

1 **Taxonomy of bacterial fish pathogens**

2 *Brian Austin*

3 Institute of Aquaculture, Pathfoot Building, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA,
4 Scotland, U.K.

5 e-mail: brian.austin@stir.ac.uk

6 Running title: Characterization of bacterial pathogens

7

8 **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

9 Abstract

10 1. Introduction

11 2. Bacterial fish pathogens

12 3. Isolation of fish pathogens: the culture-dependent approach

13 4. Approaches to characterization

14 4.1 Phenotype

15 4.2 **Immunological methods**

16 4.3 Chemotaxonomy

17 4.3.1 *Lactococcus piscium*

18 4.3.2 *Mycobacterium neoarum*

19 4.3.3 *Nocardia*

20 4.3.4 *Renibacterium salmoninarum*

21 4.4 Molecular/genetic methods

22 5. New species of fish pathogens recognized by 16S rRNA sequencing

23	5.1	New and uncultured fish pathogens: ‘ <i>Candidatus</i> ’
24	6.	Taxonomic developments associated with specific bacterial fish pathogens
25	6.1	Motile aeromonas septicaemia
26	6.2	<i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i>
27	6.3	Enteric redmouth (ERM)
28	6.4	Vibriosis
29	7.	The role of phylogenetics in bacterial fish pathology
30	8.	Conclusions
31	9.	References
32		

32 **Abstract**

33 Bacterial taxonomy has progressed from reliance on highly artificial culture-
34 dependent techniques involving the study of phenotype [including morphological,
35 biochemical and physiological data] to the modern applications of molecular biology,
36 most recently 16S rRNA gene sequencing, which gives an insight into evolutionary
37 pathways (= phylogenetics). The latter is applicable to culture-independent
38 approaches, and has led directly to the recognition of new uncultured bacterial groups,
39 i.e. '*Candidatus*', which have been associated as the cause of some fish diseases,
40 including rainbow trout summer enteric syndrome. One immediate benefit is that
41 16S rRNA gene sequencing has led to increased confidence in the accuracy of names
42 allocated to bacterial pathogens. This is in marked contrast to the previous dominance
43 of phenotyping, and identifications, which have been subsequently challenged in the
44 light of 16S rRNA gene sequencing. To date, there has been some fluidity over the
45 names of bacterial fish pathogens, with some, for example *Vibrio anguillarum*, being
46 divided into two separate entities (*V. anguillarum* and *V. ordalii*). Others have been
47 combined, for example *V. carchariae*, *V. harveyi* and *V. trachuri* as *V. harveyi*.
48 Confusion may result with some organisms recognized by more than one name; *V.*
49 *anguillarum* was reclassified as *Beneckea* and *Listonella*, with *Vibrio* and *Listonella*
50 persisting in the scientific literature. Notwithstanding, modern methods have
51 permitted real progress in the understanding of the taxonomic relationships of many
52 bacterial fish pathogens.

53

54 Key words: **characterization – phylogenetics – classification – taxonomy – DNA**
55 **sequencing**

56 1. Introduction

57 “What’s in a name?” (William Shakespeare; Romeo and Juliet)

58

59 The Swedish botanist Carl Linnaeus (1707-1778), who was also known as Carolus
60 Linnaeus and Carl von Linné, is undoubtedly the Father of Taxonomy, and was
61 responsible for developing a system for naming and ranking living organisms. His
62 lasting contribution was the development of a simplified naming system in Latin with
63 consistency across all living organisms, i.e. the binomial system, in which each
64 organism has a unique two-word name – incorporating genus and species. A
65 simplistic view is that Linnaeus made order out of chaos. Yet, for Linnaeus and his
66 contemporaries, the process was comparatively easy, and involved only large
67 organisms, which were clearly visible to the naked eye (= macro-organisms) and
68 easily seen morphological characteristics (= a category of phenotypic characters).
69 Thus, these early classifications (= the process of arranging organisms into groups)
70 were based on limited but easily visible data, and the outcomes were largely obvious,
71 for example a dog is notably different from a horse and would therefore belong in
72 separate species.

73

74 The founding father of microbiology, the Dutch textile merchant and lens
75 maker, Antonie van Leeuwenhoek (1632-1723), observed small organisms initially
76 from the proximity of his teeth (= bacteria and protozoa?), and these entities were
77 termed “animalcules”, which he wrote about in a letter to the Royal Society in 1676.
78 His careful illustrations suggested morphological variation between the cells. Yet,

another two centuries were to pass before serious attempts at naming and ordering bacteria started. Thus, bacterial taxonomy has progressed from the simplistic approach involving a small number of readily observable characteristics, such as morphology as deduced from observation using light microscopes, to the modern applications of molecular biology. With improvements in knowledge, there have been refinements in taxonomic processes and an increase in reliability. It should be remembered that taxonomy (= the theory of classification, nomenclature and identification) is a man-made process, i.e. the organisms included in any classification have not chosen to be placed in the groups that have been created by human beings. Nevertheless if done properly, taxonomy has value in:

- Understanding biodiversity, namely the range of organisms in a given habitat
- Communication between scientists, thus enabling exchange of information about similar organisms
- Cataloguing information – the name is the key to a catalogue of information about the organism
- Enabling identification, such that new isolates may be readily and reliably identified
- Providing an insight into evolutionary pathways (= phylogenetics).

To be effective, taxonomy should be

- based on a high information content
- reproducible, and
- stable,

otherwise confusion will surely result.

103 Since the start of bacterial taxonomic processes in the nineteenth century, there has
104 been a progression in the type of information used in the procedure. It may be argued
105 that early bacteriologists had considerable taxonomic insight judging from the
106 conclusions reached from the comparatively simple data that were available.
107 However, taxonomy is a dynamic science, with new developments/methods being
108 incorporated into processes including the descriptions of bacterial species. Since the
109 1950s, bacterial taxonomy has evolved rationally, encompassing numerical methods
110 [132, 136], chemotaxonomy [e.g. 8, 26], and molecular techniques [63]. Taxonomy
111 has progressed from a highly artificial process involving limited amounts of
112 phenotypic data to the recognition of more natural relationships between organisms,
113 based on comparatively large amounts of varied and reliable data covering multiple
114 aspects of the biology of an organism, and including phenotypic, chemotaxonomic,
115 genotypic and phylogenetic data, i.e. a polyphasic approach. However, the current
116 dominance of 16S rRNA gene sequencing although revolutionising some aspects of
117 bacterial classification needs to be treated cautiously as overreliance on the approach
118 may lead to erroneous conclusions [63]. Nevertheless, it is apparent that sequencing
119 methods are instrumental with the explosion of new species names, which have
120 greeted the arrival of the twenty-first century. Whereas, the information content of
121 many of the new species descriptions is generally high, an unwelcome trend is that
122 many new taxa (= taxonomic groups) are described solely after the study of only
123 single strains. Therefore, the diversity/variability within the new taxon cannot be
124 adequately assessed. Also, it is impossible to determine whether a single strain is
125 effectively an outlier or a median representative of the group [in future years, will it
126 be regarded as typical or atypical of the group?]. However, taxonomy is often ignored
127 by many microbiologists in other specialisms, and there may well be concern that

basic principles could be forgotten, e.g. is the purity and authenticity of cultures always verified before use? Where culturing is not possible, there is the possibility of analyzing the nucleic acids, determining species composition, and even proposing new taxa, i.e. by the use of culture-independent approaches.

2. Bacterial fish pathogens

There has been a steady increase in the numbers of bacterial species associated with fish diseases, with new pathogens regularly recognised in the scientific literature [17]. However, the names of many bacterial fish pathogens have been subjected to taxonomic change over time, with some species split, for example *Vibrio anguillarum* biotype 2 becoming re-classified as a separate species *V. ordalii* [127, 128]. In other cases, different nomenclatures have been combined, for example *V. carchariae*, *V. harveyi* and *V. trachuri* into *V. harveyi*, which had precedence because it was the first name to be proposed, albeit as the luminous *Achromobacter harveyi* [49, 110, 144]. The oldest known fish pathogen, *V. anguillarum*, has undergone name changes to *Beneckea* [19] and *Listonella* [83]; neither of which was widely accepted. However, *Listonella* remains a valid name and is mentioned in the current edition of Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, and *Beneckea* has been consigned to the history books. A positive aspect of sequencing methods is that there has been a progression towards the Orwellian notion of "Order out of Chaos" even if scientists do not always appreciate the significance of the data.

3. Isolation of fish pathogens: the culture-dependent approach

With the rapid development in molecular biology, it is not always necessary to culture

an organism in order to enable its study, including the allocation of a species name. Thus, the concept of culture-independent techniques was developed and refined. Sensitivity and specificity increased, but without culturing there was an inability to carry out associated studies, such as the determination of pathogenicity factors. The attraction of culture-dependent approaches is that a pure culture may be obtained and deposited in culture collections as reference material for use by others. This raises a concern about the usefulness of cultures. An assumption is made that pathological material may be used for the recovery of a pure culture of the aetiological agent. This will depend on using appropriate media and incubation conditions, and assumes that the organism is in a form that may be cultured and that the microbiologist picks the “correct” colony. If mixed growth occurs or if the pathogen is largely overgrown by opportunists/secondary invaders/saprophytes, then there is concern that the actual pathogen will be missed. In addition, infections resulting from two or more organisms working synergistically will undoubtedly be mis-diagnosed if the diagnostician chooses only one culture for study. However, there are only a comparatively few indications of disease resulting from multiple species, such as *Aeromonas hydrophila* with *A. salmonicida* [17]. It is speculative how many diagnoses (if any) are made of contaminants rather than the actual pathogen. Moreover, it is surprising that only two species of anaerobic bacteria, namely *Clostridium botulinum* and *Eubacterium tarantellae*, have been implicated as fish pathogens [17]. Of course, this could reflect the general lack of use of appropriate anaerobic procedures by microbiologists rather than the absence of anaerobic pathogens.

4. Approaches to characterization

4.1 Phenotype

Traditionally, bacteria were characterized phenotypically, and undoubtedly for some groups, e.g. the Enterobacteriaceae, a wealth of knowledge emerged particularly from the 1950's onwards. Currently, emphasis on phenotype has declined with a concomitant move towards molecular-based approaches. Nevertheless, phenotypic data have a role in polyphasic studies whereby many facets of the biology of an organism are studied [153]. Phenotyping leads the way with diagnoses worldwide; emphasis often being placed on commercial kits and the use of manufacturer's probabilistic databases to achieve an acceptable identification. Although the approach has standardized diagnoses, the weakness is that most identification systems have been developed for medically important bacteria that grow within 24-48 h at 35-37°C. Consequently, the reliability of these kits for use with fish pathogens which need lower incubation temperatures for longer periods needs to be questioned [17, 148]. In particular, the API 20E system includes the use of sugar fermentation reactions, which may be influenced by the presence of plasmids [17]. Moreover, there may be confusion over the interpretation of the profiles. For example, some of the profiles of *A. hydrophila* are similar to those of *A. allosaccharophila* and *A. sobria*; *Yersinia ruckeri* may be confused with *Hafnia alvei*; moreover *Tenacibaculum maritimum* and *Pseudomonas anguilliseptica* are indistinguishable by API 20E [17]. Problems may result when data from rapid commercial kits are used in conjunction with conventional diagnostic schemes, which have been developed for traditional and often laborious phenotypic characters. Also, some of the traditional tests, e.g. the Voges Proskauer reaction, are not noted for their reproducibility and may introduce errors into the taxonomic process and lead to mis-identification [133].

