTTGGATGCAATAGAATGATTGGACTAGC	G/T	146	0.279	0.395	0.259	0.345	***
Locus1870_72	R:ACGTTGGATGGAGGCTGGATCCCCCTTTTG F:ACGTTGGATGCTTCGGAGTACACGTGAGAA	G/T	148	0.388	0.474	0.402	0.153	NS
Locus1883_66	R:ACGTTGGATGGAATTGTTTAGCTGGCATCC F:ACGTTGGATGTACCTAGAACCACGACTGAC	C/T	149	0.287	0.401	0.327	0.184	NS
Locus2038_99	R:ACGTTGGATGTGCTAATGTGCTGTGGTCTC F:ACGTTGGATGACCTCTGGAGGCCTTTTAAC	C/G	150	0.302	0.419	0.352	0.161	NS
Locus207_103	R:ACGTTGGATGACTGTAATTACCTGCAATC F:ACGTTGGATGAGTGAGTCTCTGCGTGTCTG	A/G	150	0.292	0.410	0.378	0.079	NS
Locus3090_60	R:ACGTTGGATGGGAAAAAGGTAGGCTAATCTC F:ACGTTGGATGTTATCTGATTACCCTGACGG	A/T	150	0.246	0.368	0.345	0.062	NS
Locus3299_58	R:ACGTTGGATGGTTGAAGAAGAACAGGCTCC F:ACGTTGGATGGTTATTTATCTGTTTTATCCC	A/G	148	0.454	0.482	0.404	0.162	NS
Locus3299_101	R:ACGTTGGATGTAAAAATGTCAGAGGAAAC F:ACGTTGGATGGTTATTTATCTGTTTTATCCC	A/T	150	0.120	0.208	0.188	0.094	NS
Locus3594_98	R:ACGTTGGATGTAAAAATGTCAGAGGAAAC F:ACGTTGGATGCAAAGACAGCACCTATAAA	A/C	149	0.483	0.495	0.499	-0.007	NS
Locus3684_35	R:ACGTTGGATGTGATTAGAACCATTTTAAAC F:ACGTTGGATGGTCCGCCTGTCTTGTTAACT	A/G	150	0.053	0.100	0.106	-0.065	NS
Locus3684_63	R:ACGTTGGATGGCAGGAGTGTGTGTTCAATTT F:ACGTTGGATGGTCCGCCTGTCTTGTTAACT	C/G	147	0.159	0.263	0.237	0.099	NS

Locus3836_83	R:ACGTTGGATGGCAGGAGTGTGTGTTCAATTTT F:ACGTTGGATGGCTCTGACTTAAAGTTCACG	A/G	150	0.146	0.245	0.266	-0.084	NS
Locus4072_41	R:ACGTTGGATGCTGTTTCATGTGTTCTACAGG F:ACGTTGGATGTTTCAGGGCGACACAAACCTC	A/C	150	0.342	0.436	0.456	-0.045	NS
Locus4263_103	R:ACGTTGGATGAAGTCGACCTTTCCACTTCC F:ACGTTGGATGTGAACACTGTCAGTCCACAC	A/T	149	0.397	0.461	0.424	0.082	NS
Locus4688_92	R:ACGTTGGATGCCCCCTGTCAACACCATGAGA F:ACGTTGGATGCTGCAGTTTGTCTAAAACTTC	G/T	149	0.344	0.446	0.483	-0.082	NS
Locus5363_67	R:ACGTTGGATGGTTAGTTTAGCAGCTTAGCAC F:ACGTTGGATGTTTGTGTTTATGCTGGTGTGCC	C/T	149	0.082	0.148	0.138	0.065	NS
Locus5704_64	R:ACGTTGGATGCCACATTGGATGTCCAACAG F:ACGTTGGATGTCTGAATGTCAATGCCCTC	A/G	150	0.234	0.314	0.361	-0.151	NS
Locus605_109	R:ACGTTGGATGATTAAGGTCCCATGGGCTTC F:ACGTTGGATGACAGTATGCATCACTGGCTC	G/T	150	0.447	0.479	0.477	0.004	NS
Locus6318_97	R:ACGTTGGATGAATGTAGAAGGTAGACGTG F:ACGTTGGATGCAGAACTTGGAGAAGCTCG	A/G	148	0.163	0.266	0.246	0.078	NS
Locus6440_49	R:ACGTTGGATGGCGTCTCACTTGTATTGCTG F:ACGTTGGATGTCCTGGGCTTCCTCTTTCTC	A/T	142	0.166	0.274	0.290	-0.057	NS
Locus6440_72	R:ACGTTGGATGCAGAGCAGCGGGAGGAGCA F:ACGTTGGATGCAGAGCAGCGGGAGGAGCA	A/C	148	0.128	0.217	0.201	0.073	NS
Locus6583_45	R:ACGTTGGATGCTCATCTGAGGAGGAACATC F:ACGTTGGATGGACAGTCCTCCTACAATCAG	G/T	144	0.069	0.128	0.125	0.020	NS
Locus6883_68	R:ACGTTGGATGGAGGCTGGATCCCCTTTTG F:ACGTTGGATGAAAGATGCCATGACAGTGCC	A/G	148	0.033	0.063	0.066	-0.051	NS
Locus713_94	R:ACGTTGGATGCCTACAACACAAAGTGTAACG F:ACGTTGGATGGACATAAAAACTGTCCAACCC	A/T	148	0.419	0.472	0.393	0.167	NS
Locus7167_86	F:ACGTTGGATGGTAATCGTTGCTGTGCTTTG	A/G	149	0.075	0.134	0.108	0.190	NS

Locus739_47	R:ACGTTGGATGATCATCGCTCAGCCGGGTTT F:ACGTTGGATGTTACGAACCATTTCTGTCCTC	G/T	150	0.258	0.379	0.368	0.027	NS
Locus8493_91	R:ACGTTGGATGGGTTTGTAGATGGTGACGC F:ACGTTGGATGTGATCTTTCAGCTCAGGGTC	A/T	149	0.262	0.381	0.375	0.017	NS
Locus8916_62	R:ACGTTGGATGATGGGTGGGTAAAGCAGTTG F:ACGTTGGATGCCGCTTGTTCTATATGATA	C/T	150	0.333	0.438	0.399	0.090	NS
Locus8916_102	R:ACGTTGGATGGCGTCTGATGGAGGAAGAAA F:ACGTTGGATGCCGCTTGTTCTATATGATA	C/T	149	0.312	0.408	0.382	0.065	NS
Locus9226_60	R:ACGTTGGATGGCGTCTGATGGAGGAAGAAA F:ACGTTGGATGAGGTGTCTTCGTCCCTTTTG	A/C	149	0.432	0.489	0.412	0.158	NS
Locus9375_79	R:ACGTTGGATGCTTTGTGACGTCTGCTCTTG F:ACGTTGGATGATTCTACAGGGTCAGGTTG	A/C	148	0.229	0.349	0.324	0.072	NS
Locus9375_108	R:ACGTTGGATGGGAAGATGTTTGAAACTTG F:ACGTTGGATGGGAAGATGTTTGAAACTTG	A/C	148	0.091	0.165	0.183	-0.107	NS

N number of samples, *MAF* minor allele frequency, *He* expected heterozygosity, *Ho* observed heterozygosity, *F_{IS}* inbreeding coefficient, HWEP result for H-W equilibrium test; NS = non-significant, * *P*<0.05, ** *P*<0.01, *** *P*<0.001

Acknowledgements: This study was financed by the Norwegian Department for Trade and Fisheries (NFD). The Swedish Cultural Foundation in Finland (Svenska kulturfonden) is acknowledged for personal grant to EJ. The authors also acknowledge the support of the MASTS pooling initiative (The Marine Alliance for Science and Technology for Scotland). The authors thank Paulo Prodohl for supplying biotinylated oligos.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Catchen J, Hohenlohe P, Bassham S, Amores A, Cresko W. (2013) Stacks: an analysis tool set for population genomics. *Mol. Ecol.* 22: 3124–3140

Gabriel S, Ziaugra L, Tabbaa D (2009) SNP Genotyping Using the Sequenom MassARRAY iPLEX Platform. *Curr Protoc Hum Genet* 2.12.1-2.12.18

Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A et al. (2012) Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 28(12):1647–1649

Manousaki T, Tsakogiannis A, Taggart JB et al. (2016) Exploring a non-model teleost genome through RAD sequencing - Linkage mapping in Common Pandora, *Pagellus erythrinus* and comparative genomic analysis. *G3: Genes Genomes Genetics* 6:509-519

Peakall R, Smouse PE (2012) GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research-an update. *Bioinformatics* 28:2537–2539

Peakall R, Smouse PE (2006) GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Mol Ecol Notes* 6:288–295

Raymond R, Rousset F (1995) GENEPOP (Version 1.2): Population Genetics Software for Exact Tests and Ecumenicism. *J Hered* 86(3):248–249

Rousset F (2008) Genepop'007: a complete re-implementation of the genepop software for Windows and Linux. *Mol Ecol Resour* 8(1):103–106

Skiftesvik AB, Durif CMF, Bjelland RM, Browman HI (2015) Distribution and habitat preferences of five species of wrasse (Family Labridae) in a Norwegian fjord. *ICES J Mar Sci* 73(3):890–899

Techen N, Arias RS, Glynn NC, Pan Z, Khan, IA, Scheffler BE. (2010) Optimized construction of microsatellite-enriched libraries. *Mol Ecol Resour* 10: 508–515

161 Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M, Rozen SG (2012)
162 Primer3--new capabilities and interfaces. Nucleic Acids Res 40(15):e115
163
164 Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P (2004) MICRO-CHECKER:
165 software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. Mol Ecol
166 Notes 4:535–538