Undoubtedly, selective media have proved useful for the recovery of some fish pathogens, with an example including selective kidney disease medium (SKDM) for *Renibacterium salmoninarum* [11]. However, selective media are only available for a minority of all fish pathogens, therefore recovery is dependent on more general culturing methods. Specially developed diagnostic procedures have aided identification of some group, e.g. the glucose motility **deep cultures** have benefitted the recovery and identification of *V. anguillarum* [155].

4.2 Immunological methods

The development and availability of standardized **immunological (antibodies and kits)** reagents have improved diagnoses considerably [3, 17, 51], and enhanced the reliability of methods for the detection of pathogens, including *Mycobacterium* spp., *Photobacterium damsela* subsp. *piscicida* [61], *Piscirickettsia salmonis* [141], *R. salmoninarum* [2] **and *Streptococcus iniae* [70]**. Tentative diagnoses, including of asymptomatic infections, may result from use of monospecific polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies in a range of **antibody-based** procedures, including the **indirect** fluorescent antibody test (iFAT), whole-cell (slide) agglutination, precipitin reactions, complement fixation, immunodiffusion, antibody-coated latex particles, co-agglutination using antibody-coated staphylococcal cells, passive haemagglutination, immuno-India ink technique (Geck) or enzyme linked immunosorbent assay [ELISA; **reviewed by 1], the latter of which may also be used for serology, i.e. detecting antibodies in the host to specific pathogens [120]. Antibody-based methods are used effectively for detecting exposure to fish viruses, such as Koi herpes virus [4], but bacterial pathogens pose a more complicated picture with cross reactivities likely unless specific known molecules are used to coat the ELISA**

plates rather than whole pathogens [3]. Techniques are often sensitive, specific, rapid and reliable, and in some cases may be used in the field [17]. This is in marked contrast to molecular biology, which may be much slower and relies on specialist, well equipped laboratories.

4.3 Chemotaxonomy

Chemotaxonomy involves the investigation of chemical constituents of bacteria, and is particularly useful for the study of Gram-positive bacteria. The molecules studied include fatty acids, polar lipids, lipopolysaccharide [nature of the chain length of the fatty acid and the sugar in the Lipid A moiety; 89], menaquinones, naphthoquinones, ubiquinones, mycolic acids, peptidoglycan, polyamines, teichoic and teichuronic acids and isoprenoid quinones [125]. Mycolic acids, which are useful taxonomic markers, are present in Gram-positive bacteria with high G+C ratios of their DNA [26], and have been reported for a range of fish pathogens, including *Mycobacterium chelonae* subsp. *piscarium* [8] and *M. shottsii* [117]. The length of the mycolate side chain has been correlated to 16S rRNA gene sequence homology [147]. Specific examples for which reliable chemotaxonomic data exist for Gram-positive bacterial fish pathogens are detailed below:

4.3.1 *Lactococcus piscium*

The long chain cellular fatty acids of *Lactococcus piscium* were reported to be straight chain saturated, mono-unsaturated and cyclopropane-ring types. The major acids corresponded to hexadecanoic acid, Δ 11-octadecanoic acid and Δ 11-methylenoctadecanoic acid [160].

4.3.2 *Mycobacterium neoarum*

The cell wall chemotype has been given as IVA, with glycolated muramic acids, mycolic acids and MK-9, as the predominant isoprenoid quinone, being present [18].

4.3.3 *Nocardia*

Nocardia salmonicida contains LL-diaminopimelic acid (DAP) and glycine but not meso-DAP, arabinose or galactose in the cell wall (i.e. Type I). The major cellular fatty acids are hexadecanoic, octadecanoic, octadecanoic and 10-methyloctadecanoic acid [60].

N. seriolae contains meso-diaminopimelic acid, arabinose and galactose, indicative of chemotype IVA. Mycolic acids containing 44-58 carbon atoms are present. The cellular fatty acids are dominated by *n*-C_{16:0}, *n*-C_{16:1} and *n*-C_{18:1}; 10-methyl-C_{19:0} is also present as a major component in some isolates. The predominant isoprenoid quinone is tetrahydrogenated menaquinone with 8 isoprene units [60].

4.3.4 *Renibacterium salmoninarum*

Chemotaxonomic traits of *R. salmoninarum* have been highlighted in part because of the comparative difficulty with obtaining conventional phenotypic test results. Thus, the cell wall peptidoglycan was deduced to contain D-alanine, D-glutamic acid, glycine and lysine as the diamino acids [44]. The principal cell wall sugar was glucose, although arabinose, mannose and rhamnose were also present; mycolic acids were absent [123]. Methyl-branched fatty acids form >92% of the total fatty acid component of the cells, with 12-methyltetradecanoic (anteiso-C₁₅), 13-

276 methyldecanoic (iso-C₁₅) and 14-methylhexadecanoic (anteiso-C₁₇) as the major
277 components. Straight chain fatty acids generally account for 1% of the total fatty
278 acids, and unsaturated fatty acids are not detected at all. Over 81% of the total fatty
279 acids are composed of the lower melting point anteiso acids, which may contribute to
280 membrane fluidity at low temperatures. Unsaturated menaquinones with nine
281 isoprene units are present. All strains contain diphosphatidylglycerol, two major and
282 six or seven minor glycolipids and two unidentified minor phospholipids [40].

284 4.4 Molecular/genetic methods

285 Molecular/genetic methods involving 16S rRNA gene sequencing [47], reverse
286 transcriptase-sequencing [75, 124] and polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based gene
287 sequencing [121] have been useful additions to the armoury of techniques applicable
288 to bacterial taxonomy [125, 147]. DNA hybridization, which is regarded as the “gold
289 standard” for demonstrating the presence of absence of new species, was introduced
290 into bacterial taxonomy during the 1960s [e.g. 34]. Genotypic classification involving
291 sequencing of the 16S and 23S RNA genes [the latter is less popular] is regarded as
292 the definitive standard for determining phylogenetic relationships of bacteria [47,
293 125]. In particular, the genes are regarded as having all the attributes of useful,
294 relevant and stable biological markers being present and homologous in all bacteria.
295 Also, they are not prone to the effects of gene transfer [125]. Yet, the exact homology
296 values have a profound effect on interpretation of the outputs. Thus, homology values
297 of $\leq 98.7\%$ [97% according to 147] indicates membership of different species, and this
298 correlates well with DNA hybridization results. Yet, occasionally higher homology
299 values may be attributed to distinct species groupings [46]. By themselves, 16S rRNA

gene sequences are insufficient to describe a new species, but may be used indicatively and in conjunction with DNA:DNA hybridization [147]. However, sequencing has permitted the recognition of new variants. For example, sequencing revealed a new variant among Israeli isolates of *Streptococcus iniae* [74]. Moreover, 16S rRNA cataloguing has been useful in providing information about the position of species in existing classifications. Thus, small-subunit rRNA sequencing and DNA:DNA hybridization revealed that *Pasteurella piscicida* was related to *Photobacterium damsela* leading to the proposal that the pathogen be re-classified as *Ph. damsela(e)* subsp. *piscicida* [50]. Furthermore, *R. salmoninarum* was deduced to be a member of the actinomycete subdivision, being related to *Arthrobacter*, *Brevibacterium*, *Cellulomonas*, *Jonesia*, *Micrococcus*, *Promicromonospora*, *Stomatococcus* and *Terrabacter* [56, 139]. The evolutionary relationship of *R. salmoninarum* to *Arthrobacter* was reinforced as the result of genome sequencing, which suggested that the genome of the former had been reduced significantly since its divergence from a common ancestor [156].

Nucleic acid fingerprinting methods, including amplified fragment-length polymorphism PCR (AFLP), pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), rep-PCR (repetitive element primed PCR), REP-PCR (repetitive extragenic palindromic-PCR), ERIC-PCR (enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus sequences-PCR), BOX-PCR (derived from the boxA element) and ribotyping, provide information at or below the subspecies level [147]. Of these, AFLP and ribotyping are extremely useful and standardized.

It is unfortunate that with the increasing use of molecular methods, the description of bacterial groups has been often met with the use of minimal phenotypic data, which causes problems for diagnostics especially in laboratories, which are not equipped for molecular biology [17]. In these situations where distinguishing phenotypic feature have not been or could not be provided then the species should be referred to as a geno[mo]species. Nevertheless, molecular methods have revolutionized taxonomy, and led to the description of an increasing number of new taxa. The methodologies may be culture-independent, allowing for the study of uncultured organisms but there are issues with genomic fluidity [125]. “*Candidatus*” describes uncultured prokaryotes for which phylogenetic relationships have been determined, and authenticity confirmed by methods such as *in situ* probing [125].

Sequencing of the 16S rDNA is becoming an accepted procedure for the identification of fish pathogens, for example *V. harveyi* [116] and confirming its synonymy with *V. carchariae* [49, 110], and has been instrumental in the recognition of new pathogens, including *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* [99], *S. parauberis* [previously recognised as *S. uberis* genotype II; 37] and *Vagococcus salmoninarum* [128, 154], and confirmed the presence of *Lactococcus garvieae* in Taiwan [29].

DNA:DNA and RNA:DNA hybridization, 16S RNA cataloguing, and 5S and 16S rRNA sequencing techniques have been used with increasing regularity and success. A review of PCR with emphasis on validation of the techniques and problems with diagnosis has been published [57]. PCR has been used successfully to identify hard-to-isolate fish pathogens, such as *Mycobacterium* spp. in sea bass

(*Dicentrarchus labrax*) [72] and *M. chelonae* in a cichlid oscar (*Astronotus ocellatus*) [91]. Moreover, PCR has been useful with distinguishing different species from within the same genus, such as *Lactococcus garvieae* from *L. lactis* [162], from related genera, i.e. *L. garvieae*, *S. difficilis*, *S. iniae* and *S. parauberis* [88], and with an admirable level of specificity [7].

The sensitivity of PCR is clearly a positive attribute particularly with slow growing and/or nutritionally fastidious pathogens that are otherwise difficult to study in the laboratory. Of relevance, a PCR was developed [77, 78], which detected only 22 cells of *R. salmoninarum*; a sensitivity of 10 cells was reported by others [85]. Similarly, PCR detected only 10^2 colony forming units (CFUs) of *N. seriolae* in yellowtail [95].

A recent development is multi locus sequence analysis (MLSA), which permits the genotypic examination of micro-organisms by comparison of the sequences of multiple, i.e. 12 or more, house-keeping genes. The benefit of using multiple genes is that the outputs are more informative and less likely to generate results that are distorted by recombination of single loci [125]. The resulting phylogenetic trees are capable of recognizing deeply branching clusters and permit the delineation of groups within a species or genus [138].

5. New species of fish pathogens recognized by 16S rRNA sequencing

16S rRNA sequencing has helped the description of fish pathogens where phenotypic characterization alone does not permit their incorporation in classifications. For example, a new disease of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) was linked to the

Streptobacillus moniliformis and the fusobacteria group on the basis of sequence homology; biochemical traits did not permit identification [86]. The newly described cause of a mycobacteriosis in Chesapeake Bay (USA) striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) was equated to a new species, *M. shottsii*, with confirmation by 16S rRNA sequence homology in which the pathogen was linked most closely to *M. marinum* and *M. ulcerans* (similarity = 99.2%) [117]. In one study, *M. gordonae* was identified by 16S rRNA sequencing [122]. **Furthermore, phylogenetic analysis based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing together with partial sequences from the 65 kDa heat-shock protein (hsp65) and the beta-subunit of the bacterial RNA polymerase (*rpoB*) genes and the 16S- 23 S internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS 1) region named other novel mycobacteria as *M. stomatepieae* and *M. barombii* [115].**

During an examination of 16S rRNA sequences, two isolates of motile aeromonads from diseased elvers in Spain were described as a new species, *Aeromonas allosaccharophila* [87], albeit phenotypically heterogeneous [59]. This heterogeneity has caused problems for reliable phenotypic-based diagnoses.

Francisella became recognized as the cause of a new disease of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) in Norway in which the affected fish displayed white granuloma in the viscera and skin. Isolates were recovered, and determined to possess the key phenotypic characters of *Francisella*, viz non-motile, strictly aerobic Gram-negative intracellular coccobacilli which produced H₂S from cysteine-containing media [94]. 16S rRNA sequencing revealed a 99.17% homology to *Francisella philomiragia* [100], although a slightly higher value of 99.3% was published [94] with the proposal

for a new subspecies, i.e. *Francisella philomiragia* subsp. *noatunensis*, to accommodate the organisms. There was 92.2-99.0% homology with *Francisella philomiragia* housekeeping genes, *groEL*, *shdA*, *rpoB*, *rpoA*, *pgm* and *atpA*. A DNA:DNA hybridization of 68% was recorded between the fish pathogen and *Francisella philomiragia* [94].

Pasteurella skyensis was recovered from diseased Atlantic salmon in Scotland, linked to the family Pasteurellaceae by phenotypic analysis, and elevated to a new species largely as a result of 16S rRNA sequencing that identified the closest neighbour as *Pasteurella phocoenarum* [homology = 97.1%; 24].

Piscirickettsia salmonis was named to accommodate isolates from diseased salmon in Chile, of which LF-89 was studied in detail [48] with 16S rRNA conforming to the gamma subdivision of the Proteobacteria with similarities to the family Rickettsiales, and in particular *Wolbachia persica* (similarity = 86.3%) and *Coxiella burnetii* (similarity = 87.5%) more than to representatives of *Ehrlichia*, *Rickettsia* or *Rochalimaea* leading to the description of a new genus and species [48]. Other rickettsias not conforming exactly with *Piscirickettsia salmonis* have been described. For example, an organism recovered from white sea bass (*Atractascion nobilis*) was reported to have a 96-3-98.7% 16S rDNA homology with *Piscirickettsia salmonis* [9], which was considered by the authors to be too low for a confirmed identity. A Tasmanian isolate from Atlantic salmon was distinct from *Piscirickettsia* in terms of sequence alignment of the 16S rRNA, and for the present regarded as a rickettsial-like organism [RLO; 31].

Pseudomonas plecoglossicida, the causal agent of bacterial ascites of ayu (*Plecoglossus altivelis*), was described as a new species as a result of 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis confirming distinctiveness from *P. putida* biovar A. DNA:DNA hybridization confirmed the isolates to be a new centre of variation insofar as <50% homology was recorded with other pseudomonads, including *P. putida* [98].

Streptococcus phocae was recognized as a cause of systemic disease in Atlantic salmon farmed in Chile. Phenotypic testing linked the pathogen with the streptococci, notably *Gemella*, but analysis of 16S rRNA genes provided a link to *S. phocae* [118].

Tenacibaculum soleae was recovered from diseased sole (*Solea senegalensis*) in Spain, and confirmed as a new species largely on account of 16S rRNA homology values of 94.8-96.7% with other members of the genus [111].

Two groups of bacteria were recovered from Atlantic salmon with winter ulcer disease/syndrome [81], of which one cluster was found to be closest to *Moritella marina* (43% re-association by DNA:DNA hybridization), and was named as *V. viscosus*. By 16S rDNA sequencing, the closest match was with *Moritella* [81] and *M. marina* (99.1% sequence homology) so that the organism was re-classified to *Moritella*, but as a new species, as *M. viscosa* [22], despite the high sequence homology [125]. Separately, 19 Icelandic and one Norwegian isolate from shallow skin lesions on Atlantic salmon, and the type strain of *V. marinus* NCIMB 1144 were identified as *V. marinus* after an examination of phenotypic data and analyses by

numerical taxonomy [21]. On the basis of 16S rRNA sequencing, the species was transferred to *Moritella* as *M. marina* [152].

5.1 New and uncultured fish pathogens: ‘*Candidatus*’

Molecular techniques have permitted the recognition of uncultured pathogens belonging to new groupings for which the name of ‘*Candidatus*’ has been used. ‘*Candidatus* Arthromitus’ has been recovered from rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) with summer enteritic syndrome, which is a gastro-enteritis [35, 93]. The organism was observed in histological preparations to which nested polymerase chain reaction was used, with confirmation by sequencing [36]. ‘*Candidatus* Piscichlamydia Salmonis’ was detected by RT-DGGE in intracellular inclusions, i.e. epitheliocysts, in Atlantic salmon with proliferative gill inflammation [142]. ‘*Candidatus* Clavochlamydia Salmonicola’ is an intracellular organism, causing epitheliocystitis in Atlantic salmon, which was recognized as novel as a result of 16S rRNA sequencing [65].

6. Taxonomic developments associated with specific bacterial fish pathogens

From the early literature, a question-mark has hung over the reliability of some bacterial names insofar as there was often negligible evidence to support the use of those names. Concern may also be expressed about the value of studies based on only single isolates where concern about the reasons for choice of the culture may be aired. Some of the controversy surrounding specific diseases/pathogens follows:

6.1 Motile aeromonas septicaemia

Aeromonas hydrophila (= *A. formicans* and *A. liquefaciens*) would appear to have worldwide distribution and to be a pathogen, causing motile aeromonas septicaemia, of many species of freshwater fish. Indeed, there are reports of a spread into marine fish, notably ulcer disease of cod [76]. Since its initial recognition in the literature, a wealth of knowledge has been accumulated about many facets of its biology [see 17]. A new variant *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakenis*, which was originally covered from children with diarrhoeae in Bangladesh, was determined to be pathogenic to rainbow trout [103]. However overall, there has been some doubt about the role of *A. hydrophila* as a pathogen, and in some cases it may well be present in fish tissue only as a secondary invader [17]. Moreover with developments in the taxonomy of motile aeromonads [28], the accuracy of some of the early published identifications may be justifiably questioned. Could other motile aeromonads be associated with fish disease and may have been confused previously with *A. hydrophila* [103]?

It is clear that there is phenotypic, serological and genotypic heterogeneity within the descriptions of fish pathogenic *A. hydrophila* [e.g. 6, 84], and other motile aeromonads have been implicated as the aetiological agents of (fish) diseases. Thus, 8 isolates reported as pathogenic to eel in Spain were identified by numerical taxonomy with *A. jandaei* [41, 42]. Certainly, the current approach of allocating species names as a result of the examination of 16S rRNA gene sequences has encompassed fish pathogenic motile aeromonads. For example, isolates from diseased fish which were recovered in *Aeromonas* DNA Hybridization Group 2 (= *A. hydrophila*) were equated with a new group, *A. bestiarum* [5]. Subsequently, *A. sobria* (*A. sobria* biovar *sobria* and *A. veronii* biovar *sobria* were reported as pathogenic to rainbow trout [103]. Indeed, *A. sobria* has been previously found to have a role as a fish pathogen, with

isolates recovered from wild spawning gizzard shad (*Dorosoma cepedianum*) in Maryland, USA during 1987 [148, 149]. Also, *A. veronii* has been recovered from Siberian sturgeon (*Acipenser baerii*) with identification of the pathogen resulting from phenotyping and 16S rRNA gene sequencing [82].

6.2 *Aeromonas salmonicida*

Aeromonas salmonicida is one of the oldest described fish pathogens, being isolated initially from diseased hatchery-maintained brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) in Germany, and named as 'Bacillus der Forellenseuche' or bacillus of trout contagious disease. The history of the organism reveals a plethora of synonyms including *Bacillus devorans*, *Bacterium salmonica*, *Bacterium salmonicida*, *Bacillus truttae* and *Bacillus salmonicida* [17]. The 7th edition of *Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology* (1957) placed the pathogen in the genus *Aeromonas* within the family Pseudomonadaceae [134]. Later, there was a transfer to the family Vibrionaceae and subsequently to its own family, i.e. the Aeromonadaceae [30]. Re-classification was based primarily on phenotyping [54]. Thus in 1953, the first detailed description of the pathogen was published, and from an examination of 10 isolates, it was concluded that *Bacterium salmonicida* was homogeneous in cultural and biochemical characteristics [54]. Numerous studies have addressed the homogeneity of the species [e.g. 12]. The basic description is of an organism, which comprises non-motile [motility and *flaA* and *flaB* flagellar genes have been reported; 92, 154], fermentative, Gram-negative rods, which produce a brown water-soluble pigment on tryptone-containing agar, do not grow at 37°C, and produce catalase and oxidase [17]. Cultures have the ability to dissociate into rough, smooth and G-phase (= intermediate)

colonies [38]. The pathogen has spread from its dominance in salmonids to cyprinids and marine flatfish [17]. An ongoing issue surrounds the intraspecies structure, i.e. the validity of subspecies *achromogenes*, *masoucida*, *pectinolytica*, *salmonicida* and *smithia*, and the status of so-called atypical isolates.

A. salmonicida subsp. *salmonicida*, isolates of which have been obtained almost exclusively from outbreaks of furunculosis in salmonids, is regarded as homogeneous, and is referred to as “typical” [104]; all other isolates are considered as heterogeneous and “atypical” [17]. So called atypical strains may demonstrate weak, slow or non-pigment production [73, 97], catalase [62] or oxidase-negativity [e.g. 62, 157], nutritional fastidiousness for blood products [10], slow growth, i.e. ≥ 5 days compared with 1-2 days for typical isolates [10, 62] and be pathogenic for fish other than salmonids, e.g. cyprinids [e.g. 10, 53, 62] and marine flatfish, namely dab (*Limanda limanda*), plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*), flounder (*Platichthys flesus*) and turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) [107, 158, 159], and cause ulceration rather than furunculosis [17, 52]. The deviation in characteristics from the typical to atypical isolates has made typing difficult [15, 58, 80]. Even 16S rDNA sequencing has not helped with the clustering of atypical forms [e.g. 161].

Smith [131] recognized heterogeneity in the species description of *A. salmonicida*. She examined six isolates of non-pigmented *A. salmonicida*, which were clustered as Group I in her numerical taxonomy study, for which a separate new species name was proposed, i.e. *A. achromogenes*, but the proposal was not adopted widely. A second non-pigmented group was described by Kimura [67], and named as *A. salmonicida* subsp. *masoucida*. Schubert [129] considered these non-pigmented

isolates as subspecies, and coined the names of *A. salmonicida* subsp. *achromogenes* and *A. salmonicida* subsp. *masoucida*, respectively. Pigmented strains (= typical) were classified as *A. salmonicida* subsp. *salmonicida* [129]. The precise relationship of the subspecies has been the subject of detailed discussion. In particular, it was contended that subsp. *achromogenes* and *masoucida* were more closely related to *A. hydrophila* than to *A. salmonicida* [112]. Later, it was mooted that subsp. *masoucida* bridged typical *A. salmonicida* and *A. hydrophila* [105]. Yet, *A. salmonicida* subsp. *masoucida* is non-motile, sensitive to *A. salmonicida* bacteriophages, possesses an antigenic profile specific to *A. salmonicida*, and shares a DNA homology of 103% with *A. salmonicida* [84]. By PCR, a combination of *achromogenes* with *masoucida* could be justified, but this was not substantiated by ribotyping and RAPD analyses [15]. Phenotypic data suggest a case for combining subsp. *masoucida* with *salmonicida*, and subsp. *achromogenes* with *Haemophilus piscium*, which is the causal agent of ulcer disease of trout [135]. Examination of the small subunit rRNA gene sequences revealed 99.9% homology of an authentic strain of *H. piscium* with *A. salmonicida* subsp. *salmonicida* [145]. So far, the comparative uniqueness of subsp. *smithia* has been indicated from several studies [e.g. 15]. The complication is with aberrant strains of *A. salmonicida* from fish species other than salmonids.

DNA homology was used to reveal that all isolates of *A. salmonicida* (including *A. salmonicida* subsp. *masoucida*) were highly related, i.e. 96-106% homology, when hybridized against *A. salmonicida* subsp. *salmonicida* [84]. It was opined that *A. salmonicida* subsp. *masoucida* and some atypical isolates did not warrant separate subspecies status, because they were regarded as variants of other well-recognized groups. Also as a result of genotypic analyses, it was reported that typical and atypical isolates of *A. salmonicida* were very closely related, with minimal

divergence [90]. Using DNA:DNA re-association, it was concluded that typical *A. salmonicida* were recovered in a homogeneous group, whereas the atypical representatives were more diverse [20]. From numerical taxonomy and DNA:DNA hybridization, similar conclusions regarding the homogeneity of typical isolates of *A. salmonicida* [12]. However using 16S rRNA sequencing techniques, it was reported that subspecies *achromogenes* and *masoucida* were indistinguishable, and only differed from subspecies *salmonicida* by two bases [87].

The relation of *A. salmonicida* to other aeromonads has been discussed. Eddy [39] focused on the inability of *A. salmonicida* to produce 2,3-butanediol from glucose, and the absence of motility, which were in contrast to the genus description [71]. A new genus, i.e. *Necromonas*, was proposed with two species, namely *N. salmonicida* for the typical isolates and *N. achromogenes* for the non-pigmented strains [131]. This proposal was not formally widely accepted, although Cowan [32] used the suggestion in his landmark identification scheme for medically important bacteria. Subsequent serological and bacteriophage sensitivity data supported the relationship between *A. salmonicida* and the motile aeromonads. Common antigens between *A. hydrophila* and *A. salmonicida* subsp. *masoucida* and other isolates of *A. salmonicida* were reported [68, 105]. Furthermore, serological cross-reactions between *A. salmonicida* and motile aeromonads were discussed [79]. Moreover, *A. hydrophila* cultures were found to be sensitive to *A. salmonicida* bacteriophages [113, 114]. The outcome of all the studies is that DNA homology supports the classification of *A. salmonicida* in the genus *Aeromonas* [e.g. 20, 84, 105].

There are certainly outstanding questions about the validity and taxonomic placing of *Haemophilus piscium* [135], but an authentic reference strain was not deposited any in any recognized culture collection at the time of its first isolation. Later, it was concluded that the organism was not a *bona fide* *Haemophilus* because of the lack of requirement for haemin or NAD [66]. In particular *H. piscium* differed from the type species of the genus, *H. influenzae*, in the inability to reduce nitrate or alkaline phosphatase and to grow at 37°C, in conjunction with a higher G+C ratio of the DNA. It was commented that there was only a low similarity between *H. piscium* and other *Haemophilus* spp. in a numerical taxonomic study [27]. A link with atypical, achromogenic *A. salmonicida* was made [105]. This link was reinforced by bacteriophage sensitivity, when it was concluded that *H. piscium* is an atypical *A. salmonicida* [150]. Other workers have supported this view [e.g. 15]. However with the absence of an authentic, original type strain, the definitive taxonomic position of *H. piscium* is only speculative.

A lack of congruence has been reported between the results of molecular (PCR, RAPD and ribotyping) and phenotypic methods in taxonomy of aeromonads [15]. Moreover, there are problems of inter-laboratory differences and lack of standardisation in test methods [33]. The outcome is that the definitive classification of *A. salmonicida* has not been achieved, to date.

6.3 Enteric redmouth (ERM)

There has been discussion about the taxonomic position of the aetiological agent of ERM. Strong agglutination with *Salmonella enterica* subsp *arizonae* O group 26, and a weak reaction with O group 29 was reported [119]. In addition, biochemical similarities with enterics, notably *Enterobacter liquefaciens*, *Serratia marcescens* subsp. *kiliensis* as well as *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *arizonae* were mentioned [119]. Serological cross-reactions were also recorded with *Hafnia alvei* [143]. Nevertheless, a new species, i.e. *Yersinia ruckeri* was described although there was only a 30-31% DNA homology with *Y. enterocolitica* and *Y. pseudotuberculosis* [43]. This compares to DNA homologies of 24-28% and 31% with *Serratia marcescens* and *Serratia liquefaciens*, respectively [140]. Indeed, it has been suggested that the causal agent of ERM should belong in a new genus of the Enterobacteriaceae [23]. A complication developed when a new non-motile form of the pathogen was recovered from rainbow trout. By 16S rRNA sequencing and a homology of 100%, the organisms were linked to *Y. ruckeri* but regarded as a new biogroup [16]. Similar non-motile variants were also recovered from previously vaccinated rainbow trout in Spain [45].

6.4 Vibriosis

The causal agent of 'red-pest' in eels was first designated as *Bacterium anguillarum* [17]. Subsequently, an outbreak among eels in Sweden led to the use of the name *Vibrio anguillarum*. Numerous studies have pointed to heterogeneity in *V. anguillarum* initially with the delineation of two sub-groupings/biotypes [e.g. 19]. This increased to three [130] and then four sub-groups/phena within the species definition [64, 106]. Ribotyping has confirmed the heterogeneity [102], although a single taxon, homogeneous by ribotyping but heterogeneous by LPS profiles, plasmid

composition, serogrouping, and BIOLOG-GN fingerprints and API 20E profiles was described [13, 14]. Biotype II became recognized as a separate species, i.e. *V. ordalii* [126], which is homogeneous by plasmid profiling, ribotyping and serogrouping, accommodates two LPS groups, but is heterogeneous by BIOLOG-GN fingerprints and API 20E profiles [14].

Serology has been widely used for diagnosis, but has complicated the understanding of *V. anguillarum* [25], and the establishment of serotypes has to some extent traversed species boundaries. With *V. anguillarum*, serogroup O2 and O5, there are common antigens with *V. ordalii* [96] and *V. harveyi* [13], respectively. Initially, three serotypes were recognised for isolates from salmonids from the northwest USA, Europe, and the Pacific-northwest (USA) [104]. This number increased to six [71], and then 10 [137] and upwards [55, 102]. Serogroup O1 dominates the number of isolates available for study and the relative importance to fish pathology [13, 108, 109]. Serogroup O2 has been further subdivided into serogroup O2a and O2b [146].

V. anguillarum was re-classified initially to *Beneckeia* [19] and then to a newly proposed genus *Listonella* [83], but the changes were not widely accepted.

7. The role of phylogenetics in bacterial fish pathology

The techniques described above are relevant for the taxonomy of bacterial fish pathogens. Yet, molecular methods, namely sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene, permit the study of evolutionary relationships, i.e. phylogenetics, which may be

viewed as phylogenetic trees, which are interpreted by cladistics and used in defining taxa. The approach is essential in the study of the evolutionary tree of life, but is it strictly necessary for fish pathology and the recognition of species? One concern is the comparative fluidity by which genes may be exchanged, such as by horizontal gene transfer, and the impact of this movement on the outcome of the taxonomic/phylogenetic process.

8. Conclusions

There has been a resurgence of interest in bacterial taxonomy partially because of the current focus on biodiversity and the development of reliable molecular methods, notably 16S rRNA sequencing. Undoubtedly, these molecular approaches have led to greater confidence and accuracy in the reporting of bacterial names. Nevertheless, it is conceded that bacterial taxonomy is a specialist subject, which is not of interest to all fish pathologists. However, it cannot be overstated that there is a real value for good taxonomy as a means of communication. In terms of fish pathology, taxonomy enables the recognition of new pathogens, improvements in the understanding of relationships between taxa, an appreciation of variation within existing nomenclatures including the recognition of new subspecies and biogroups, and facilitates accurate commentary about all aspects of biology from epizootiology to pathogenicity.

For the future, a range of new techniques, including *in situ* hybridization, probe hybridization, microarray techniques and restriction enzyme digestion, are entering taxonomic use, and are likely to be used in fish pathology. The impact of these new approaches is difficult to predict, but will undoubtedly be incorporated in some fish bacteriology laboratories.

684

685 9. References

686 [1] Adams, A., Chapter 7. Advances in disease diagnosis, vaccine development
687 and other emerging methods to control pathogens, in: Burnell, G., Allan, G.
688 (Eds), New Technologies in Aquaculture, Improving Production, Efficiency,
689 Quality and Environmental Management, Woodhead, England, 2009) pp. 197-
690 211.

691 [2] Adams A., Thompson K.D., Morris D., Farias C., Chen, S.C., Development and
692 use of monoclonal antibody probes for immunohistochemistry, ELISA and IFAT to
693 detect bacterial and parasitic fish pathogens. Fish Shellfish Immunol. (1995) 5: 537-
694 547.

695 [3] Adams, A., Thompson, K.D., Recent applications of biotechnology to novel
696 diagnostics for aquatic animals. Rev. Sci. Tech., Off. Int. Epizoot. (2008) 27: 197-
697 209.

698 [4] Adkinson, M.A., Gilad, O., Hedricks, R.P. An enzyme linked immunosorbent
699 assay (ELISA) for detection of antibodies to the Koi herpes virus (KHV) in the
700 serum of Koi, *Cyprinus carpio*. Fish Pathol. (2005) 40: 53-62.

701 [5] Ali A., Carnahan A.M., Altwegg M., Lüthy-Hotenstein J., Joseph, S.W.,
702 *Aeromonas bestiarum* sp. nov. (formerly genomospecies DNA group 2 *A. hydrophila*)
703 a new species isolated from non-human sources. Med. Microbiol. Lett. (1996) 5: 156-
704 165.

705 [6] Allen D.A., Austin B., Colwell, R.R., *Aeromonas media*, a new species isolated
706 from river water. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. (1983) 33: 599-604.

- 707 [7] Aoki T., Park C.-I., Yamashita H., Hirono, I., Species-specific polymerase chain
708 reaction primers for *Lactococcus garvieae*. J. Fish Dis (2000) 23: 1-6.
- 709 [8] Arakawa C.K., Fryer, J.L., Isolation and characterization of a new subspecies of
710 *Mycobacterium chelonae* infections for salmonid fish. Helgoländer Meeresuntersuch.
711 (1984) 37: 329-342.
- 712 [9] Arkush K.D., McBride A.M. Mendonca H.L., Okihiro M.S., Andree K.B.,
713 Marshall S., Henriquez V., Hedrick, R.P., Genetic characterization and experimental
714 pathogenesis of *Piscirickettsia salmonis* isolated from white seabass *Atractascion*
715 *nobilis*. Dis. Aquat. Org. (2005) 63: 139-149.
- 716 [10] Austin B., Recovery of 'atypical' isolates of *Aeromonas salmonicida*, which
717 grow at 37°C, from ulcerated non-salmonids in England. J. Fish Dis. (1993) 16: 165-
718 168.
- 719 [11] Austin B., Embley T.M., Goodfellow, M., Selective isolation of *Renibacterium*
720 *salmoninarum*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. (1983) 17: 111-114.
- 721 [12] Austin D.A., McIntosh D., Austin, B., Taxonomy of fish associated *Aeromonas*
722 spp., with the description of *Aeromonas salmonicida* subsp. *smithia* subsp. nov. Syst.
723 Appl. Microbiol. (1989) 11: 277-290.
- 724 [13] Austin B., Alsina M., Austin D.A., Blanch A.R., Grimont F., Grimont P.A.D.,
725 Jofre J., Koblavi S., Larsen J.L., Pedersen K., Tiainen T., Verdonck L., Swings, J.,
726 Identification and typing of *Vibrio anguillarum* a comparison of different methods.
727 Syst. Appl. Microbiol. (1995) 18: 285-302.
- 728 [14] Austin B., Austin D.A., Blanch A.R., Cerdà M., Grimont F., Grimont P.A.D.,
729 Jofre J., Koblavi S., Larsen J.L., Pedersen K., Tiainen T., Verdonck L., Swings, J., A

730 comparison of methods for the typing of fish-pathogenic *Vibrio* spp. Syst. Appl.
731 Microbiol. (1997) 20: 89-101.

732 [15] Austin B., Austin D.A., Dalsgaard I., Gudmundsdóttir B.K., Høie S., Thornton
733 J.M., Larsen J.L., O'Hici B., Powell, R., Characterization of atypical *Aeromonas*
734 *salmonicida* by different methods. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. (1998) 21: 50-64.

735 [16] Austin D.A., Robertson P.A.W., Austin, B., Recovery of a new biogroup of
736 *Yersinia ruckeri* from diseased rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum). Syst.
737 Appl. Microbiol. (2005) 26: 127-131.

738 [17] Austin B., Austin, D.A., Bacterial Fish Pathogens: Disease in Farmed and Wild
739 Fish, 4th edn. Springer-Praxis, Goldalming, 2007.

740 [18] Backman S., Ferguson H.W., Prescott J.F., Wilcock, B.P., Progressive
741 panophthalmitis in chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* (Walbaum): a case
742 report. J. Fish Dis. (1990) 13: 345-353.

743 [19] Baumann P., Bang S.S., Baumann, L., Phenotypic characterization of *Beneckea*
744 *anguillara* biotypes I and II. Curr. Microbiol. (1978) 1: 85-88.

745 [20] Belland R.J., Trust, T.J., DNA:DNA reassociation analysis of *Aeromonas*
746 *salmonicida*. J. Gen. Microbiol. (1988) 134: 307-315.

747 [21] Benediksdóttir E., Helgason S., Sigurjónsdóttir H., *Vibrio* spp. isolated from
748 salmonids with shallow skin lesions and reared at low temperature. J. Fish Dis.
749 (1988) 21: 19-28.

750 [22] Benediksdóttir E., Verdonck L., Spröer C., Helgason S., Swings, J.,
751 Characterization of *Vibrio viscosus* and *Vibrio wodanis* isolated from different

752 geographical locations: a proposal for re-classification of *Vibrio viscosus* as *Moritella*
753 *viscose* comb. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. (2000) 50: 479-488.

754 [23] Bercovier H, Mollaret, H.H., Genus XIV. *Yersinia* van Loghem 1944, 15^{AL}, in:
755 Krieg N.R., Holt, J.G. (Eds), Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Vol. I.,
756 Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, 1984, pp. 489-506.

757 [24] Birkbeck T.H., Laidler L.A., Grant A.N., Cox, D.I., *Pasteurella skyensis* sp nov.,
758 isolated from Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. (2002)
759 52: 699-704.

760 [25] Bolinches J., Lemos M.L., Fouz B., Cambra M., Larsen J.L., Toranzo A.E.,
761 Serological relationships among *Vibrio anguillarum* strains. J. Aquat. Anim. Hlth.
762 (1990) 2: 21-29.

763 [26] Brennan, P. J., *Mycobacterium* and other actinomycetes, in: Microbial Lipids,
764 vol. 1, Ratledge, C., Wilkinson, S.G., Academic Press, London, 1988, pp. 203–298.

765 [27] Broom A.K., Sneath, P.H.A., Numerical taxonomy of *Haemophilus*. J. Gen.
766 Microbiol. (1981) 126: 123-149.

767 [28] Carnahan A.M., Altwegg, M., 1. Taxonomy, in: The Genus *Aeromonas*, Austin
768 B., Altwegg M., Gosling P.J., Joseph, S., Wiley, Chichester, 1996, pp. 1-38.

769 [29] Chen S.-C., Liaw L.-L., Su H.-Y., Ko S.-C., Wu C.-Y., Chaung H.-C., Tsai Y.-
770 H., Yang K.-L., Chen Y.-C., Chen T.-H., Lin G.-R., Cheng S.-Y., Lin Y.-D., Lee J.-
771 L., Lai C.-C., Weng Y.-J., Chu S.-Y., *Lactococcus garvieae*, a cause of disease in
772 grey mullet, *Mugil cephalus* L., in Taiwan. J. Fish Dis. (2002) 25: 727-732.

773 [30] Colwell R.R., MacDonell M.T., De Ley J., Proposal to recognize the family
774 Aeromonadaceae fam. nov. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. (1986) 36: 473-477.

775 [31] Corbeil S., Hyatt A.D., Crane M. St.J., Characterisation of an emerging
 776 rickettsia-like organism in Tasmanian farmed Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*. Dis.
 777 Aquat. Org. (2005) 64: 37-44.

778 [32] Cowan, S.T., Cowan and Steel's Manual for the Identification of Medical
 779 Bacteria, 2nd edn, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.

780 [33] Dalsgaard I., Gudmundsdóttir B.K., Helgason S., Høie S., Thoresen O.F.,
 781 Wichardt U.-P., Wiklund T., Identification of atypical *Aeromonas salmonicida*: inter-
 782 laboratory evaluation and harmonization of methods. J. Appl. Microbiol. (1998) 84:
 783 999-1006.

784 [34] De Ley J., Park, I. W., Tijtgaat R., van Ermengem J., DNA homology and
 785 taxonomy of *Pseudomonas* and *Xanthomonas*. J. Gen. Microbiol. (1966) 42: 43–56.

786 [35] Del-Pozo J., Crumlish M., Ferguson H.W., Turnbull J.F., Retrospective cross-
 787 sectional study on ‘*Candidatus arthromitus*’ associated rainbow trout gastroenteritis
 788 (RTGE) in the UK. Aquaculture (2009) 290: 22-27.

789 [36] Del-Pozo J. Turnbull J., Ferguson H., Crumlish M., A comparative molecular
 790 study of the presence of “*Candidatus arthromitus*” in the digestive system of rainbow
 791 trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum), healthy and affected with rainbow trout
 792 gastroenteritis. J. Fish Dis. (2010) 33: 241-250.

793 [37] Doménech A., Fernández-Garayzábal J.F., Pascual C., Garcia J.A., Cutuli M.T.,
 794 Moreno M.A., Collins M.D., Dominguez, L., Streptococcosis in cultured turbot,
 795 *Scophthalmus maximus* (L.), associated with *Streptococcus parauberis*. J. Fish Dis.
 796 (1996) 19: 33-38.

797 [38] Duff D.C.B., Dissociation in *Bacillus salmonicida*, with special reference to the
798 appearance of the G form of culture. J. Bacteriol. (1937) 34: 49-67.

799 [39] Eddy B.P., Cephalotrichous, fermentative Gram-negative bacteria: the genus
800 *Aeromonas*. J. Appl. Bacteriol. (1960) 23: 216-248.

801 [40] Embley T.M., Goodfellow M., Minnikin D.E., Austin B., Fatty acid, isoprenoid
802 quinone and polar lipid composition in the classification of *Renibacterium*
803 *salmoninarum*. J. Appl. Bacteriol. (1983) 55: 31-37.

804 [41] Esteve C., Numerical taxonomy of *Aeromonadaceae* and *Vibrionaceae*
805 associated with reared fish and surrounding fresh and brackish water. System. Appl.
806 Microbiol. (1995) 18: 391-402.

807 [42] Esteve C., Biosca E.G, Amaro C., Virulence of *Aeromonas hydrophila* and some
808 other bacteria isolated from European eels *Anguilla anguilla* reared in fresh water.
809 Dis. Aquat. Org. (1993) 16: 15-20.

810 [43] Ewing W.H., Ross A.J., Brenner D.J., Fanning G.R., *Yersinia ruckeri* sp. nov.,
811 the redmouth (RM) bacterium. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. (1978) 28: 37-44.

812 [44] Fiedler F., Draxl, R., Biochemical and immunochemical properties of the cell
813 surface of *Renibacterium salmoninarum*. J. Bacteriol. (1986) 168: 799-804.

814 [45] Fouz B., Zarza C., Amaro, C., First description of non-motile *Yersinia ruckeri*
815 serovar I strains causing disease in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum),
816 cultured in Spain. J. Fish Dis. (2006) 29: 339-346.

817 [46] Fox G.E., Wisotzkey J.D., Jurtshuk, P. Jr., How close is close: 16S rRNA
818 sequence identity may not be sufficient to guarantee species identity, Int. J. Syst.

819 Bacteriol. (1992) 42: 166–170.

820 [47] Fox G. E., Pechman K. R., Woese, C. R., Comparative cataloging of 16S
821 ribosomal ribonucleic acid: molecular approach to procaryotic systematics. Int. J.
822 Syst. Bacteriol. (1977) 27: 44–57.

823 [48] Fryer J.L., Lannan C.N., Giovannoni S.J., Wood, N.D., *Piscirickettsia salmonis*
824 gen. nov., the causative agent of an epizootic disease in salmonid fishes. Int. J. Syst.
825 Bacteriol. (1992) 42: 120-126.

826 [49] Gauger E., Gómez-Chiarri, M., 16S ribosomal DNA sequencing confirms the
827 synonymy of *Vibrio harveyi* and *V. carchariae*. Dis. Aquat. Org. (2002) 52: 39-46.

828 [50] Gauthier G., Lafay B., Ruimy R., Breittmayer V., Nicolas J.L., Gauthier M.,
829 Christen, R., Small-subunit rRNA sequences and whole DNA relatedness concur for
830 the re-assignment of *Pasteurella piscicida* (Snieszko *et al.*) Janssen and Surgalla to
831 the genus *Photobacterium* as *Photobacterium damsela* subsp. *piscicida* comb. nov.
832 Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. (1995) 45: 139-144.

833 [51] Goerlich R., Schlüsener H.J., Lehmann J., Greuel, E., The application of
834 monoclonal antibodies to diagnosis of *Aeromonas salmonicida* infections in fishes.
835 Bull. Europ. Assoc. Fish Pathol. (1984) 4: 66.

836 [52] Goldschmidt-Clermont E., Hochwartner O., Demarta A., Caminada A.P., Frey,
837 J., Outbreaks of an ulcerative and haemorrhagic disease in Arctic char *Salvelinus*
838 *alpinus* caused by *Aeromonas salmonicida* subsp *smithia*. Dis. Aquat. Org. (2009) 86:
839 81-86.

840 [53] Goodwin A.E., Merry G.E., Are all Koi ulcer cases associated with infection by
 841 atypical *Aeromonas salmonicida*? Polymerase chain reaction assays of Koi carp skin
 842 swabs submitted by hobbyists. J. Aquat. Anim. Hlth (2009) 21: 98-103.

843 [54] Griffin P.J., Snieszko S.F., Friddle, S.B., A more comprehensive description of
 844 *Bacterium salmonicida*. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. (1953) 82: 129-138.

845 [55] Grisez L., Ollevier F., Comparative serology of the marine fish pathogen *Vibrio*
 846 *anguillarum*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. (1995) 61: 4367-4373.

847 [56] Gutenberger S.K., Giovannoni S.J., Field K.G., Fryer J.L., Rohovec, J.SA
 848 phylogenetic comparison of the 16S rRNA sequence of the fish pathogen,
 849 *Renibacterium salmoninarum*, to Gram-positive bacteria. FEMS Microbiol. Lett.
 850 (1991) 77: 151-156.

851 [57] Hiney M.P., Smith, P.R., Validation of polymerase chain reaction-based
 852 techniques for proxy detection of bacterial fish pathogens: framework, problems and
 853 possible solutions for environmental applications. Aquaculture (1998) 162: 41-68.

854 [58] Høie S., Dalsgaard I., Aase I.L., Heum M., Thornton J.M., Powell, R.,
 855 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based typing analysis of atypical isolates of the fish
 856 pathogen *Aeromonas salmonicida*. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. (1999) 22: 403-411.

857 [59] Huys G., Kämpfer P., Swings, J., New DNA-DNA hybridization and phenotypic
 858 data on the species *Aeromonas ichthiosmia* and *Aeromonas allosaccharophila*: *A.*
 859 *ichthiosmia* is a later synonym of *A. veronii* Hickman-Brenner *et al.* 1987. Syst. Appl.
 860 Microbiol. (2001) 24: 177-182.

861 [60] Isik K., Chun J., Hah Y.C., Goodfellow, M., *Nocardia salmonicida* nom. rev., a
 862 fish pathogen. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. (1999) 49: 833-837.

- 863 [61] Jung, T.S., Thompson, K.D., Adams, A, Morris, D.J., Snedden, K., The
864 production of monoclonal antibodies against *Photobacterium damsela* subsp.
865 *piscicida* and their application in immunohistochemistry. J. Fish Dis. (2001) 24:
866 64-67.
- 867 [62] Kaku Y., Yamada Y., Wakabayashi, H., Characterization of atypical *Aeromonas*
868 *salmonicida* isolated from an epizootic ulcerative disease in carp (*Cyprinus carpio*).
869 Fish Pathol. (1999) 34: 155-162.
- 870 [63] Kämpfer P., The characterization of prokaryote strains for taxonomic purposes.
871 Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. (2010) 60: 7.
- 872 [64] Kaper J.B., Lockman H., Remmers E.F., Kristensen K., Colwell, R.R.,
873 Numerical taxonomy of vibrios isolated from estuarine environments. Int J. Syst.
874 Bacteriol. (1983) 33: 229-255.
- 875 [65] Karlsen M., Nylund A., Watanabe K., Helvik J.V., Nylund S., Plarre, H.,
876 Characterization of '*Candidatus Clavochlamydia salmonicola*': an intracellular
877 bacterium infecting salmonid fish. Environ. Microbiol. (2008) 10: 208-218.
- 878 [66] Kilian M., A taxonomic study of the genus *Haemophilus*, with the proposal of a
879 new species. J. Gen. Microbiol. (1976) 93: 9-62.
- 880 [67] Kimura T., A new subspecies of *Aeromonas salmonicida* as an etiological agent
881 of furunculosis on 'Sakuramasu' (*Oncorhynchus masou*) and pink salmon (*O.*
882 *gorbuscha*) rearing for maturity. Part 1. On the serological properties. Fish Pathol.
883 (1969) 3: 34-44.
- 884 [68] Kimura T., A new subspecies of *Aeromonas salmonicida* as an etiological agent
885 of furunculosis on 'Sakuramasu' (*Oncorhynchus masou*) and pink salmon (*O.*

gorbuscha) rearing for maturity. Part 2. On the morphological and physiological properties. Fish Pathol. (1969) 3: 45-52.

[69] Kitao, T., Aoki, T., Fukodome, M., Kawano, K., Wada, Y., Mizuno, Y. , (1983) Serotyping of *Vibrio anguillarum* isolated from diseased freshwater fish in Japan. *Journal of Fish Diseases* 6, 175-181.

[70] Klesius, P., Evans, J., Shoemaker, C., Yeh, H., Goodwin, A.E., Adams, A., Thompson, K.D., Rapid detection and identification of *Streptococcus iniae* using a monoclonal antibody-based indirect fluorescent antibody technique. *Aquaculture* (2005) 258: 180-186.

[71] Kluyver A.J., Van Niel, C.B., Prospects for a natural system of classification of bacteria. *Z. Bakteriol. Parasiten., Infekt. Hyg., Orig., 2 Abt.* (1936) 94: 369-403.

[72] Knibb W., Colorni A., Ankaoua M., Lindell D., Diamant A., Gordin, H., Detection and identification of a pathogenic marine mycobacterium from the European seabass *Dicentrarchus labrax* using polymerase chain reaction and direct sequencing of 16S rRNA sequences. *Mol. Mar. Biol. Biotechnol.* (1993) 2: 225-232.

[73] Koppang E.O., Fjølstad M., Melgård B., Vigerust M., Sørum, H., non-pigment-producing isolates of *Aeromonas salmonicida* subspecies *salmonicida*: isolation, identification, transmission and pathogenicity in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L. *J. Fish Dis.* (2000) 23: 39-48.

[74] Kvitt H, Colorni A., Strain variation and geographic endemism in *Streptococcus iniae*. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* (2004) 61: 67-73.

[75] Lane D. J., Field K. G., Olsen G. J., Pace N. R., Reverse transcriptase sequencing of ribosomal RNA for phylogenetic analysis. *Meth. Enzymol.* (1988) 167: 138–144.

909 [76] Larsen J.L., Jensen N.J., An *Aeromonas* species implicated in ulcer-disease of the
910 cod (*Gadus morhua*). Nord. Veterinaarmed. (1977) 29: 199-211.

911 [77] León G., Maulén N., Figueroa J., Villanueva J., Rodríguez C., Vera M.I.,
912 Krauskopf M., A PCR based assay for the identification of the fish pathogen
913 *Renibacterium salmoninarum*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. (1994) 115: 131-136.

914 [78] León G., Martinez M.A., Etchegaray J.P., Vera M.I., Figueroa J., Krauskopf, M.,
915 Specific DNA probes for the identification of the fish pathogen, *Renibacterium*
916 *salmoninarum*. Wld. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. (1994) 10: 149-153.

917 [79] Liu P.V., Observations on the specificities of extra-cellular antigens of the genera
918 *Aeromonas* and *Serratia*. J. Gen. Microbiol. (1961) 24: 145-153.

919 [80] Lund V., Jenssen L.M., Wesmajervi M.S., Assessment of genetic variability and
920 relatedness among atypical *Aeromonas salmonicida* from marine fishes, using AFLP
921 fingerprinting. Dis. Aquat. Org. (2002) 50: 119-126.

922 [81] Lunder T., Sorum H., Holstad G., Steigerwalt A.G., Mowinckel P., Brenner D.J.,
923 Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of *Vibrio viscosus* sp nov and *Vibrio*
924 *wodanis* sp nov isolated from Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) with 'winter ulcer'. Int. J.
925 Syst. Evol. Microbiol. (2000) 50: 427-450.

926 [82] Ma Z., Yang H., Li T., Luo L., Gao J.L., Isolation and identification of
927 pathogenic *Aeromonas veronii* isolated from infected Siberian sturgeon (*Acipenser*
928 *baerii*). Weishengwu Xuebao (2009) 49: 1289-1294.

929 [83] MacDonell M.T., Colwell, R.R., Phylogeny of the Vibrionaceae and
930 recommendations for two new genera, *Listonella* and *Shewanella*. Syst. Appl.
931 Microbiol. (1985) 6: 171-182.

- 932 [84] MacInnes J.I., Trust T.J., Crosa J.H., Deoxyribonucleic acid relationships among
933 members of the genus *Aeromonas*. Can. J. Microbiol. (1979) 25: 579-586.
- 934 [85] Magnússen H.B., Fridjónsson Ó.H., Andrésson Ó.S., Benediktsdóttir E.,
935 Guðmundsdóttir S., Andréddóttir, V., *Renibacterium salmoninarum*, the causal agent
936 of bacterial kidney disease in salmonid fish, detected by nested reverse transcription-
937 PCR of 16S rRNA sequences. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. (1994) 60: 4580-4583.
- 938 [86] Maher M., Palmer R., Gannon F., Smith, T., Relationship of a novel bacterial
939 fish pathogen to *Streptobacillus moniliformis* and the fusobacteria group, based on
940 16S ribosomal RNA analysis. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. (1995) 18: 79-84.
- 941 [87] Martinez-Murcia A.J., Esteve C., Garay E., Collins, M.D., *Aeromonas*
942 *allosaccharophila* sp. nov., a new mesophilic member of the genus *Aeromonas*.
943 FEMS Microbiol. Lett. (1992) 91: 199-206.
- 944 [88] Mata A.I., Gibello A., Casamayor A, Blanco M.M., Domínguez L., Fernández-
945 Garayzábal J.F., Multiplex PCR assay for the detection of bacterial pathogens
946 associated with warm-water streptococcosis in fish. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. (2004)
947 70: 3183-3187.
- 948 [89] Mayer H., Masoud H., Urbanik-Sypniewska T., Weckesser J., Lipid A
949 composition and phylogeny of Gram-negative bacteria. Bull. Jap. Fed. Cult. Collect.
950 (1989) 5: 19-25.
- 951 [90] McCarthy D.H., Some ecological aspects of the bacterial fish pathogen -
952 *Aeromonas salmonicida*, in: Aquatic Microbiology, Symposium of the Society of
953 Applied Bacteriology No. 6, 1980, pp. 299-324.

954 [91] McCormick J.I., Hughes M.S., McLoughlin M.F., Identification of
 955 *Mycobacterium chelonae* in a chichlid oscar *Astronotus ocellatus* Cuvier, by direct
 956 cycle sequencing of polymerase chain reaction amplified 16S rRNA gene sequences.
 957 J. Fish Dis. (1995) 18: 459-461.

958 [92] McIntosh D., Austin B., Atypical characteristics of the salmonid pathogen
 959 *Aeromonas salmonicida*. J. Gen. Microbiol. (1991) 137: 1341-1343.

960 [93] Michel C., Bernardet J.F., Daniel P., Chilmonczyk S., Urdaci M., de Kinkelin P.,
 961 Clinical and aetiological aspects of a summer enteritic syndrome associated with the
 962 sporulating segmented filamentous bacterium '*Candidatus Arthromitus*' in farmed
 963 rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum). J. Fish Dis. (2002) 25: 533-543.

964 [94] Mikalsen J., Olsen A.B., Tengs T., Colquhoun, D.J., *Francisella philomiragia*
 965 subsp *noatunensis* subsp nov., isolated from farmed Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua* L.).
 966 Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. (2007) 57: 1960-1965.

967 [95] Miyoshi Y., Suzuki, S., PCR method to detect *Nocardia seriolae* in fish samples.
 968 Fish Pathol. (2003) 38: 93-97.

969 [96] Muthiara L.W., Raymond B.T., Dekievit T.R., Stevenson, R.H.W., Antibody
 970 specificities of polyclonal rabbit and rainbow trout antisera against *Vibrio ordalii* and
 971 serotype O:2 strains of *Vibrio anguillarum*. Can. J. Microbiol. (1993) 39: 492-499.

972 [97] Nakatsugawa T., Atypical *Aeromonas salmonicida* isolated from cultured shotted
 973 halibut. Fish Pathol. (1994) 29: 193-198.

974 [98] Nishimori E., Kita-Tsukamoto K., Wakabayashi, H., *Pseudomonas*
 975 *plecoglossicida* sp nov., the causative agent of bacterial haemorrhagic ascites of ayu,
 976 *Plecoglossus altivelis*. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. (2000) 50: 83-89.

977 [99] Nomoto R., Munasinghe L.I., Shimahara Y., Yasuda H., Nakamura A., Misawa
 978 N., Itami T., Yoshida T., Lancefield group C *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* infection
 979 responsible for fish mortalities in Japan. J. Fish Dis. (2004) 27: 679-686.

980 [100] Nylund A., Ottem K.F., Watanabe K., Karlsbakk E., Krossoy B., *Francisella* sp
 981 (Family Francisellaceae) causing mortality in Norwegian cod (*Gadus morhua*)
 982 farming. Arch. Microbiol. (2006) 185: 383-392.

983 [101] O'Hici B., Olivier G., Powell, R., Genetic diversity of the fish pathogen
 984 *Aeromonas salmonicida* demonstrated by random amplified polymorphic DNA and
 985 pulsed-field gel electrophoresis analyses. Dis.Aquat. Org. (2000) 39: 109-119.

986 [102] Olsen J.E., Larsen J.L., Ribotypes and plasmid content of *Vibrio anguillarum*
 987 strains in relation to serovar. Appl. Envir. Microbiol. (1993) 59: 3863-3870.

988 [103] Orozova P., Barker M., Austin D.A., Austin B., Identification and pathogenicity
 989 to rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum), of some aeromonads. J. Fish Dis.
 990 (2009) 32: 865-871.

991 [104] Pacha R.E., Kiehn E.D., Characterization and relatedness of marine vibrios
 992 pathogenic to fish: physiology, serology and epidemiology. J. Bacteriol. (1969) 100:
 993 1242-1247.

994 [105] Paterson W.D., Douey D., Desautels D., Relationships between selected strains
 995 of typical and atypical *Aeromonas salmonicida*, *Aeromonas hydrophila*, and
 996 *Haemophilus piscium*. Can. J. Microbiol. (1980) 26: 588-598.

997 [106] Pazos F., Santos Y., Magariños B., Bandín I., Núñez S., Toranzo, A.E.,
 998 Phenotypic characteristics and virulence of *Vibrio anguillarum* - related organisms.
 999 Appl. Environ. Microbiol. (1993) 59: 2969-2976.

1000 [107] Pedersen K., Kofod H., Dalsgaard I., Larsen J.L., Isolation of oxidase-negative
1001 *Aeromonas salmonicida* from disease turbot *Scophthalmus maximus*. Dis. Aquat. Org.
1002 (1994) 18: 149-154.

1003 [108] Pedersen K., Dalsgaard I., Larsen, J.L., Characterization of atypical *Aeromonas*
1004 *salmonicida* isolates by ribotyping and plasmid profiling. J. Appl. Bacteriol. (1996)
1005 80: 37-44.

1006 [109] Pedersen K., Tiainen T., Larsen, J.L., Plasmid profiles, restriction length
1007 polymorphisms and O-serotypes among *Vibrio anguillarum* isolates. Epidemiol.
1008 Infect. (1996) 117: 471-478.

1009 [110] Pedersen K., Verdonck L., Austin B., Austin D.A., Blanch A.R., Grimont
1010 P.A.D., Jofre J., Koblavi S., Larsen J.L., Tiainen T., Vigneulle M., Swings, J.,
1011 Taxonomic evidence that *Vibrio carchariae* Grimes *et al.* 1985 is a junior synonym of
1012 *Vibrio harveyi* (Johnson and Shunk 1936) Baumann *et al.* 1981. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.
1013 (1998) 48: 749-758.

1014 [111] Pineiro-Vidal M. Carballas C., Gomez-Barreiro O., Riaza A., Santos Y.,
1015 *Tenacibaculum soleae* sp nov., isolated from diseased sole (*Soleae senegalensis*
1016 Kaup). Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. (2008) 58: 881-885.

1017 [112] Popoff M., Bacterioses, generalities - *Aeromonas* et Aeromonose.
1018 Mimeograph, Institut national de la Recherche Agronomique, 1970, pp. 1-38.

1019 [113] Popoff M., Étude sur les *Aeromonas salmonicida*. II. Caracterisation des
1020 bactériophages actifs sur les '*Aeromonas salmonicida*' et lysoypie. Ann. Recherch.
1021 Vét. (1971) 2: 33-45.

- 1022 [114] Popoff M., Interest diagnostique d'un bactériophage spécifique des *Aeromonas*
 1023 *salmonicida*. Ann. Recherch. Vét. (1971) 2: 137-139.
- 1024 **[115] Pourahmad, F., Cervellione, F., Thompson, K.D., Taggart, J.B., Adams, A.,**
 1025 **Richards, R.H., *Mycobacterium stomatepieae* sp. nov., a slowly growing non-**
 1026 **chromogenic species isolated from fish. Int. J. System. Evol. Microbiol. (2008)**
 1027 **58: 2821-2827.**
- 1028 [116] Ransangan J., Mustafa S., Identification of *Vibrio harveyi* isolated from
 1029 diseased Asian sea bass *Lates calcarifer* by use of 16S ribosomal DNA sequencing. J.
 1030 Aquat. Anim. Hlth. (2009) 21: 150-155.
- 1031 [117] Rhodes M.W., Kator H., Kotob S., van Berkum P., Kaattari I., Vogelbein W.,
 1032 Quinn F., Floyd M.M., Butler W.R., Ottinger C.A., *Mycobacterium shottsii* sp nov., a
 1033 slowly growing species isolated from Chesapeake Bay striped bass (*Morone*
 1034 *saxatilis*). Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. (2003) 53: 421-424.
- 1035 [118] Romalde J.L., Ravelo C., Valdes I., Magariños B., de la Fuente E., Martin C.S.,
 1036 Avendano-Herrera R., Toranzo A.E., *Streptococcus phocae*, an emerging pathogen
 1037 for salmonid culture. Vet. Microbiol. (2008) 130: 198-207.
- 1038 [119] Ross A.J., Rucker R.R., Ewing W.H., Description of a bacterium associated
 1039 with redmouth disease of rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). Can. J. Microbiol. (1966)
 1040 12: 763-70.
- 1041 [120] Saeed M.O., Plumb J.A., Serological detection of *Edwardsiella ictaluri* Hawke
 1042 lipopolysaccharide antibody in serum of channel catfish *Ictalurus punctatus*
 1043 Rafinesque. J. Fish Dis. (1987) 10: 205-209.
- 1044 [121] Saiki R. K., Gelfand D. H., Stoffel S., Scharf S. J., Higuchi R., Horn G. T.,

1045 Mullis K. B., Erlich H. A., Primer-directed enzymatic amplification of DNA with a
 1046 thermostable DNA polymerase. *Science* (1988) 239: 487–491.

1047 [122] Sakai M., Konno T., Tassakka A.C.M.A.R., Ponpornpisit A., Areechon N.,
 1048 Katagiri T., Yoshida T., Endo M., Characterization of a *Mycobacterium* sp. isolated
 1049 from guppy *Poecilia reticulata*, using 16S ribosomal RNA and its internal transcribed
 1050 spacer sequences. *Bull. Eur. Assoc. Fish Pathol.* (2005) 25: 64-69.

1051 [123] Sanders J.E., Fryer J.L., *Renibacterium salmoninarum* gen. nov., sp. nov., the
 1052 causative agent of bacterial kidney disease in salmonid fishes. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.*
 1053 (1980) 30: 496-502.

1054 [124] Sanger F., Nicklen S., Coulson A. R., DNA sequencing with chain-terminating
 1055 inhibitors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* (1977) 74: 5463–5467.

1056 [125] Scheifer K.H., Classification of bacteria and Archaea: past, present and future.
 1057 *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* (2009) 32: 533-542.

1058 [126] Schiewe M.H., Taxonomic status of marine vibrios pathogenic for salmonid
 1059 fish. *Dev. Biol. Stand.* (1981) 49: 149-158.

1060 [127] Schiewe M.H., Trust T.J., Crosa J.H., *Vibrio ordalii* sp. nov.: a causative agent
 1061 of vibriosis in fish. *Curr. Microbiol.* (1981) 6: 343-348.

1062 [128] Schmidtke L.M., Carson J., Characteristics of *Vagococcus salmoninarum*
 1063 isolated from diseased salmonid fish. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* (1994) 77: 229-236.

1064 [129] Schubert R.H.W., Genus II. *Aeromonas* Kluver and van Niel 1936, 398, in:
 1065 Buchanan R.E., Gibbons N.E., (eds.), *Bergey's Manual of Determinative*
 1066 *Bacteriology*, 8th edn., Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, 1974, pp.345-348.

1067 [130] Smith I.W., A disease of finnock due to *Vibrio anguillarum*. J. Gen. Microbiol.
1068 (1961) 74: 247-252.

1069 [131] Smith I.W., The classification of *Bacterium salmonicida*. J. Gen. Microbiol.
1070 (1963) 33: 263-274.

1071 [132] Sneath P.H.A., The application of computers to taxonomy. J. Gen. Microbiol.
1072 (1957) 17: 201-226.

1073 [133] Sneath P.H.A., Johnson R., The influence of numerical taxonomic similarities
1074 of errors in microbiological tests. J. Gen. Microbiol. (1972) 72: 377-392

1075 [134] Snieszko S.F., Genus IV. *Aeromonas* Kluyver and van Niel 1936, in: Breed
1076 R.S., Murray E.G.D., Smith, N.R. (eds.), Bergey's Manual of Determinative
1077 Bacteriology, 7th edn. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, 1957, pp.189-193.

1078 [135] Snieszko S.F., Griffin P., Friddle S.B., A new bacterium (*Haemophilus piscium*
1079 n. sp.) from ulcer disease of trout. J. Bacteriol. (1950) 59: 699-710.

1080 [136] Sokal, R.R., Michener C.D., Numerical Taxonomy. W.H. Freeman, London,
1081 1958.

1082 [137] Sørensen U.B.S, Larsen J.L., Serotyping of *Vibrio anguillarum*. Appl. Environ.
1083 Microbiol. (1986) 51: 593-597.

1084 [138] Soria-Carrasco V., Talavera G., Igea J., Castresana J., The K tree score:
1085 quantification of differences in the relative branch length and topology of
1086 phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics (2007) 23: 2954-2956.

1087 [139] Stackebrandt E., Wehmeyer U., Nader H., Fiedler F., Phylogenetic relationship
 1088 of the fish pathogenic *Renibacterium salmoninarum* to *Arthrobacter*, *Micrococcus*
 1089 and related taxa. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. (1988) 50: 117-120.

1090 [140] Steigerwalt A.G., Fanning G.R., Fife-Asbury M.A., Brenner D.J., DNA
 1091 relatedness among species of *Enterobacter* and *Serratia*. Can. J. Microbiol. (1976)
 1092 22: 121-137.

1093 **[141] Steiropoulos, N.A., Yuksel, S.A., Thompson, K.D., Adams, A., Ferguson,**
 1094 **H.W., Detection of *Rickettsia*-like organisms (RLOs) in European sea bass**
 1095 **(*Dicentrarchus labrax*, L) by immunohistochemistry, using rabbit anti-*Rickettsia***
 1096 ***salmonis* serum. Bull. Eur. Assoc. Fish Pathol. (2002) 22: 428-432.**

1097 [142] Stevenson R.M.W., Daly J.G., Biochemical and serological characteristics of
 1098 Ontario isolates of *Yersinia ruckeri*. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. (1982) 39: 870-876.

1099 [143] Steinum T., Sjastad K., Falk K., Kvellestad A., Colquhuin D.J., An RT PCR-
 1100 DGGE survey of gill-associated bacteria in Norwegian seawater-reared Atlantic
 1101 salmon suffering proliferative gill inflammation. Aquaculture (2009) 293: 172-179.

1102 [144] Thompson F.L., Hoste B., Vandemeulebroecke K., Engelbeen K., Denys R.,
 1103 Swings, J., *Vibrio trachuri* Iwamoto *et al.* 1995 is a junior synonym of *Vibrio harveyi*
 1104 (Johnson and Shunk 1936) Baumann *et al.* 1981. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. (2002)
 1105 52: 973-976.

1106 [145] Thornton J.M., Austin D.A., Austin B., Powell, R., Small subunit rRNA gene
 1107 sequences of *Aeromonas salmonicida* subsp. *smithia* and *Haemophilus piscium* reveal
 1108 pronounced similarities with *A. salmonicida* subsp. *salmonicida*. Dis. Aquat. Org.
 1109 (1999) 35: 155-158.

1110 [146] Tiainen T., Pedersen K., Larsen J.L., Immunological reactivity of *Vibrio*
 1111 *anguillarum* sero-subgroups O2a and O2b, and comparison of their
 1112 lipopolysaccharide profiles. Curr. Microbiol. (1997) 34: 38-42.

1113 [147] Tindall B.J., Rosselló-Móra R., Busse H.-J., Ludwig W., Kämpfer P., Notes on
 1114 the characterization of prokaryote strains for taxonomic purposes. Int. J. Syst. Evol.
 1115 Microbiol. (2010) 60: 249-266.

1116 [148] Toranzo A.E., Santos Y., Nieto T.P., Barja J.L., Evaluation of different assay
 1117 systems for identification of environmental *Aeromonas* strains. Appl. Environ.
 1118 Microbiol. (1986) 51: 652-656.

1119 [149] Toranzo A.E., Baya A.M., Romalde J.J., Hetrick F.M., Association of
 1120 *Aeromonas sobria* with mortalities of adult gizzard shad, *Dorosoma cepedianum*
 1121 Lesueur. J. Fish Dis. (1989) 12: 439-448.

1122 [150] Trust T.J., Ishiguro E.E., Atkinson H.M., Relationship between *Haemophilus*
 1123 *piscium* and *Aeromonas salmonicida* revealed by *Aeromonas hydrophila*
 1124 bacteriophage. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. (1980) 9: 199-201.

1125 [151] Umelo E., Trust T.J., Identification and molecular characterization of two
 1126 tandemly located flagellin genes from *Aeromonas salmonicida* A449. J. Bacteriol.
 1127 (1997) 179: 5292-5299.

1128 [152] Urakawa H., Kita-Tsukamoto K., Stevens S.E., Ohwada K., Colwell R.R., A
 1129 proposal to transfer *Vibrio marinus* (Russell 1891) to a new genus *Moritella* gen. nov.
 1130 as *Moritella marina* comb. nov. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. (1998) 165: 373-378.

- 1131 [153] Vandamme P., Pot B., Gillis M., de Vos P., Kersters K., Swings J., Polyphasic
1132 taxonomy, a consensus approach to bacterial systematics. *Microbiol. Rev.* (1996) 60:
1133 407-438.
- 1134 [154] Wallbanks S., Martinez-Murcia A.J., Fryer J.L., Phillips B.A., Collins M.D.,
1135 16S rRNA sequence determination for members of the genus *Carnobacterium* and
1136 related lactic acid bacteria and description of *Vagococcus salmoninarum* sp. nov. *Int.*
1137 *J. Syst. Bacteriol.* (1990) 40: 224-230.
- 1138 [155] Walters G.R., Plumb J.A., Modified oxidation/fermentation medium for use in
1139 the identification of bacterial fish pathogens. *J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can.* (1978) 35: 1629-
1140 1630.
- 1141 [156] Wiens G.D., Rockey D.D., Wu Z.-N., Chang J., Levy R., Crane S., Chen D.S.,
1142 Capri G.R., Burnett J.R., Sudheesh P.S., Schipma M.J., Burd H., Bhattacharyya A.,
1143 Rhodes L.D., Kaul R., Strom M.S., Genome sequence of the fish pathogen
1144 *Renibacterium salmoninarum* suggests reductive evolution away from an
1145 environmental *Arthrobacter* ancestor. *J. Bacteriol.* (2008) 190: 6970-6982.
- 1146 [157] Wiklund T., Bylund G., Skin ulcer disease of flounder *Platichthys flesus* in the
1147 northern Baltic Sea. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* (1993) 17: 165-174.
- 1148 [158] Wiklund T., Dalsgaard I., Eerola E., Olivier G., Characteristics of ‘atypical’
1149 cytochrome-oxidase negative *Aeromonas salmonicida* isolated from ulcerated
1150 flounder (*Platichthys flesus* (L.)). *J. Appl. Bacteriol.* (1994) 76: 511-520.
- 1151 [159] Wiklund T., Dalsgaard I., Atypical *Aeromonas salmonicida* associated with
1152 ulcerated flatfish species in the Baltic Sea and the North Sea. *J. Aquat. Anim. Hlth.*
1153 (1995) 7: 218-224.

- 1154 [160] Williams A.M., Fryer J.L., Collins M.D., *Lactococcus piscium* sp. nov. a new
1155 *Lactococcus* species from salmonid fish. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. (1990) 68: 109-114.
- 1156 [161] Yamada Y., Kaku Y., Wakabayashi H., Phylogenetic intrarelationshiPs of
1157 atypical *Aeromonas salmonicida* isolated in Japan as determined by 16S rDNA
1158 sequencing. Fish Pathol. (2000) 35: 35-40.
- 1159 [162] Zlotkin A., Eldar A., Ghittino C., Bercovier, H., Identification of *Lactococcus*
1160 *garvieae* by PCR. J. Clin. Microbiol. (1998) **36**: 983-985.